

**CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE PLAN WITH  
FINANCIAL ASSURANCE CALCULATION**

**A-1 Sandroock, Inc.**

**Guilford County, North Carolina  
NC DENR Solid Waste Permit #41-17**

Prepared for:

A-1 Sandroock, Inc.  
2091 Bishop Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27406

To the Attention of:

Mr. Ronnie E. Petty, III



G. David Garrett, P.G., P.E.  
Principal Engineer/Geologist



6-30-2008

June 2008

**David Garrett & Associates**

*Engineering and Geology*

5105 Harbour Towne Drive • Raleigh • North Carolina • 27604  
Telephone 919-418-4375      Email: david@davidgarrettpe.com



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A-1 Sandrock, Inc. is a privately owned and operated disposal facility for Construction and Demolition (C&D) debris, located south of Bishop Road (S.R. 1116) in southern Guilford County. Phase 1 received a Permit to Construct on February 24, 2006, and the first cell was graded (as a “sandrock” mine, in accordance with the grading plan approved by the NCDENR Division of Waste Management) between June 2006 and January 2007. At this time, a Franchise Agreement with Guilford County is undergoing revision, prior to applying for a Permit to Operate – specifically, the Financial Assurance requirements imposed by the County in 2003 pertaining to the original Franchise Agreement are under reconsideration. Although the facility is not yet operational, this document presents a Closure and Post-Closure Plan for the facility, along with Financial Assurance analysis based on the closure design and post-closure care program, which is required for all existing facilities by North Carolina solid waste rule **15A NCAC 13B .0547**.

The closure plan is based on the regulatory minimum final cover design, although an alternative is under consideration, consisting of a flexible membrane and a drainage layer (in addition to a vegetative support layer). Both final cover designs are described in this document and the supporting drawings. The closure plan makes reference to a CQA plan that will be followed during the final cover installation – this document will be similar to that prepared for other portions of the project (i.e., future Phase 2, which is under permit review). However, the CQA plan is pertinent to a soil cover (regulatory minimum), and should an alternative cover be selected by the Owner/Operator, the CQA plan will be amended accordingly and presented to the Division for review, in advance of the work.

The following document is divided into two parts: **Section 1** is the closure and post-closure plan (presented in two subparts); **Section 2** presents the Financial Assurance calculations and supporting data. The **Drawings** that accompany this work are collaboration between the author of this document and John A.K. Tucker, P.E, Consulting Engineer, who permitted the original facility with support from the author.

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- The landfill footprint covers approximately 25.5 acres, which is the basis for the financial assurance calculations; when full the facility will contain approximately 2 million cubic yards (1 million tons) of inert debris
- Final Closure will be a continual process during routine operation of the facility – the maximum anticipated area subject to closure at any given time is 7.5 acres
- Total estimated final closure costs (all 25.5 acres) are **\$946,742** for the regulatory minimum cover and **\$1,290,175** for an alternate final cover design
- For the maximum anticipated area subject to closure at any given time, the calculated closure costs are **\$379,010** – this represents third-party contractor costs
- Post Closure maintenance and monitoring costs for 30 years are **\$638,250**

- The combined Closure and Post-Closure costs, subject to Financial Assurance for Phase 1 is **\$1,584,992**, which includes the regulatory minimum cover and 25.5 acres to be closed
- A financial assurance instrument (bonding, insurance, irrevocable letter of credit) will be required by NC DENR Division of Waste Management within 30 days following acceptance of the calculations (Owner will be notified by letter)
- These cost estimates are based on unit costs from recent similar-size projects
- All costs are presented in 2008 dollars and should be reviewed periodically – financial assurance obligations reduce with time after closure is completed

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE ..... 1

1.1 Summary of Regulatory Requirements..... 1

1.1.1 Final Cap..... 1

1.1.2 Construction Requirements..... 1

1.1.3 Alternative Cap Design..... 1

1.1.4 Division Notifications..... 1

1.1.5 Required Closure Schedule..... 1

1.1.6 Recordation..... 2

1.2 Closure Plan..... 2

1.2.1 Final Cap Installation..... 2

1.2.1.1 Final Elevations ..... 2

1.2.1.2 Final Slope Ratios ..... 2

1.2.1.3 Final Cover Section..... 2

1.2.1.4 Final Cover Installation..... 3

1.2.1.5 Final Cover Vegetation..... 4

1.2.1.6 Documentation..... 4

1.2.2 Maximum Area/Volume Subject to Closure..... 5

1.2.3 Closure Schedule ..... 5

1.2.4 Closure Cost Estimate..... 5

1.2.4 Closure Cost Estimate..... 5

1.3 Post-Closure Plan..... 6

1.3.1 Monitoring and Maintenance..... 6

1.3.1.1 Term of Post-Closure Care ..... 6

1.3.1.2 Maintenance of Closure Systems..... 6

1.3.1.3 Landfill Gas Monitoring ..... 6

1.3.1.4 Ground Water Monitoring ..... 7

1.3.1.5 Record Keeping ..... 7

1.3.1.6 Certification of Completion ..... 7

1.3.2 Responsible Party Contact ..... 8

1.3.3 Planned Uses of Property..... 8

1.3.4 Post-Closure Cost Estimate..... 8

2.0 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE..... 10

**TABLES** *Refer to the in-text tables referenced by page number*

1A Estimated Final Closure Costs for Phase 1 5

1B Post-Closure Monitoring and Maintenance Schedule 7

1C Estimated Post-Closure Costs for Phase 1 9

**DRAWINGS** *Refer to the drawing set that accompanies this report*

## 1.0 CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE (15A NCAC 13B .0543)

### 1.1 Summary of Regulatory Requirements

#### 1.1.1 Final Cap

The final cap design for Phase 1 shall conform to the minimum requirements of Solid Waste Rule 15A NCAC 13B .0543 (“regulatory minimum cover section”), i.e., the compacted soil barrier layer shall exhibit a thickness of 18 inches and a field permeability of not more than  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec. The overlying vegetative support layer shall exhibit a thickness of 18 inches. See **Drawings C1** and **E2** for final contours and final cover details, respectively.

#### 1.1.2 Construction Requirements

Final cap installation shall conform to the approved plans (see accompanying plan set), inclusive of a Sedimentation and Erosion Control Plan. The CQA plan must be followed (see **Section 3.0**) and all CQA documentation must be submitted to the Division. Post-settlement surface slopes must not be flatter than 5% (on the upper cap) and not steeper than 25% (on the side slopes), unless justified with engineered stability calculations.

Per the **2006 C&D Rules**, a gas venting system is required for the cap. A passive venting system will be specified, which will consist of a perforated pipe in crushed stone-filled trench – installed just below the final cap soil barrier layer – with a tentative minimum vent spacing of three vents per acre. **Drawing EC2** shows the gas vent system details.

