

Permit No.	Date	Document ID No.
44-07	September 12, 2008	5807

**IBLE** INC.  
**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**  
 GEOTECHNICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS CONSULTANTS

# DESIGN HYDROGEOLOGIC REPORT PHASES 3 AND 4

**WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA**

*Prepared For:*



MCGILL ASSOCIATES, P.A.  
 55 Broad Street  
 Asheville, North Carolina 28801

*Prepared By:*

BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.  
 6004 Ponders Court  
 Greenville, South Carolina 29615

July 11, 2008

BLE Project Number J07-1957-02





**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**

GEOTECHNICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS CONSULTANTS

Fac/Perm/Co ID #	Date	Doc ID#
4A-07	9, 12, 08	5807

July 11, 2008

McGill Associates, P.A.  
55 Broad Street  
Asheville, North Carolina 28801

Attention: Mr. Jeffrey Bishop, P.E.

Subject: **Design Hydrogeologic Report  
Phases 3 & 4  
White Oak MSW Landfill  
Haywood County, North Carolina  
BLE Project Number J07-1957-02**

Gentlemen:

Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc. (BLE) has completed the Design Hydrogeologic Study for Phases 3 & 4 at the White Oak MSW Landfill. This report addresses the relevant site application requirements as outlined in the North Carolina Rules for Solid Waste Management, 15A NCAC 13B .1623 (b). The attached report describes the work performed and presents the results obtained.

We appreciate the opportunity to serve as your geological and geotechnical consultant on this project and look forward to continue working with you at the White Oak MSW Landfill. If you have any questions, please contact us at (864) 288-1265.

Sincerely,

BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.


Mark S. Preddy, P.G.  
Senior Hydrogeologist  
Registered, North Carolina #1043



Andrew W. Alexander, P.G.  
Senior Hydrogeologist  
Registered, North Carolina #1475



Daniel B. Bunnell, P.E.  
Principal Geotechnical Engineer  
Registered, North Carolina #13814



c:\msp files\haywood co lfs nc\active white oak lf\195702\white oak dhr.doc

**RECEIVED**

**SOLID WASTE SECTION  
ASHEVILLE REGIONAL OFFICE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>REPORT CROSS-REFERENCE INDEX OF APPLICABLE NORTH CAROLINA STATE REGULATIONS .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
<b>2.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.1 TEST BORING AND SOIL SAMPLING.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.2 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
<b>2.3 LABORATORY TESTING .....</b>	<b>2-2</b>
<b>2.4 FRACTURE TRACE ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>2-2</b>
<b>2.5 FIELD RECONNAISSANCE .....</b>	<b>2-3</b>
<b>3.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.2 REGIONAL HYDROGEOLOGY.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.3 STUDY AREA PHYSIOGRAPHY AND TOPOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>3-2</b>
<b>3.4 STUDY AREA SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS.....</b>	<b>3-2</b>
<b>3.4.1 Geologic Unit Description.....</b>	<b>3-3</b>
<b>3.4.1.1 Residual Soil.....</b>	<b>3-3</b>
<b>3.4.1.2 Partially Weathered Rock .....</b>	<b>3-3</b>
<b>3.4.1.3 Fractured Bedrock .....</b>	<b>3-3</b>
<b>3.4.2 Fracture Trace Analysis .....</b>	<b>3-4</b>
<b>3.4.3 Laboratory Testing Results .....</b>	<b>3-4</b>
<b>3.5 STUDY AREA HYDROGEOLOGY .....</b>	<b>3-5</b>
<b>3.5.1 Piezometers and Monitoring Wells.....</b>	<b>3-6</b>
<b>3.5.2 Groundwater Elevations.....</b>	<b>3-6</b>
<b>3.5.2.1 Seasonal High Groundwater Elevations.....</b>	<b>3-6</b>
<b>3.5.2.2 Estimated Long-Term Seasonal High                     Groundwater Elevations .....</b>	<b>3-6</b>
<b>3.5.3 Groundwater Flow Direction .....</b>	<b>3-6</b>
<b>3.5.4 Man-made Influences to Groundwater Levels .....</b>	<b>3-7</b>
<b>3.5.5 Hydraulic Coefficients and Groundwater Flow Velocity .....</b>	<b>3-7</b>
<b>3.5.5.1 Hydraulic Conductivity.....</b>	<b>3-7</b>
<b>3.5.5.2 Hydraulic Gradient .....</b>	<b>3-8</b>
<b>3.5.5.3 Effective Porosity and Specific Yield.....</b>	<b>3-8</b>
<b>3.5.5.4 Groundwater Flow Velocity .....</b>	<b>3-8</b>



