

City of Greensboro
North Carolina



March 31, 2009
CERTIFIED MAIL 7007 2680 0000 8815 3533



North Carolina Department of Environment
and Natural Resources
Division of Waste Management
401 Oberlin Road
Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Attention: Edward F. Mussler, P.E.
Branch Head

Fac/Perm/Co ID #	Date	Doc ID#
<i>mplaw</i> 41-03	3/29/10	DIN/0223

Ming-Tai Chao, P.E.
Environmental Engineer II

Reference: White Street Landfill
Construction and Demolition Landfill Application

Gentlemen:

The City of Greensboro was in receipt of the comments regarding our permit renewal for the construction and demolition landfill on December 8, 2009. Upon receipt, we contacted your office to request clarification of the comments since it appeared that many of the requested items have been previously submitted, approved for implementation, and/or not related to activities proposed or on-going at the site. You indicated that you had not had an opportunity to review the original letter but would follow-up with me at a later date. To date, the City of Greensboro has not been in receipt of any additional clarification regarding our originally expressed concerns with the C&D permit renewal.

Most of our concerns resolved that the comments did not recognize the fact that the permit being sought was a renewal (originally issued in 1998) and not for a new disposal facility. The renewal guidelines being followed by the City were ones referenced at the 2008 SWANA Spring Technical Conference and in accordance with 15 NCAC 13B .0547. Examples of comments of concern include:

1. Facility plan approved by the City Council: The elected body approved the Phase II unit as a C&D landfill and noted its consistency with local zoning requirements in 1998. On July 19, 2001, City Council adopted a resolution supporting the continued use of the facility for C&D disposal. On May 20, 2008, City Council adopted a third resolution approving the permit renewal of

the C&D unit located at Phase II. The most recent approval was included in the renewal application. Per 15 NCAC 13B .0547, no further Council action was required.

2. Cover material placement and vector management: The Phase II unit has been in operation since 1998 and has been inspected semi-annually by the Winston-Salem Regional Inspector, Mr. Hugh Jernigan. Since operating, the unit received one NOV; not operationally based and later rescinded since the permit writer had not issued a permit renewal. Adequate cover materials and standards operating procedures have been consistent with the protection of the public health guidance which is integral to formerly issued permits. Since beginning the operation, and consistent with the other disposal units, the White Street landfill has maintained compliance with each solid waste management rule as well as others permit requirements governed by the CAA and the NPDES regulations.
3. Compliance with the Clean Air Act regulations: The C&D unit overlays a former sanitary landfill. A landfill gas collection system has been installed and operating within the Phase II unit since 1996. This system has been upgraded and modified to reflect the changes associated with the operations. Monitoring and permit records are semi-annually submitted to the U.S. EPA and the air quality section of NC DENR. Annually, the Winston-Salem Regional Inspector, Mr. Ray Stewart, reviews the operations and the system. To date, no air quality non-compliance issues have been associated with this unit or the landfill in its entirety.
4. Agreements with the Fire Department and Emergency Response: The City of Greensboro's emergency operations respond to all municipal infrastructure emergencies; Guilford County does not participate in any response. Regarding the landfill operations, the Fire Department does not immediately react but serves in a secondary role and await guidance and instructions from the waste disposal staff. The landfill has been working with this understanding since the 1970's. Contact information has been provided to the local emergency station and emergency response staff. Additionally, contact information is posted at the entrance to the facility. No contracts or agreements have been extended beyond our standard operating procedures. This information was included in the operating plan.
5. Recycling program: The City of Greensboro has no current or historical plans to integrate recycling within the C&D unit; thus, no recycling information was submitted.
6. Audit records: The City of Greensboro did not duplicate and submit copies of former audits or inspection records issued for the Phase II C&D unit. This facility receives a comprehensive inspection from only the Winston-Salem Regional office. This documentation is maintained by the regional office.
7. Closure and post closure operations: The City of Greensboro originally proposed to close the Phase II unit in accordance with the prescribed regulations; we have not modified these standard closure plans. A cost estimate and financial assurance based upon engineering estimates is annually submitted to NC DENR.


8. Groundwater monitoring plan: The City has been working with our environmental consultants to complete and submit semi-annual groundwater data to NC DENR. These reports have been submitted in accordance with the applicable groundwater regulations. Recently, the City has been addressing the remedial groundwater corrective actions for the White Street landfill. In 2007, the landfill was required to address groundwater concerns. Our corrective action plan has been approved by Ms. Jaclynne Drummond. Likewise, the selection of remedies has been approved too and is currently being implemented.