#### 1.1.3 Alternative Cap Design

The **2006 C&D Rules** make a provision for an alternative cap design, to be used in the event that the permeability requirements for the compacted soil barrier layer cannot be met. Past experience indicates that on-site soils may not meet the required field permeability of not more than  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec, as supported by the laboratory data for the soils discussed in various site studies (Site Suitability Report). An alternative cap design consisting of a 40-mil LDPE or HDPE barrier, overlain by a single-bonded geonet drainage layer and 24 inches of vegetative support soil is under consideration. Both final cap profiles are shown on **Drawing EC2**.

#### 1.1.4 Division Notifications

The Operator shall notify the Division prior to beginning closure of any final closure activities. The Operator shall place documentation in the Operating Record pertaining to the closure, including the CQA requirements and location and date of cover placement.

#### 1.1.5 Required Closure Schedule

The Operator shall close the landfill in increments as various areas are brought to final grade. The final cap shall be placed on such areas subject to the following:

- No later than 30 days following last receipt of waste;
- No later than 30 days following the date that an area of 10 acres or greater is within 15 feet of final grades;
- No later than one year following the most recent receipt of waste if there is remaining capacity.

Final closure activities **shall be completed within 180 days** following commencement of the closure, unless the Division grants extensions.

Upon completion of closure activities for each area (or unit) the Owner shall notify the Division in writing with a **certification by the Engineer** that the closure has been completed in accordance with the approved closure plan and that said documentation has been placed in the operating record.

### 1.1.6 Recordation

The Owner shall record on the title deep to the subject property that a CDLF has been operated on the property and file said documentation with the Register of Deeds. Said recordation shall include a notation that the future use of the property is restricted under the provision of the approved closure plan.

## 1.2 Closure Plan

The following is a tentative closure plan for CDLF Phase 1, based on the prescribed operational sequence and anticipated conditions at the time of closure.

### 1.2.1 Final Cap Installation

**1.2.1.1 Final Elevations** – Final elevation of the landfill shall not exceed those depicted on **Drawing C1** when it is closed. The elevations shown include the final cover. A periodic topographic survey shall be performed to verify elevations.

**1.2.1.2 Final Slope Ratios** – All upper surfaces shall have at least a 5 percent slope, but not greater than a 10 percent slope. The cover shall be graded to promote positive drainage. Side slope ratios shall not exceed 3H:1V. A periodic topographic survey shall be performed to verify slope ratios.

**1.2.1.3 Final Cover Section** – The terms “final cap” and “final cover” are used interchangeably. The final cover will subscribe to the regulatory minimum requirement for C&D landfills:

- An 18-inch compacted soil barrier layer (CSB), i.e., the “infiltration layer,” with a hydraulic conductivity not exceeding  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec, overlain by
- An 18-inch “topsoil” or vegetated surface layer (VSL), i.e., the “erosion layer.”

*An alternate final cover section is under consideration (see Section 1.1.3).*

**1.2.1.4 Final Cover Installation** – All soils shall be graded to provide positive drainage away from the landfill area and compacted to meet applicable permeability requirements. Suitable materials for final cover soil shall meet the requirements defined above. Care shall be taken to exclude rocks and debris that would hinder compaction efforts. The surface will then be seeded in order to establish a good stand of vegetation.

**Test Pad** – Whereas the lab data indicate that the required permeability is attainable, the ability to compact the materials in the field to achieve the required strength and permeability values shall be verified with a field trial involving a test pad, to be sampled with drive tubes and laboratory density and/or permeability testing, prior to full-scale construction. The materials, equipment, and testing procedures should be representative of the anticipated actual final cover construction. The test pad may be strategically located such that the test pad may be incorporated into the final cover.

**Compacted Barrier** – Materials shall be blended to a uniform consistency and placed in two loose lifts no thicker than 12 inches and compacted by tamping, rolling, or other suitable method – the targeted final thickness is 18 inches minimum. A thicker compacted barrier is acceptable. The cover shall be constructed in sufficiently small areas that can be completed in a single day (to avoid desiccation, erosion, or other damage), but large enough to allow ample time for testing without hindering production. The Contractor shall take care not to over-roll the cover such that the underlying waste materials would pump or rut, causing the overlying soil layers to crack – adequate subgrade compaction within the upper 36 inches of waste materials and/or the intermediate cover soil underlying the final cover is critical. All final cover soils shall be thoroughly compacted through the full depth to achieve the required maximum permeability required by Division regulations of  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec, based on site-specific test criteria (see below). Compaction moisture control is essential for achieving adequate strength and permeability.

**Vegetated Surface Layer** – Materials shall be blended and placed in two loose lifts no thicker than 12 inches and compacted by tamping, rolling, or other suitable method – the targeted final layer thickness is 18 inches minimum per the design criteria. A thicker soil layer is acceptable. A relatively high organic content is also desirable. The incorporation of decayed wood mulch or other organic admixtures (WWTP sludge, with advance permission from the Division) is encouraged to provide nutrient and enhanced field capacity. These surface materials are not subject to a permeability requirement, thus no testing will be specified. Care should be taken to compact the materials sufficiently to promote stability and minimize erosion susceptibility, but not to over-compact the materials such that vegetation would be hindered. Following placement and inspection of the surface layer, seed bed preparation, seeding and mulching should follow immediately. The work should be scheduled for optimal weather conditions.

**Inspection and Testing** – Soils for the barrier layer are subject to the testing schedule outlined in the Construction Quality Assurance plan. The proposed testing program includes a minimum of one permeability test per lift per acre and four nuclear density gauge tests per lift per acre, to verify compaction of the compacted barrier layer. The moisture-density-permeability relationship of the materials has been established by the laboratory testing (discussed elsewhere in this report). The Contractor shall proof roll final cover subgrade materials (i.e., intermediate cover), which consist of essentially the same materials as the compacted barrier layer (without the permeability requirements), to assure that these materials will support the final cover.

**1.2.1.5 Final Cover Vegetation** – Seedbed preparation, seeding, and mulching shall be performed accordance the specifications provided in the Construction Plans (see **Drawing EC2**), unless approved otherwise (in advance) by the Engineer. In areas to be seeded, fertilizer and lime typically should be distributed uniformly at a rate of 1,000 pounds per acre for fertilizer and 2,000 pounds per acre for lime, and incorporated into the soil to a depth of at least 3 inches by disking and harrowing. The incorporation of the fertilizer and lime may be a part of the cover placement operation specified above. Distribution by means of an approved seed drill or hydro seeder equipped to sow seed and distribute lime and fertilizer at the same time will be acceptable. Please note that the seeding schedule varies by season.