	3.5.6 Vertical Flow Gradients.....	3-9
3.6	GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS .....	3-9
	3.6.1 Fault Areas.....	3-9
	3.6.2 Seismic Impact Zones .....	3-9
	3.6.3 Unstable Areas.....	3-9
	3.6.4 Permeability of Potential On-Site Soils for Liner and Cover Construction .....	3-10
	3.6.5 Excavation.....	3-10
	3.6.6 Engineered Fill .....	3-11
4.0	CONCLUSIONS .....	4-1
5.0	ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	5-1

**TABLES**

**FIGURES**

**APPENDICES**

## LIST OF TABLES

### Table

1. **Monitoring Well, Piezometer, and Boring Survey Information**
2. **Monitoring Well and Piezometer Construction Details**
3. **Groundwater Depth and Elevation Measurements**
4. **Summary of *In Situ* Hydraulic Testing – Slug Test Results**
5. **Summary of Laboratory Results – Split-Spoon and Shelby Tube Samples**
6. **Summary of Laboratory Results – Remolded Bag Samples**
7. **Summary of Groundwater Flow Velocity Calculations**
8. **Summary of Hydrogeologic Characteristics of Geologic Units**
9. **Vertical Hydraulic Gradients and Flow Rates**

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

### **Figure**

- 1. Site Location Map**
- 2. Generalized Geologic Map of North Carolina**
- 3. Site Topographic Map and Piezometer/Boring Location Plan**
- 4. Geologic Profiles: Cross-Section A-A' and Cross-Section B-B'**
- 5. Top of Bedrock (Auger Refusal) Elevation Contour Map**
- 6. Water Table Elevation Contour Map (February 14, 2008)**
- 7. Seasonal High Water Table Elevation Contour Map – (9/20/07 - 2/14/08)**
- 8. Estimation of Long-Term Seasonal High Water Table Elevation Contour Map**



## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

### **Appendix**

- A. Drilling and Sampling Procedures**
- B. Field Logs of Borings**
- C. Soil Test Boring/Rock Coring Records and Well Diagrams**
- D. Piezometer Installation Procedures**
- E. Precipitation and Groundwater Level Data & Charts**
- F. Slug Test Procedures and Results**
- G. Soil Laboratory Test Procedures**
- H. Soil Laboratory Test Results**
- I. Geotechnical Calculations**

**REPORT CROSS-REFERENCE INDEX OF  
 APPLICABLE NORTH CAROLINA STATE REGULATIONS**

15A NCAC 13B .1623 (b) Design Hydrogeologic Report Requirements

STATE REGULATIONS	LOCATION IN REPORT
(b) (1) (A)	Sections 3.5.2.1, 3.6.3; Table 3; Figures 5, 7; Appendices E, I
(b) (1) (B)	Section 3.5; Tables 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9; Figures 4, 6, 7, 8; Appendices C, F, H
(b) (2) (A)	From 15A NCAC 13B .1623(a)
(a) (4) (A)	Sections 2.1, 3.4.1; Appendices A, B, C
(a) (4) (B)	Sections 2.3, 3.4.3; Tables 5, 6; Appendices G, H
(a) (4) (C)	Sections 3.4.1, 3.4.3; Tables 5, 6; Appendices B, C, H
(a) (4) (D)	Sections 3.4; Tables 5, 6; Appendices B, C
(a) (4) (E)	Sections 3.4.3, 3.5.5; Tables 4, 5, 8; Appendices F, H
(a) (5)	Sections 2.4, 3.4.2
(a) (6)	Figure 4
(a) (7) (A)	Table 3
(a) (7) (B)	Table 3; Appendix E
(a) (7) (C)	Section 3.5.2.2; Table 3; Figure 8; Appendix E
(a) (7) (D)	Sections 3.2, 3.5.2, 3.5.3, 3.5.4
(a) (8)	Sections 3.5.5, 3.5.6; Tables 7, 9; Figures 4, 6; Appendix F
(a) (9)	Figures 6, 7, 8
(a) (10)	Figure 3
(a) (11)	Appendices B, C
(a) (12)	Sections 3.3, 3.4.2; Appendix I
(b) (2) (B)	Sections 3.4, 3.5; Tables 3, 4, 7, 8, 9; Figures 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
(b) (2) (C)	Sections 3.0; Tables 3, 4, 7, 8, 9; Figures 4, 5, 6, 7, 8
(b) (2) (D)	Sections 2.1, 2.4, 3.4.1.3, 3.4.2; Figure 5; Appendices B, C
(b) (2) (E)	Figure 7
(b) (2) (F)	Figure 5
(b) (2) (G)	Figure 4
(b) (2) (H)	Section 3.5; Tables 3, 4, 7, 8, 9; Figures 4, 6, 7, 8
(b) (2) (I)	Section 2.2
(b) (3) (A)	Included in a separate document prepared by BLE
(b) (3) (B)	Included in a separate document prepared by BLE
(b) (3) (C)	Included in a separate document prepared by BLE

## 1.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

The existing 286-acre White Oak Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill facility is located in Haywood County, North Carolina, approximately 12 miles north of Waynesville at the Fines Creek Exit (Exit 15) off of Interstate 40 (Figure 1). The landfill is owned and operated by Haywood County. Currently, Phase 1 and 2 cell areas have been developed. Haywood County now plans to develop the Phase 3 and 4 cell area. The existing and proposed waste cell layout is provided on Figure 3.