While I have not addressed each of the concerns issued to us in the December 2008 correspondence, the City of Greensboro will attend to the necessary and regulatory concerns regarding our appeal for permit renewal. Respectfully, we request that we not be required to duplicate records previously submitted to your office regarding our compliance with previously issued operating permits.

Please accept this correspondence to an electronic mail inquiry received by our office on March 19, 2009. Please note that while this form of communication is easily transmitted, as a local government, we have numerous filters installed and continuously updated that may or could prevent our receiving your electronic messages in the future.

I look forward to any opportunity to meet with you and your staff to resolve all concerns.


Very truly yours,



Jeryl W. Covington, P.E.
Director, Environmental Services Department

Enclosure: NC DENR December 2008 correspondence

CITY OF GREENSBORO
P.O. BOX 3136
GREENSBORO, NC 27402-3136
Environmental Services Dept.
Account No. 101-6501-01.5211



7007 2680 0000 8815 3533

UNITED STATES POSTAGE
METROPOST
02 1A
000438235
\$ 05.49
MAR 31 2008
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 27402



North Carolina Department of Environment
and Natural Resources
Division of Waste Management
Attention: Ming-Tai Chao, P.E.
401 Oberlin Road
Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Subject: Permit status for permit modification per 15A NCAC 13B .0547(4)
From: Ming Chao <ming.chao@ncmail.net>
Date: Thu, 19 Mar 2009 14:45:07 -0400
To: jeryl.covington@greensboro-nc.gov
CC: Ed Mussler <Ed.Mussler@ncmail.net>, Donna Wilson <Donna.Wilson@ncmail.net>, hugh.jernigan@ncmail.net, jason.watkins@ncmail.net, Jaclynne Drummond <Jaclynne.Drummond@ncmail.net>

Dear Ms. Covington:

The City of Greensboro submitted the Permit Renewal Application to comply with the Solid Waste Management Rule 15A NCAC 13B .0547 (4) for the continued operation of the White Street Landfill - Permit No. 41-03. The Solid Waste Section (the Section) of the Division of Waste Management performed a technical review of the submittal and concluded that additional information was necessary to facilitate making the final permit decision on or before June 30, 2009.

On December 4, 2008 the Section issued the comment letter (our document number DIN 6334) to you. The Section has not received any information or a written response from you. The purpose of this e-mail message is to inquire as to the status of the response to the above-referenced comment letter. Please provide the response or a proposed schedule for submitting a written response to the Section with in ten business days. If there are any outstanding issues that might need the Section's assistance, please feel free to contact me at 919-508-8507. Your corporation to this matter is appreciated.

Ming-Tai Chao, P.E.
Environmental Engineer II
Permitting Branch, Solid Waste Section
Division of Waste Management
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1646
401 Oberlin Road, Suite 150, 27605
tel: 919.508.8507, fax 919.733.4810
ming.chao@ncmail.net <<mailto:ming.chao@ncmail.net>>
<http://wastenotnc.org>

/

/E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the //North Carolina// Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties./

6. (Section 14.0) The Operational Plan (Plan) needs to address if the C&D landfill unit has complied with any applicable requirements developed under a State Implementation Plan (SIP) approved or promulgated by the U.S. EPA Administrator pursuant to Section 110 of the Clean Act, as amended. Additionally the Plan needs to address what types of fire fighting equipments (such as fire extinguishes, stockpile soil, water from sediment basins, etc.) are available on-site. Are the compactors, dozers, and other facility equipment equipped with proper fire extinguishers? The written agreement or proof of arrangement for the fire-fighting services at the C&DLF from the Greensboro Fire Department. The document shall be a portion of the permit application. In the event fires or explosions occur at the site, the contents of the written notification required by Rule .0542(i)(4) must be described in the Plan. Please clarify.
7. (Section 18.0 & Map CD-80B) There are two SB #6 shown on Map CD-80B. NPDES is the acronym of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. Please make necessary corrections.
8. The Applicant needs to provide the Division the written agreement or proof of arrangement for handling hazardous wastes and substances found at the C&DLF from the hazardous material emergency response team for Guilford County.
9. If there is a recycling program proposing to be conducted at the C&DLF site, the recycling processes including, but not limited to, segregation procedures, estimated waste amount per week, stockpile and storage locations, schedule of off-site removal need to be incorporated to the waste screening and segregation plan. The information of the companies that have contracted to City of Greensboro to haul the recyclable wastes off-facility need to be provided in the Operations Plan. The total amount of each of the recyclable wastes must be documented in the operating record. Scales shall be used to weigh the amount of recyclable waste.
10. (Section 16.0 & Appendix C) There is a typographic error of the NCDENR- Winston Salem phone number; the correct one is 336-771-5000. Please make the necessary correction.
11. (Section 22.0) According to Rule .0542(n)(1) any cost estimates and all audit records, compliance records, and inspections records must be added to the "Record Keeping" Section. Please revise the section 22.0.
12. What kinds of provisions are there to handle leachate seeping out of the closed MSWLF overlain by the C&DLF unit? Please clarify.