All vegetated surfaces shall be mulched with wheat straw and a bituminous tack. Areas identified as prone to erosion mat be secured with curled-wood excelsior, installed and pinned in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Certain perimeter channels will require excelsior or turf-reinforcement mat (TRM), as specified in the Channel Schedule. Alternative erosion control products may be substituted with the project engineer's prior consent. All rolled erosion control materials should be installed according to the generalized layout and staking plan found in the Construction Plans or the manufacturer's recommendations.

Irrigation for landfill covers is not a typical procedure, but consideration to temporary irrigation may be considered if dry weather conditions prevail during or after the planting. Care should be taken not to over-irrigate in order to prevent erosion. Collected storm water will be suitable for irrigation water. Maintenance of the final cover vegetation, described in the Post-Closure Plan (see below), is critical to the overall performance of the landfill cover system.

**1.2.1.6 Documentation** – The Owner shall complete an "as-built" survey to depict final elevations and to document any problems, amendments or deviations from the Construction Plan drawings. Records of all testing, including maps with test locations, shall be prepared by the third-party CQA testing firm. All materials pertaining to the closure shall be placed in the Operational Record for the facility. Whereas the closure will be incremental, special attention shall be given to keeping the closure records separate from the normal operational records.

### 1.2.2 Maximum Area/Volume Subject to Closure

The total area of Phase 1 is approximately 25.5 acres. Intermediate cover shall be used on areas that have achieved final elevations until the final cover is installed – it will be more cost effective to close the landfill in three to four increments – thus the maximum anticipated area subject to closure at any given time would be **7.5 acres**. Based on volumetric analyses performed during original permit studies, the planned volume of Phase 1 is estimated at 2,173,244 cubic yards (1,086,622 tons) of inert debris.

### 1.2.3 Closure Schedule

Refer to the requirements outlined in **Section 1.1.5** (above).

### 1.2.4 Closure Cost Estimate

The foregoing cost estimate is considered suitable for the **Financial Assurance** requirements (see **Section 2.0**).

**TABLE 1A**  
**ESTIMATED FINAL CLOSURE COSTS FOR PHASE 1 (in 2007 dollars)**

#### 1) Regulatory Minimum Cover with Compacted Soil Barrier

Topsoil (18" over 25.5 ac)*	67,880 c.y.	@	\$3.25 / cubic yard	\$220,610
Compacted Soil Barrier**	70,966 c.y.	@	\$8 / cubic yard	\$567,732
Seed and Mulch	25.5 acres	@	\$1,300 per acre	\$ 33,150
Storm Water Piping***	1050 LF	@	\$10.00 / LF	\$ 10,500
CQA	25.5 acres	@	\$4,500 per acre	\$114,750
<b>Total Construction Cost (if contracted out)***</b>				<b>\$ 946,742</b>

#### 2) Alternative Final Cover with Flexible Membrane Barrier

Topsoil (24" over 25.5 ac)*	90,508 c.y.	@	\$3.25 / cubic yard	\$294,151
Single-bond Geocomposite Drainage Layer	1,110,780 s.f.	@	\$0.45 / s.f.	\$ 499,851
40-mil HDPE flexible Membrane	1,110,780 s.f.	@	\$0.35 / s.f.	\$ 388,773
Seed and Mulch	25.5 acres	@	\$1,300 per acre	\$ 33,150
Storm Water Piping***	1050 LF	@	\$10.00 / LF	\$ 10,500
CQA	25.5 acres	@	\$2,500 per acre	\$ 63,750
<b>Total Construction Cost (if contracted out)</b>				<b>\$1,290,175</b>

**3) Alternative Final Cover with Flexible Membrane Barrier (Largest Open Area)**

Topsoil (24" over 7.5 ac)*	24,200.7 c.y.	@	\$3.25 / cubic yard	\$ 78,650
Single-bond Geocomposite Drainage Layer	326,700 s.f.	@	\$0.45 / s.f.	\$ 147,015
40-mil HDPE flexible Membrane	326,700 s.f.	@	\$0.35 / s.f.	\$ 114,345
Seed and Mulch	7.5 acres	@	\$1,300 per acre	\$ 9,750
Storm Water Piping***	1050 LF	@	\$10.00 / LF	\$ 10,500
CQA	7.5 acres	@	\$2,500 per acre	\$ 18,750
<b>Bonded Construction Cost (if contracted out)</b>				<b>\$379,010</b>

\*No permeability requirement, use a shrinkage factor of 10%

\*\*Maximum permeability of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec, use a shrinkage factor of 15%.

\*\*\*Preliminary estimates, subject to verification.

Cost assumes on-site soils will meet permeability of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec.

A-1 Sandrock, Inc., plans to complete the closure work using in-house forces to the extent possible. The costs shown above are for a third-party contractor to complete the work. Some additional costs for equipment rental may be required if C&D Landfill, Inc., performs the work. Please note that the final closure work will be performed incrementally, thus spreading out the costs over the life of the project.

**1.3 Post-Closure Plan**

**1.3.1 Monitoring and Maintenance**

**1.3.1.1 Term of Post-Closure Care** – The facility shall conduct post-closure care for a minimum of 30 years after final closure of the landfill, unless justification is provided for a reduced post-closure care period. The post-closure care period may be extended by the Division if necessary to protect human health and the environment.

**1.3.1.2 Maintenance of Closure Systems** – Inspections of the final cover systems and sediment and erosion control (S&EC) measures shall be conducted quarterly. Maintenance will be provided during post-closure care as needed to protect the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover. The cover will be repaired as necessary to correct the effects of settlement, subsidence, erosion, or other events. Refer to the **Post Closure Monitoring and Maintenance Schedule** (below).

**1.3.1.3 Landfill Gas Monitoring** – The presence of gas is not anticipated during the post-closure period, due to the inert nature of the wastes. Gas monitoring will be conducted for the first five years following the closure of Phase 1A via sampling the head-space in monitoring wells with an Organic Vapor Analyzer (OVA), or similar equipment, during routine sampling events and continual monitoring in on-site buildings

via a gas detection meter. After five years, if no explosive gas is detected, the Owner will petition the Division to discontinue landfill gas monitoring. If gas is detected at the sampling points at any time, the Division will be notified and an evaluation of protective measures will be performed.

**1.3.1.4 Ground Water Monitoring** – Groundwater monitoring will be conducted under the current version of the approved Sampling and Analysis Plan. This plan will be reviewed periodically and may change in the future. Approximately one year prior to the landfill reaching permitted capacity, the facility will submit post-closure monitoring and maintenance schedules, specific to the ground water monitoring. Procedures, methods, and frequencies will be included in this plan. This future plan, and all subsequent amendments, will be incorporated by reference to this document.