The landfill development is being implemented in phases as new solid waste cells are needed. This *Design Hydrogeologic Report* (DHR) addresses the geological and geotechnical investigation required for the construction permitting process. The investigation was performed in accordance with the applicable North Carolina Rules for Solid Waste Management (15A NCAC 13B .1623 (b)). Data from previously performed investigations are compiled into this report, as is relevant to the Phase 4 area. The previous investigations include the following:

- *Project Design Manual, White Oak Sanitary Landfill*, Tribble & Richardson, Inc, dated March 1992.
- *Permit Renewal, Landfill Expansion, Design Hydrogeologic Report, White Oak Sanitary Landfill*, Steffen, Robertson, and Kirsten, Inc. Project Number 83507, dated September, 1997.
- *Permit Renewal, Landfill Expansion, Design Hydrogeologic Report (REV 1), White Oak Sanitary Landfill*, Steffen, Robertson, and Kirsten, Inc. Project Number 83507, dated July, 1998.
- *Site Hydrogeologic Report, White Oak Subtitle D Landfill*, Municipal Engineering Project Number G98010.5, dated February 8, 2000.

-oOo-

## **2.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION**

The field investigation of the Phase 3 and 4 areas was conducted during July 2007 and February 2008. Taken together, the investigation of the Phase 3 and 4 areas has included:

- performing soil test borings and rock coring;
- installing permanent groundwater monitoring wells and temporary piezometers;
- measuring water levels;
- performing hydraulic testing on piezometers;
- performing soil laboratory testing;
- performing settlement and slope stability evaluations; and
- evaluating location restrictions as outlined in the applicable solid waste regulations.

A discussion of the investigative methodologies used in the site evaluation is provided below. The field activities reported below were performed under the direction of a North Carolina-licensed geologist or engineer. A North Carolina-licensed driller performed the borings, piezometer installation, and monitoring well installation. The boring locations/piezometers were surveyed for horizontal and vertical control, by McGill Associates, Inc. of Asheville, North Carolina, after completion of the drilling activities.

### **2.1 TEST BORING AND SOIL SAMPLING**

The North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) requires that Design Hydrogeologic Studies include the performance of one boring per acre of permitted cell area, and on the area within 150 feet downgradient of the cells. The area of Phases 3 and 4, including the area downgradient of the cells, is approximately 19.9 acres. Including previous phases of work and this project, 26 borings have been performed in the Phase 3 and 4 areas, which include 24 piezometers/wells. Eight of the borings were pre-existing and 18 new borings were performed during this phase of work.

The new soil test boring locations and depths were selected to comply with the applicable NCDENR rules and were performed in general accordance with ASTM D 1586. Soil samples were obtained from the soil test borings at 2.5-foot intervals within the upper ten feet below the ground surface, and at five-foot intervals deeper than ten feet below the ground surface. Drilling techniques consisted of hollow-stem augering and rock coring. Refer to Appendix A for discussion of the various drilling techniques used.

Soil test boring logs were produced in the field by a geologist (Appendix B). The soil descriptions on the field logs were based on visual examination and grain-size estimations in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Upon completion of laboratory grain-size and Atterberg Limit analyses, the preliminary field classifications were adjusted accordingly on the final boring logs. The final boring log records are included in Appendix C.

### **2.2 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION**

Nineteen piezometers were installed to monitor water table elevations and further characterize the study area hydrogeology. The piezometers supplement the five monitoring wells installed by

others during previous phases of work in the Phase 3 and 4 areas. Piezometer installation records are included with the boring logs in Appendix C, and field installation procedures are described in Appendix D. Survey information for the piezometers and monitoring wells is presented on Table 1, and well/piezometer construction details are summarized on Table 2.

Groundwater elevations were measured in the new piezometers at the time of boring and after 24 hours. Measurements were taken in the piezometers and monitoring wells during the period from September 2007 to February 2008 to determine the seasonal groundwater trends. The historical water level data is included on Table 3, which includes available water level information from the monitoring wells from June 1998 to April 2007. Historical precipitation data for the Haywood County region is included in Appendix E.

Field permeability (slug) tests were performed in six piezometers in the study area to measure the *in situ* hydraulic conductivity of different units of the water table aquifer. Slug test field procedures and data plots are presented in Appendix F and a summary of the results are presented on Table 4.