Closure Plan

13. Please define the largest area to be closed at any time during the C&DLF active life that is consistent with drawings prepared for and matching the cost estimate for the proposed closure activities.
14. The Rule .0547(4)(d) requires the C&DLF on top of a closed MSWLF to be closed in accordance with Rule .1627; therefore the closure notification, verification, and schedule must be implemented in accordance with Rules .1627(c) (4) – (7) not Rule.0543 which stated in the second paragraph of Section 1.0. Please make necessary corrections.
15. Will there be an intermediate soil cover to be placed, compacted, and grade for surface flow over the C&D wastes prior to install the final cap system? Will portions of the \$400,000 of the costs for backfill/grading/stormwater (See cost estimate) be used for the construction of intermediate soil cover? Please clarify.
16. The Rule .1627 (c)(1) requires the cap has " a permeability less than or equal soils underlying the landfill, or the permeability specified for the final cover in the effective permit, or a permeability no greater than 1.0×10^{-5} cm/sec, **whichever is less.**" What is the permeability of the foundation soil underlying the C&DLF which is the final cover for the closed Phase II MSWLF? Please provide the permeability testing results of the foundation soil and sample locations relative to the landfill footprint to support the proposed permeability of soil cap. If the above-requested

data are not available, the alternative soil cap system consisting geosynthetic material and earthen material may be warranted and proposed in the Closure Plan. Additionally, the groundwater underneath the landfill property has been contaminated due to leachate seeping to groundwater. Should the Closure Plan propose a site specific alternative final cover system, rather than a prescribe one stated in the Rule, to incorporate and enforce the proposed final remedy- monitored natural attenuation that is proposed in the Corrective Action Plan by mitigating or eliminating additional amount leachate resulting from external water percolating and infiltrating through the final cover during the post closure period? Please clarify.

17. Please provide the slope stability analysis data (including the veneer slope stability and global stability) to support the final cap design. And the soil engineering properties including shear strength, density, internal friction angle used for designing the final soil cover system must be considered as the minimum criteria to select the earthen or synthetic material and be field tested in according to the requirements specified in the Construction Quality Control and Quality Assurance (CQA) Plan.
18. A CQA plan, appended to the Closure Plan shall be prepared in accordance with Rule .1621. The CQA plan must describe the specifications and certification of proposed cap construction material and products, construction procedures and sequences (demonstrated by the test pad results), observations and tests (frequencies and methods) that will be used before, during, and upon completion of the final cap construction to ensure that the completed final cap system meets or exceeds the requirements stated in Rule .1624. This CQA Plan will be one of the bases for the preparing CQA Report [Rule .1624(b)(16)] described in the Section 2.0-Closure Verification of the proposed Closure Plan. Please submit a CQA Plan for constructing the final cap system accordingly.
19. The installation of gas venting system below the low permeability barrier of the closed landfill is required by Rule 1627(c)(3)(B). Additionally the costs for installing methane gas control – passive extraction is considered in the closure activities. Therefore, please provide a plan (with a typical gas vent/probe detail sketch, a layout map, and specifications) to construct gas venting system over the closed C&DLF in the Closure Plan.
20. (Sections 1.0 & 4.0) Will the construction, maintenance, and repair of stormwater drainage systems and sedimentation and erosion control devices for closure & post-closure activities be coordinated with the site-specific Storm Water Pollution and Prevention Plan (SWPPP) mentioned in the Operational Plan? If so, please make a reference and summarize the requirements stated in the SWPPP in the Sections 1.0 & 4.0. If not, the erosion and sediment control plan required by Rules .1627(c)(3) & .1624(b)(15) needs to be described in the Sections 1.0 & 4.0.

Post-Closure Plan

21. Which landfill unit (Phases 1, 2, or 3, or all of them) has been included and covered by the Post-Closure Plan? Please be specific.
22. The Post-Closure Plan must provide the name, address, and telephone number of the person or office to contact about the facility during the post-closure period [Rule .1629(c)(2)].
23. Please describe the future planned land use on the closed landfill during the post-closure period [Rule .1629(c)(3)].
24. What kinds of provisions are there to handle leachate seeping out of the final cap (the C&DLF and the unlined MSWLF units) during the post-closure period? Does the cost estimate for post-closure cares cover the expense for manage leachate management?
25. What kinds of provisions are there to maintain the landfill gas control system during the post-closure period which will ultimately be the City Greensboro's responsibility? Does the cost estimate for post-closure cares cover the expense for long-term management and maintenance of the landfill gas control system? Please clarify.