**1.3.1.5 Record Keeping** – During the post closure period, maintenance and inspection records, i.e., a **Post Closure Record**, shall be kept as a continuation of the **Operating Record** that was kept during the operational period. The Post Closure Record shall include future inspection and engineering reports, as well as documentation of all routine and non-routine maintenance and/or amendments. The Post Closure Record shall include the ground water and gas monitoring records collected for the facility.

**1.3.1.6 Certification of Completion** – At the end of the post-closure care period the facility manager shall contact the Division to schedule an inspection. The facility manager shall make the Post Closure Record available for inspection. A certification that the post-closure plan has been completed, signed by a North Carolina registered professional engineer, shall be placed in the operating/post closure record. C&D Landfill, Inc. shall maintain these records indefinitely.

**TABLE 1B  
POST-CLOSURE MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Frequency Yrs. 1 - 5</b>	<b>Frequency Yrs. 6-15</b>	<b>Frequency Yrs. 16-30</b>
<b>General</b> - Inspect access gates, locks, fences, signs, site security	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly
Maintain access roads, monitoring well access	As needed	As needed	As needed
<b>Final Cover Systems/Stability</b> - Inspect cap and slope cover for erosion, sloughing, bare spots in vegetation, make corrections as needed (1)	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly
<b>Storm Water/Erosion Control Systems</b> - Inspect drainage swales and sediment basin for erosion, excess sedimentation (1)	Monthly	Monthly	Monthly
Mow cover vegetation and remove thatch	Semi-Annually	Annually	None (2)

Inspect vegetation cover and remove trees	Annually	Annually	Annually
Landfill Gas Monitoring	Semi-Annually	None (3)	None (3)
<b>Ground Water Monitoring System</b> - Check well head security, visibility (4)	Semi-Annually	Semi-Annually	Annually

Notes:

1. Inspect after every major storm event, i.e., 25-year 24-hour design storm
2. Dependent on vegetation type, periodic mowing may be required
3. Discontinue if no detections occur in monitoring wells or on-site buildings
4. See current Ground Water Sampling and Analysis Plan

### 1.3.2 Responsible Party Contact

A-1 Sandrock, Inc.  
Mr. Ronnie E. Petty, III, Owner and Manager  
Mr. R.E. "Gene" Petty, Sr., Owner  
2091 Bishop Road  
Greensboro, North Carolina 27406

Tel 336-855-8195  
Fax 336-855-8164

### 1.3.3 Planned Uses of Property

Currently, there is no planned use for the landfill area following closure. The closed facility will be seeded with grass to prevent erosion. Any post-closure use of the property considered in the future will not disturb the integrity of the final cover or the function of the monitoring systems unless necessary (and to be accompanied by repairs or upgrades). Future uses shall not increase the potential threat to human health and the environment.

### 1.3.4 Post-Closure Cost Estimate

The following cost estimate is considered suitable for the **Financial Assurance** requirements.

**TABLE 1C  
ESTIMATED POST-CLOSURE COSTS FOR PHASE 1A (in 2008 dollars)**

Annual Events	Units		Unit Cost	Cost/Event	Annual Costs
Reseeding/mulching and erosion repair (Assume 5% cap, once per year)	1.5	ac.	\$1,600	\$2,400.00	\$2,400.00
Mow final cap (twice per year)	25.5	ac.	\$25	\$637.50	\$1275.00
Ground Water (semi-annual, 16 wells)	5	ea.	\$400	\$2000.00	\$4000.00
Surface Water (semi-annual, 3 locations)	3	ea.	\$350	\$1,050.00	\$2,100.00
Water quality analysis and reporting	1	ea.	\$2500	\$2500.00	\$5000.00
Engineering inspection (annual basis)	1	ea.	\$3,500	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00
Maintain storm water conveyances	1	ea.	\$2,000	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
Maintain access roads, gates, buildings	1	ea.	\$1,000	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
	<b>Total Cost for One Year</b>				<b>\$21,275.00</b>

## 2.0 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

The **2006 C&D Rules** require that Owners/Operators demonstrate financial assurance for closure and post-closure activities. Typically, for local government-owned facilities, said demonstration is based on a local government test. For private facilities, the posting of a performance bond or insurance policy is typically acceptable to the Division.

Cost estimates for closure of CDLF Phase 1A and post-closure activities for the entire C&D landfill are presented in **Sections 1.2.4** and **1.3.4**, respectively. The following is a detailed analysis of the closure and post closure costs, based on the preceding, all in 2008 dollars, projected over the anticipated life of the landfill (Phase 1) and 30 years of post-closure care.

The closure costs will be realized far enough into the future that these costs may be recalculated to account for inflation on a periodic basis (which has not been done here). After closure, the bonded amount should be reduced. The maximum post-closure cost liabilities are realized at the time of closure – these liabilities decrease with time and, thus, the amount of the post-closure instrument should be reduced over time. Thus, the whole financial assurance obligation should be recalculated ideally on an annual basis. The posted amount (bond, insurance, irrevocable letter of credit, etc.) should be adjusted accordingly on a periodic basis.


### SUMMARY OF CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE COST

1.	Final Closure Construction (see <b>Table 1A, Part 1</b> )	\$946,742
2.	Projected Post-Closure Costs (see <b>Table 1C * 30</b> )	\$638,250
	<b>TOTAL CLOSURE/POST-CLOSURE COST</b>	<b>\$1,584,992</b>

NCDENR Division of Waste Management will review these calculations and concur or negotiate a mutually agreeable bond amount. Owners/Operators must complete the demonstration (e.g., irrevocable performance bond, letter of credit, insurance policy, other fiduciary instrument) within 30 days following NCDENR Division of Waste Management concurrence with the calculations.

# A-1 SANDROCK, INC. CDLF CLOSURE PLAN GUILFORD COUNTY (PERMIT #41-17)

JUNE 2008



**SITE OWNER**  
A-1 SANDROCK, INC.

**SITE ADDRESS**  
2091 BISHOP ROAD  
GREENSBORO, NC 27406

**SITE CONTACT**  
RONNIE E. PETTY, III  
R.E. "GENE" PETTY, SR.  
TEL. (336)-855-8195

LIST OF DRAWINGS


SHEET NO'S	DRAWING NO'S	DRAWING TITLE
1	--	COVER SHEET w/VICINITY MAP
2	C1	CDLF PHASE1 FINAL COVER CONTOURS AND DRAINAGE PLAN
3	EC1	SEDIMENT EROSION CONTROL DETAILS SHEET 1 OF 3
4	EC2	SEDIMENT EROSION CONTROL DETAILS SHEET 2 OF 3
5	EC3	SEDIMENT & EROSION CONTROL SCHEDULES & NARRATIVE (SHEET 3 OF 3)

## David Garrett & Associates

### Engineering and Geology

5105 Harbour Towne Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604  
 Email: david\_garrett\_pg@mindspring.com 919-231-1818 (Office and Fax) 919-418-4375 (mobile)






