



FACILITY COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT
Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Section

UNIT TYPE:											
Lined MSWLF		LCID		YW		Transfer		Compost		SLAS	COUNTY: Lincoln PERMIT NO.: 55-04 FILE TYPE: COMPLIANCE
Closed MSWLF		HHW		White goods		Incineration		T&P		FIRM	
CDLF	X	Tire T&P / Collection		Tire Monofill		Industrial Landfill		DEMO		SDTF	

Date of Audit: April 27, 2010

Date of Last Audit: April 13, 2010

FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS:

BFI-Lake Norman Landfill
 7099 Quarry Lane
 Stanley, North Carolina 28164

GPS COORDINATES: N: 35.43310 W: 081.01368

FACILITY CONTACT NAME AND PHONE NUMBER:

Jeffery Geiss, Site Manager (704) 822-3033
jgeiss@republicservices.com

FACILITY CONTACT ADDRESS:

Same

AUDIT PARTICIPANTS:

Jeffery Geiss, Site Manager
 R.C. Hare, Equipment Operator
 Charles Gerstell, NCDENR-Solid Waste Section

STATUS OF PERMIT:

A Permit to Operate the Lake Norman Landfill Facility Cell 2B was issued on June 28, 2007. This permit shall be reviewed on November 1, 2010, five years from the issuance of the Permit to Operate Cells 1A, 1B, and 2A, dated October 12, 2005.

PURPOSE OF AUDIT:

Follow-up Audit

NOTICE OF VIOLATION(S):

None

You are hereby advised that, pursuant to N.C.G.S. 130A-22, an administrative penalty of up to \$15,000 per day may be assessed for each violation of the Solid Waste Statute or Regulations. For the violation(s) noted here, you may be subject to enforcement actions including penalties, injunction from operation of a solid waste management facility or a solid waste collection service and any such further relief as may be necessary to achieve compliance with the North Carolina Solid Waste Management Act and Rules.

FACILITY COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT
Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Section

Page 2 of 3

STATUS OF PAST NOTED VIOLATIONS:

None

AREAS OF CONCERN AND COMMENTS:

1. This inspection was performed as a follow-up audit to evaluate the efforts taken to address deficiencies observed during the previous inspection performed on April 13, 2010.
2. Waste is currently being accepted into cell 2B
3. No significant dust issues were observed during this inspection. This condition was much improved from the previous inspection.
4. Work continues to re-grade portions of the ditchline along the haul road on cell 1A. As noted on the previous inspection, as a result of this work, some waste has been exposed on the slopes adjacent to the road. Some areas of exposed waste had been repaired since the previous inspection. Continue efforts to cover any exposed waste with appropriate soil as work is completed.
5. The working face of the landfill was less than one-half acre at the time of inspection.
6. A single load of pallets and a load of cardboard with styrofoam was delivered to the landfill during the inspection. It did not appear that these loads were generated from a construction or demolition project as no demolition material was mixed with the waste. Mr. Geiss stated that he would remove these two loads from the working face and have them properly disposed of.
7. Inspection found a significant improvement in operational cover. Continue efforts to ensure that a minimum of six inches of cover is provided when the disposal area exceeds one-half acre and at least once weekly.
8. Windblown waste was slight at the time of inspection. This condition was much improved from the previous inspection. Continue efforts to collect all windblown waste and return it to the working face at the conclusion of each operating day.
9. The diversion ditch located between cells 2A and 2B had been re-graded since the last inspection. No standing water was observed within in the ditch.
10. All waste observed on the ground surrounding the public access area at the container storage area had been removed and properly disposed of. This area was much improved from the previous inspection.
11. During the previous inspection, two roll-off containers full of waste were observed within the container storage area. This inspection found that waste within the two previously mentioned containers had been removed. However, multiple containers were still observed containing small amounts of waste ranging from dry wall material to shingles. Continue efforts to remove all remaining waste from within the containers at the storage area.
12. Some accumulated sediment had been removed from the diversion ditch at the southeast corner of the landfill since the last inspection. However, additional material needed to be removed to prevent overtopping of the device.
13. Accumulated sediment had been removed from the diversion ditch located on the southwest side of the landfill since the last inspection as requested.
14. Thank-you for efforts taken to address those concerns outlined in the previous inspection.

FACILITY COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT
Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Section

Page 3 of 3

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this audit report.


Charles T. Gerstell
Environmental Senior Specialist
Regional Representative

Phone: (704) 235-2144

Delivered on : <u>5/6/10</u> by	X	Electronic Correspondence		US Mail		Certified No. []
---------------------------------	----------	------------------------------	--	---------	--	-------------------

Cc: Mark Poindexter, Field Operations Branch Supervisor
Deborah Aja, Western District Supervisor

Brad Green, General Manager; bgreen@republicservices.com
Mike Gurley, Environmental Manager; mgurley@republicservices.com