Financial Assurance

26. (Cost Estimate for C&DLF Closure) Does the cost estimate take into account soil shrinkage factors for the infiltration layer and vegetative support layer?
27. (Cost Estimate for C&DLF Closure) Has there any costs associated with closure verification (described in the Section 2.0 of the Closure Plan) been considered in the cost estimates for closure activities? The costs for installation of temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control measures are not included in the cost estimate. The cost items for Mobilization, CQC, Project Administrative, Bonds and CQA are confusion (4% for unit price, CQA quantity is 5 & unit is "%"). Please clarify.
28. The City Greensboro letter (the last sentence on page 1 and continued to the following paragraph on page 2) dated June 23, 2008 indicated that the annual costs of \$248,880 will cover post-closure cares over Phase II MSWLF and C&DLF unit; however, the enclosed table containing the cost estimate for post-closure activities indicated the annual costs of \$248,880 will cover both Phases I & II (205 acres) MSWLF (assumed including the C&DLF unit on top of the closed Phase II MSWLF). Please clarify and make necessary correction.

Appendix D – Water Quality Monitoring Plan (WQMP)

29. (Section 3) The WQMP needs to provide a table of summary data of each monitoring well; the data includes, but not limited to, elevations of ground surface, top of casing, top & bottom of the well screen, & well bottom, survey coordinates, total well depth, soil formation around well screen.
30. (Section 3) What provisions of sample sequences, storage, and transport are there to avoid cross-contamination when sampling groundwater from monitoring wells and surface water from the designated monitoring points along Buffalo Creek? Please clarify.
31. (Section 3) The WQMP needs to address how to manage the handling, storage, and disposal of investigated derived wastes (such as spent PPE, decontamination wastes, purged well water, etc) in the sampling event.
32. There are many discrepancies found between Figure CD-81B and Figures 1 & 2 in WQMP:
 - Monitoring well ID is not consistent. GMMW-XX, GMMWI-XX, and GMMWII-XX are used on Figure CD-81B, and MW-XX, I-XX and II-XX are used on Figure 1 of WQMP.
 - There are three GMMWII-3 shown on Figure CD-81B.
 - A well GMMWI-5 and new wells II-9, II-10, II-11 shown on Figure CD-81B are not found on Figure 1 of WQMP.
 - The surface water monitoring point, SW-1 is not on Figure 2 of WQMP.Please make necessary corrections.
33. Please provide Attachments 1 (Daily Field Report Form) and 2 (Chain of Custody) to the WQMP.
34. (Sections 3.4.1 & 5) The Section 5.4 of the WQMP proposes to use the North Carolina groundwater quality standards (15A NCAC 2L, .0202) as the groundwater quality protection standards for the facility. However, the Section 3.4.1 and Tables 3A & 3B listed Solid Waste Section Limits as the groundwater quality protection standards. Please clarify.
35. What will be the surface water quality protection standards for surface water in the drainage features – especially Buffalo Creek in the vicinity of the facility? The WQMP must present the proposed surface water quality protection standards.
36. The Section 3.2.1.1 of the WQMP proposes the groundwater parameters to be field measured including temperature, pH, conductivity, oxidation reduction potential and dissolved oxygen; however, the Table 3B only listed three parameters temperature, pH, conductivity to be measured in field. Please clarify.

37. Please provide the proposed upstream (or background) surface water monitoring point for the facility-specific WQMP.
38. The well logs and boring logs for monitoring wells II-2 & II-5 are not provided in the Appendix III of the WQMP. The well log for well MW-13 is not available, either. Please provide the missing logs.
39. Why there are two water quality monitoring plans – the WQMP in Appendix D and Revised WQMP (aka CAMP) proposing for the site? The Permittee may want to arrange and prepare the CAMP by incorporating both site-wide detection monitoring program and corrective monitoring plan into a single WQMP.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

40. Tables 1 through 6 summarize historical groundwater quality monitoring results of constituents of concern (COCs) in well I-5; however, the well location is not shown on Figures 3 & 4. The Section 2.3 does not describe 1,4-dichlorobenzene and thallium detection and exceedance of respective NC 2L standards in well I-5. Please make necessary corrections.

The Division appreciates your efforts and cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions or would like to schedule a meeting to discuss this matter further, please contact me at (919) 508- 8507.

Sincerely,



Ming-Tai Chao, P.E.
Environmental Engineer II
Solid Waste Section

cc: Ed Mussler, DWM
Donna Wilson, DWM
Jackie Drummond, DWM
Hugh Jernigan, DWM
Jason Watkins, DWM
Central Files