PHASE 1-4 COMBINED TOTALS 25.50 AC.  
 EXPECT 20 YEARS CAPACITY  
 (COVERS 100% PERMITTED AREA, OCCUPIES  
 100% OF PERMITTED VOLUME)

- NOTES**
1. NO PROCESSING AND/OR OVERBURDEN DISPOSAL AREAS
  2. DRAINAGE TO BE SUBJECT TO NORTH CAROLINA REGULATIONS
  3. GAS LINE EASEMENT CROSSING SUBJECT TO CA ENGINEERING REGULATIONS
  4. THE PROJECT ENGINEER OF RECORD SHOULD BE CONSULTED AT THE ONSET OF EMBANKMENT & DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION
  5. CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL DRAINAGE OR EROSION CONTROL ELEMENT ON ALL NEW EMBANKMENT SLOPES
  6. ALL SOLE SLOPE BENCHES TO BE GRADED TO PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE TOWARD DOWN-SLOPES
  7. DITCH "X" TO BE STABILIZED PRIOR TO DRAINING FLOW FROM DRAINAGE FEATURE "Y"
  8. DO NOT DESTROY DRAINAGE FEATURE "Y"

- SITE CRITERIA NOTES:**
1. THERE ARE NO ARCHAEOLOGICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANT SITES WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY.
  2. THERE ARE NO ENDANGERED SPECIES WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY.
  3. THERE ARE NO PARKS, SCENIC, OR RECREATION AREAS WITHIN THE FACILITY BOUNDARY.
  4. SITE SHALL NOT BE LOCATED WITHIN A WETLANDS AREA.
- REFERENCE NOTES:**
1. GUILFORD COUNTY TAX MAP FOR SANDROCK TOWNSHIP, AC-3-185-7355-7, DED. 4459, PG. 784.
  2. TOPOGRAPHY WITHIN PROPERTY BOUNDARY FROM AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY DATED 5-27-01, BY SPANGLER DATA TECHNOLOGY.
  3. BOUNDARY INFORMATION FROM BOUNDARY MAP PREPARED BY L. DENNIS LEE, P.A., DATED NOV. 14, 2002.
  4. SANITARY SEWER EASEMENT FROM PLANS PREPARED BY DAVIS-WATKINS-HOWELL & ASSOCIATES, INC. DATED 12-06-00.




DATE	NO.	REVISION
3-30-03	4	REVISE SEDIMENT TRAPS
2-12-03	3	REVISED BASE GRADES PER ESTIMATED SEASONAL HIGH GROUNDWATER
1-22-03	2	SEDIMENT BASIN MODIFIED
1-22-03	1	100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN RESURVEYED




DRAWING NO.	2
SHEET NO.	C1

**FINAL COVER AND SLOPE DRAINAGE PLAN**



**A-1 SANDROCK, INC.  
 CDLF CLOSURE PLAN  
 GUILFORD COUNTY (#41-17)**



**David Garrett, P.G., P.E.**  
 Engineering and Geology  
 5105 Harbour Towne Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604  
 E-mail: david\_garrett\_pge@mhndrprng.com 919-231-1816 (Office and Fax) 919-418-4375 (mobile)




- NOTES:
1. SEE CHANNEL DESIGN SCHEDULE DWG EES FOR ALL CHANNEL SIZES AND SIZES
  2. FOR CHANNEL WITH 3:1 V/SIDE SLOPES, USE CHANNEL LINER PER SCHEDULES (A) AND (B) (SLOPE)
  3. FOR ANCHORAGE OF TAIL, SEE DETAILS (E) AND (F) (SLOPE)
  4. CONSTRUCT FINAL COVER IN ACCORDANCE WITH 15A N.C.D.C. 13B.0543





- NOTE:
1.  $D_m$  REFERS TO THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AVERAGE STONE SIZE.


RIP RAP OUTLET PROTECTION (OUTLET TO FLAT AREA) DETAIL G



- NOTE:
1. SEE PRE DESIGN SUMMARY SCHEDULES ON DRAWING EES FOR PIPE SIZES
  2. COMPACT ALL PRE PAVED W/AND TURNS PRIOR TO GREASING EQUIPMENT ON SLOPES



DOWN PIPE STAKING DETAIL DETAIL F



SOIL: 40 PFC OR ASHTO US24 HOPE PIPE WITH WATERTIGHT COUPLINGS (SIZE VARIES)


22.5\"/>

SOIL: 40 PFC OR ASHTO US24 HOPE PIPE WITH WATERTIGHT COUPLINGS (SIZE VARIES)

22.5\"/>

- NOTES:
1. DEPENDING ON LOCATION AND SIZE OF DOWN PIPE, USE ONE OR TWO W/P PIPS AS APPROPRIATE.

DOWN PIPE DETAIL B



- NOTE:
- FOR CHANNEL DEPTH AND WIDTH SEE CHANNEL DESIGN SUMMARY ON DWG EES

PERIMETER BERM & ACCESS ROAD DETAIL DETAIL H

DATE	NO.	REVISION


**David Garrett & Associates**  
 Engineering and Geology  
 5105 Harbour Towne Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604  
 Email: david\_garrett\_pg@mindspring.com 919-231-1818 (Office and Fax) 919-418-4375 (mobile)




PROJECT TITLE:  
 A-1 SANDROCK, INC.  
 CDFL PHASE 1 CLOSURE PLAN  
 GUILFORD COUNTY, NC (#41-17)

DRAWING TITLE:  
 SEDIMENTATION & EROSION  
 CONTROL DETAILS  
 SHEET 1 OF 3


DESIGNED BY: G.D.G.	DRAWN BY: A.W.H.
CHECKED BY: G.D.G.	PROJECT NO.:CAD PH1
SCALE: AS SHOWN	DATE: JUNE 2008
FILE NAME: S&EC DETAILS SHT 1 OF 3	SHEET NO.:3
SHEET NO.:3	DRAWING NO.:EC1





DRAINAGE CHANNEL DETAILS  
DETAIL A  
N.T.S. EC2




CHECK DAM  
DETAIL C  
N.T.S. EC2




SILT FENCE W/ NOTCHED WEIR  
DETAIL D  
N.T.S. EC2




ANCHOR LAYOUT  
DETAIL E  
N.T.S. EC2




EROSION STOP DETAIL  
DETAIL F  
N.T.S. EC2



ROCK DAM  
DETAIL B  
N.T.S. EC2



LANDFILL GAS VENT  
DETAIL G  
N.T.S. EC2



DATE	NO.	REVISION

**David Garrett & Associates**  
Engineering and Geology  
5105 Harbour Towne Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604  
Email: david.garrett\_pg@mindspring.com 919-231-1818 (Office and Fax) 919-418-4375 (mobile)



PROJECT TITLE:  
A-1 SANDROCK, INC.  
CDFL PHASE 1 CLOSURE PLAN  
GUILFORD COUNTY, NC (#41-17)

SEDIMENTATION & EROSION  
CONTROL DETAILS  
SHEET 2 OF 3

DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:
G.D.G.	A.W.H.
CHECKED BY:	PROJECT NO.:
C.D.G.	CAD PH2
SCALE:	DATE:
AS SHOWN	JUNE 2008
S&EC DETAILS SHT 2 OF 3	SHEET NO.:
4	EC2

**SEEDING SCHEDULE**

Shoulders, Side Ditches, Slopes (Max 3:1)

DATE	TYPE	PLANTING RATE
Aug 15 - Nov 1	Tall Fescue	300 lbs/acre
Nov 1 - Mar 1	Tall Fescue & Annual Ryegrass	300 lbs/acre
Mar 1 - Apr 15	Tall Fescue	300 lbs/acre
Apr 15 - Jun 30	Halbed Common Bermudagrass	25 lbs/acre
Jul 1 - Aug 15	Tall Fescue and ***Or: Sorghum-Sudan Hybrids	125 lbs/acre 35 lbs/acre 30 lbs/acre

Shoulders, Side Ditches, Slopes (3:1 to 2:1)  
& use the following combinations:

- Mar 1 - Jun 1: Sorghum (certified) 50 lbs/acre
- Mar 1 - Apr 15: Add Tall Fescue 120 lbs/acre
- Mar 1 - Jun 30: Or Add Weeping Lovegrass 10 lbs/acre
- Mar 1 - Jun 30: Or Add Halbed Common Bermudagrass 25 lbs/acre
- Jun 1 - Sep 1: \*\*Tall Fescue and \*\*\*Sorghum-Sudan Hybrids 30 lbs/acre
- Sep 1 - Mar 1: Sorghum (certified) 70 lbs/acre and Tall Fescue 120 lbs/acre
- Nov 1 - Mar 1: Add Annual Ryegrass 25 lbs/acre

**SEEDBED PREPARATION**

1. CORAL COMPACTED AREAS AND SPREAD TOPSOIL 3 INCHES DEEP OVER ADVERSE SOIL CONDITIONS, IF AVAILABLE.
  2. PER THE ENTIRE AREA TO 6 INCHES DEEP.
  3. REMOVE ALL LOOSE ROCK, ROOTS, AND OTHER OBSTRUCTIONS LEAVING SURFACE REASONABLY SMOOTH AND UNIFORM.
  4. APPLY AGRICULTURAL LIME, FERTILIZER, AND SUPERPHOSPHATE UNIFORMLY AND MIX WITH SOIL (SEE BELOW).
  5. CONTINUE TILLAGE UNTIL A WELL-PULVERIZED, FINELY REASONABLY UNIFORM SEEDBED IS PREPARED 4 TO 6 INCHES DEEP.
  6. SEED ON A FRESHLY PREPARED SEEDBED AND COVER SEED LIGHTLY WITH SEEDING EQUIPMENT OR CULTIPACK AFTER SEEDING.
  7. MULCH IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEDING AND ANCHOR MULCH.
  8. INSPECT ALL SEEDING AREAS AND MAKE NECESSARY REPAIRS OR RESEEDINGS WITHIN THE PLANTING SEASON, IF POSSIBLE. FRESH MULCH SHOULD BE OVER BULKS DAMAGED, RESEEDING FOLLOWING DRAINAGE LIME, FERTILIZER AND SEEDING RATES.
  9. CONSULT CONSERVATION INSPECTOR ON MAINTENANCE TREATMENT AND FERTILIZATION AFTER PERMANENT COVER IS ESTABLISHED.
- \* APPLY: AGRICULTURAL LIMESTONE - 2 TONS/ACRE (3 TONS/ACRE IN CLAY SOILS)  
FERTILIZER - 1000 LBS/ACRE -10-10-10  
SUPERPHOSPHATE - 500 LBS./ACRE -20% ANALYSIS  
MULCH - 2 TONS/ACRE - SMALL GRASS STRAW  
ANCHOR - ASPHALT EMULSION @ 300 GALS./ACRE

**EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL CONSTRUCTION NARRATIVE**

NOTIFICATIONS  
PRIOR TO COMMENCING EARTH WORK IN ANY CRITICAL AREAS, E.G., NEAR STREAM BUFFERS OR WETLANDS FEATURES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE WORKING DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND NATURAL RESOURCES, AND THE DIVISION OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. NO GROUND DISTURBING WORK SHALL TAKE PLACE UNHOLD PROPER MEASURES IN PLACE. THE PROJECT ENGINEER SHALL BE KEPT INFORMED OF ALL NEW WORK.

GENERAL  
ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE NORTH CAROLINA SEDIMENTATION CONTROL LAW AS ADMINISTERED BY THE WORKING DIVISION OF LAND QUALITY.

CRITICAL SEDIMENTATION CONTROL FEATURES, E.G., CLEANING LINTS, SEDIMENT TRAPS, GRADED CHANNELS, BASINS, OUTLET STRUCTURES, LEVEE SPREADERS, ETC., SHALL BE FIELD STAKED BY A LICENSED SURVEYOR OR OTHER PARTY APPROVED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER AND CONFORMED TO THE PLANS. ALL WORK SHALL PROCEED IN A METHICAL AND WORKMANLIKE MANNER. THE OWNER/OPERATOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SCOURING ANY REQUIRED LAND DISTURBING FEATURES AND PLANNING FEES.

THIS SPEC PLAN DESCRIBES TEMPORARY AS WELL AS PERMANENT SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES. THIS PLAN ASSUMES THAT ALL DESIGNED MEASURES WILL BE INSTALLED. FIELD ADJUSTMENTS ARE ALLOWABLE WITH THE ADVANCE PERMISSION OF THE PROJECT ENGINEER.

SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE SUBJECT TO FIELD INSPECTION AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION BY THE COUNTY. IF ANY MEASURES ARE FOUND INADEQUATE, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE REQUIRED TO REPAIR OR REPLACE THE MEASURES. ADDITIONAL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED TO PROTECT ADDITIONAL AREAS FROM EROSION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING NECESSARY PERMITS AND RESOURCES FOR REPAIR. SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS FROM THIS PLAN SHALL BE REVIEWED IN ADVANCE BY THE ENGINEER AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO PRIOR APPROVAL BY THE COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES.

**SILT FENCING**

APPROPRIATE SILT FENCING SHALL BE INSTALLED AND PROPERLY MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. THE PLANS SHOW THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AREAS INTENDED FOR SILT FENCE CONSTRUCTION. THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE OF THE TYPE DESIGNATED IN THE PLANS UNLESS THE ENGINEER APPROVES A SUBSTITUTE. PREPARED SILT FENCING ATTACHED TO WOODEN STAKES WILL NOT BE APPROVED - ONLY METAL POSTS AND WIRE-BRAIDED SILT FENCING WILL BE ACCEPTABLE. THE BASE OF THE FABRIC SHALL BE EMBEDDED IN A TRENCH PER THE PLANS AND AN APPROVED BACKFILL USED TO SECURE THE FABRIC. OUTLETS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR AS DESIGNATED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGINEER.

TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT DIVERSION DITCHES (SWALES) AND SOIL BERMS ARE REQUIRED THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT TO CONVEY SURFACE RUNOFF. ALL DITCHES SHALL BE BUILT TO THE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. THE PLANS SHOW THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AREAS INTENDED FOR SILT FENCE CONSTRUCTION. THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE OF THE TYPE DESIGNATED IN THE PLANS UNLESS THE ENGINEER APPROVES A SUBSTITUTE. PREPARED SILT FENCING ATTACHED TO WOODEN STAKES WILL NOT BE APPROVED - ONLY METAL POSTS AND WIRE-BRAIDED SILT FENCING WILL BE ACCEPTABLE. THE BASE OF THE FABRIC SHALL BE EMBEDDED IN A TRENCH PER THE PLANS AND AN APPROVED BACKFILL USED TO SECURE THE FABRIC. OUTLETS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR AS DESIGNATED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGINEER.

**TEMPORARY SEDIMENT TRAPS**

SEDIMENT TRAPS SHALL CONFORM TO WAKE COUNTY "CUSTOM BASIN" STANDARDS AND SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AT THE LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS SHOWN IN THE PLANS DURING THE EARLY STAGES OF CLEANING, ASSOCIATED DITCHES AND SILT FENCES SHALL BE INSTALLED. FIELD ADJUSTMENTS OF LOCATIONS MAY BE ALLOWABLE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER. ALL TEMPORARY SEDIMENT TRAPS SHALL BE CLEANED OUT AND MAINTAINED AS NEEDED FOR AS LONG AS NECESSARY TO PROTECT WATER QUALITY. ALL EARTHWORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE SEDIMENT TRAPS SHALL BE VEGATED UPON COMPLETION. THE TRAPS MAY BE LEFT IN PLACE PERMANENTLY, OR, ONCE THE ENGINEER DEEMS A TRAP TO BE OBSOLETE, IT MAY BE REMOVED AND THE GROUND RESTORED TO PROMOTE POSITIVE DRAINAGE. VEGETATION OR OTHER PROTECTIVE MEASURES SPECIFIED BY THE ENGINEER SHALL BE ESTABLISHED IMMEDIATELY AT THE SITE OF ANY ABANDONED TRAPS.

**SEDIMENT BASINS**

THE PERMANENT SEDIMENT BASIN (SB-1) LOCATED WEST OF THE LANDFILL SHALL SERVE AS THE PRIMARY SEDIMENT BASIN THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF THE FACILITY. THE SEDIMENT BASIN SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED TO THE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS AND SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. THE PLANS SHOW THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AREAS INTENDED FOR SILT FENCE CONSTRUCTION. THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE OF THE TYPE DESIGNATED IN THE PLANS UNLESS THE ENGINEER APPROVES A SUBSTITUTE. PREPARED SILT FENCING ATTACHED TO WOODEN STAKES WILL NOT BE APPROVED - ONLY METAL POSTS AND WIRE-BRAIDED SILT FENCING WILL BE ACCEPTABLE. THE BASE OF THE FABRIC SHALL BE EMBEDDED IN A TRENCH PER THE PLANS AND AN APPROVED BACKFILL USED TO SECURE THE FABRIC. OUTLETS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR AS DESIGNATED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGINEER.

**CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE**

FINAL CLOSURE OF PHASE 1 (ENGINEERING OF THE LANDFILL) - IMPROVE EXISTING CHANNELS LEADING TO SB-1 (CHANNELS #88 - #90) TO DESIGN DIMENSIONS AND/OR CHANNEL LINER MATERIAL AS NEEDED. INSTALL TEMPORARY MEASURES SHOWN ON CONSTRUCTION PLANS AND REMOVE SEDIMENT BUILDUP IN SB-1. PLACE AND COMPACT COVER SOIL ON SOLE SLOPES IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS. CONSTRUCT PERMANENT CAP DIVERSION BERMS AT 2 PERCENT GRADES (SEE CONSTRUCTION PLANS) AND INSTALL SLOPE DRAIN PILES. BE SURE TO COMPACT ALL SOIL WORK AND INSTALL INLET PROTECTION ON SOLE DRAINS. DELIVER SOIL TO THE SLOPE FROM THE TOP OF THE LANDFILL, BUT COMPLETE THE SOLE SLOPE CLOSURE IN WORKERS WORKING FROM THE BOTTOM UPWARDS TO HIGHER ELEVATIONS. VERY IMPORTANT - VEGETATE SOLE SLOPES USING STRAW MULCH AND ROCK AS SOON AS SECTIONS ARE COMPLETED TO STABILIZE THE SLOPES. REFER TO THE SEEDBED PREPARATION NOTES AND SEEDING RATES FOR FURTHER INFORMATION. THE SLOPES SHALL BE VEGETATED WITH WOODY MULCH IN AREAS THAT ARE NOT REACHED BY ADDITIONAL ACTIVITY FOR 20 DAYS. DURING ALL PHASES OF OPERATIONS, INSPECT THE SEDIMENT BASIN AND/OR OTHER MEASURES FOR EXCESS SEDIMENT BUILDUP OR DAMAGE - INSPECTIONS SHOULD BE CONDUCTED WEEKLY OR AFTER ANY RAINFALL EVENT MEASURING IN EXCESS OF ONE-HALF INCH WITHIN 24 HOURS. REMOVE EXCESS SEDIMENT AND/OR MAKE REPAIRS AS NEEDED. INSPECT SLOPES FREQUENTLY AND CORRECT OBVIOUS EROSION PROBLEMS.

SOIL EROSION ACTIVITIES AND PHASE 2 SITE PREPARATION - INSTALL TEMPORARY MEASURES (E.G., SILT FENCE) SHOWN ON CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOLLOWED BY CLEANING AND GRABBING FOR NEW REPAIRS AND/OR REPAIRS. PLACE ALL EXCESS SOIL FROM PHASE 1 OPERATIONS INTO THE SEDIMENT BASIN. THE SEDIMENT BASIN SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. THE PLANS SHOW THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AREAS INTENDED FOR SILT FENCE CONSTRUCTION. THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE OF THE TYPE DESIGNATED IN THE PLANS UNLESS THE ENGINEER APPROVES A SUBSTITUTE. PREPARED SILT FENCING ATTACHED TO WOODEN STAKES WILL NOT BE APPROVED - ONLY METAL POSTS AND WIRE-BRAIDED SILT FENCING WILL BE ACCEPTABLE. THE BASE OF THE FABRIC SHALL BE EMBEDDED IN A TRENCH PER THE PLANS AND AN APPROVED BACKFILL USED TO SECURE THE FABRIC. OUTLETS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR AS DESIGNATED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGINEER.

DURING OPERATIONAL OPERATIONS - AS WASTE SLOPES BECOME POSITIVE (ABOVE THE ELEVATIONS OF THE PERMITTER CHANNELS) USE VEGETATION AND/OR WOODY MULCH TO STABILIZE INTERNAL COVER SOIL. IT SHOULD BE CLOSED WITH APPROVED FINAL COVER. INTERNAL COVER SHALL BE APPLIED TO COVERED WOODY MULCH IN AREAS THAT ARE NOT REACHED BY ADDITIONAL ACTIVITY FOR 20 DAYS, OR MORE. DURING ALL PHASES OF OPERATIONS, INSPECT THE SEDIMENT BASIN AND/OR OTHER MEASURES FOR EXCESS SEDIMENT BUILDUP OR DAMAGE - INSPECTIONS SHOULD BE CONDUCTED WEEKLY OR AFTER ANY RAINFALL EVENT MEASURING IN EXCESS OF ONE-HALF INCH WITHIN 24 HOURS. REMOVE EXCESS SEDIMENT AND/OR MAKE REPAIRS AS NEEDED. INSPECT SLOPES FREQUENTLY AND CORRECT OBVIOUS EROSION PROBLEMS.

FINAL CLOSURE OF PHASE 2 - FINAL COVER SHALL BE APPLIED AND VEGETATED INDEPENDENTLY AS AREAS ARE BROUGHT TO FINAL DESIGN GRADES. SEE PROCEDURES FOR FINAL CLOSURE OF PHASE 1 (ABOVE). INSPECT AND REPAIR SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS NEEDED, ESTABLISH VEGETATION WITHIN 20 DAYS FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF GRABBING ACTIVITIES ON ALL PORTIONS OF THE LANDFILL FINAL COVER.

CONVERTING SEDIMENT BASIN TO STORM WATER QUALITY POND  
AFTER THE SITE IS STABILIZED WITH VEGETATION, INCLUDING THE DAM AND SOLE SLOPES WITHIN THE BASIN, THE BASIN SHALL BE INSPECTED AND ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT REMOVED. REPAIR ANY EROSION AND UPGRADE STONE ENERGY DISSIPATORS AND/OR VEGETATIVE COVER AS NEEDED. ENSURE THAT THE POND DRAIN IS FUNCTIONAL. MAKE SURE THE DRAIN IS SHUT. REMOVE ANY ACCUMULATED DEBRIS FROM THE TRASH RACK AND/OR RISER PIPE AND CHECK THE SECURITY OF THE RISER PIPE AND TRASH RACK. ENSURE ALL ENERGY DISSIPATORS, INCLUDING INLETS TO BASIN THAT EXTEND TO BOTTOM ARE IN PLACE. ENSURE ALL PILES, NETS, GRATES, AND APPROPRIATE PROTECTIVE MEASURES ARE FUNCTIONAL.

PROCEDURE FOR REPAIRING A PIPE OR RISER/BARRER STRUCTURE  
PERMANENT STORM DRAIN PILES AND RISER/BARRER STRUCTURES FOR THE SEDIMENT BASIN ARE DESIGNED FOR AN OPERATIONAL LIFE OF SEVERAL DECADES. IF A PIPE OR SEDIMENT BASIN RISER/BARRER STRUCTURE FAILS OR MUST BE RETROBUILT, THE STRUCTURE MAY BE TEMPORARILY BYPASSED DURING THE REPAIRS VIA PUMPING TO A TEMPORARY SEDIMENT TRAP. DETAILS, THIS SHOULD BE PERFORMED DURING A TIME OF FAIR WEATHER. INLETS TO AFFECTED PILES SHOULD BE DAMAGED AND RUNOFF DIVERTED TO AN APPROVED MEASURE.

REPAIR - INITIAL BARBER/ASSER REMOVAL ACTIVITIES SHALL CONSIST OF GRABBING THE BASIN (IF NEEDED), INSTALLING TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES (E.G., SILT FENCING, TEMPORARY SEDIMENT TRAPS, DIVERSION SWALES AND/OR BERMS, THEN REMOVING ALL SEDIMENT BUILD-UP. THE REMOVED SEDIMENT SHOULD BE STOCKPILED WITHIN THE BASIN AWAY FROM THE MAIN FLOW CHANNEL, OR WITHIN A SEPARATE AREA THAT IS PROTECTED BY APPROPRIATE SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES.

REPAIRMENT - THE DAMAGED PORTION OF THE STRUCTURE SHALL BE EXCAVATED AND REPAIRED WITH EQUAL OR BETTER MATERIALS AS THE ORIGINAL. ALL BARRELS SHALL BE COMPACTED AND VEGETATED WITHIN 20 DAYS OF COMPLETION OF REPAIRS. THE REPAIRS SHALL BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. THE PLANS SHOW THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AREAS INTENDED FOR SILT FENCE CONSTRUCTION. THE SILT FENCE SHALL BE OF THE TYPE DESIGNATED IN THE PLANS UNLESS THE ENGINEER APPROVES A SUBSTITUTE. PREPARED SILT FENCING ATTACHED TO WOODEN STAKES WILL NOT BE APPROVED - ONLY METAL POSTS AND WIRE-BRAIDED SILT FENCING WILL BE ACCEPTABLE. THE BASE OF THE FABRIC SHALL BE EMBEDDED IN A TRENCH PER THE PLANS AND AN APPROVED BACKFILL USED TO SECURE THE FABRIC. OUTLETS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT LOCATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR AS DESIGNATED IN THE FIELD BY THE ENGINEER.

NO.	DATE	REVISION

**David Garrett & Associates**  
Engineering and Geology  
5105 Harbour Towne Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604  
Email: david.garrett\_pg@mindspring.com 919-231-1818 (Office and Fax) 919-418-4375 (mobile)



PROJECT TITLE:  
A-1 SANDROCK, INC.  
CDFL PHASE 1 CLOSURE PLAN  
GUILFORD COUNTY (#41-17)

DRAWING TITLE:  
**SEDIMENTATION & EROSION CONTROL SCHEDULES & NARRATIVE (SHEET 3 OF 3)**

DESIGNED BY: A.W.H.  
CHECKED BY: CAD PH1  
DATE: JUNE 2008  
SCALE: AS SHOWN  
SHEET NO.: 5  
DRAWING NO.: EC3