The piezometers are intended only for investigation use, were not constructed as permanent monitoring wells, and will not be part of the permanent groundwater monitoring system. Prior to construction activities, the piezometers will be abandoned in accordance with 15A NCAC 2C, Rule .0113(a)(2) by drilling them out and filling the resulting boreholes with a grout mixture.

### **2.3 LABORATORY TESTING**

Laboratory tests were conducted to confirm the field classifications and quantify pertinent engineering soil properties. Soil samples were collected using split-spoon samplers, Shelby tubes (undisturbed), and from the auger cuttings (bulk samples). The laboratory tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM specifications, where available. Brief descriptions of the test procedures are included in Appendix G. Soil laboratory testing results are included in Appendix H and are summarized on Tables 5 and 6, which include laboratory results from tests performed during this phase of work.

### **2.4 FRACTURE TRACE ANALYSIS**

The fracture trace analysis consisted of evaluating exposed rock outcrops and topographic fracture traces and lineaments as discussed below. The data was included in the *Site Hydrogeologic Report, White Oak Subtitle D Landfill*, Municipal Engineering Project Number G98010.5, dated February 8, 2000.

*Exposed Rock Outcrops:* Using a Brunton compass, the orientations of exposed bedrock fractures (open joints, open foliation, open bedding planes) were measured. The field measurements were plotted on a Schmidt lower hemisphere equal-area stereonet and Rose diagrams.

*Topographic Fracture Traces and Lineaments:* Regionally, pronounced depressions typically develop along zones of weakness in the bedrock where fractures induce preferential weathering. This preferential weathering along the bedrock fractures is ultimately expressed topographically as linear valleys. The trend of fracture traces and lineaments greater than 1,000 feet in length within a

1-mile radius of the site were measured from topographic maps and plotted as data on Rose diagrams.

## **2.5 FIELD RECONNAISSANCE**

The study area was traversed to map rock outcrops and surface drainage features. The information obtained was integrated with the geologic information already collected at the site during previous phases of work. Bedrock fracture orientations were measured from the rock outcrops as part of the fracture trace analysis.

-oOo-

### 3.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION

#### 3.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The subject site is located within the Blue Ridge Belt (Figure 2). The crystalline rocks of the Blue Ridge occur in generally northeast-southwest trending geologic belts in the Carolinas and Virginia. Precambrian-age (Proterozoic) basement complexes of metamorphosed igneous and sedimentary rocks underlie the region (Hadley and Goldsmith, 1963; Horton and Zullo, 1991). The site is underlain by the Middle to Late Proterozoic-aged Spring Creek Granitoid Gneiss, which are metamorphosed-igneous rocks. The multiple metamorphic deformations of the igneous rocks have resulted in biotite granitic gneiss interlayered with biotite granodiorite gneiss, tonalitic gneiss, quartz monzodiorite gneiss, amphibolite, biotite gneiss, and biotite schist (Carter and Weiner, 1999). Late Proterozoic-aged Great Smoky Group has been mapped southeast of the facility boundary, which are metamorphosed-sedimentary rocks. The multiple metamorphic deformations of the sedimentary rocks have resulted in metagraywache, with lesser amounts of locally interbedded kyanite-garnet-mica schist, garnet-mica schist, and calc-silicate granofels (Carter and Weiner, 1999). In the vicinity of the site, bedding and foliation generally strike northeast-southwest and dips moderately to the southeast. Structurally, the contact between the Spring Creek Granitoid Gneiss and the Great Smoky Graywache is mapped as a thrust fault in which the Great Smokey formation overlies the Spring Creek formation (Carter and Weiner, 1999).

Holocene and younger age faults were not indicated on site or within 200 feet of the site from the literature review or from the field reconnaissance.

The typical residual soil profile consists of clayey soils near the surface, where soil weathering is more advanced, underlain by sandy silts and silty sands. Residual soil zones develop by the *in situ* chemical weathering of bedrock, and are commonly referred to as "saprolite." Saprolite usually consists of silt with lesser amounts of sand, clay, and large rock fragments. The thickness of the saprolite in the Piedmont ranges from a few feet to more than 100 feet. The boundary between soil and rock is not sharply defined.

A transitional zone of partially weathered rock is normally found overlying the parent bedrock. Partially weathered rock is defined, for engineering purposes, as residual material with standard penetration resistance in excess of 100 blows per foot (bpf). Fractures, joints, and the presence of less resistant rock types facilitate weathering. Consequently, the profile of the partially weathered rock and hard rock is quite irregular and erratic, even over short horizontal distances. Also, it is not unusual to find lenses and boulders of hard rock and zones of partially weathered rock within the soil mantle, well above the general bedrock level. Often during construction, this material can be excavated using conventional earth moving equipment.

#### 3.2 REGIONAL HYDROGEOLOGY

The uppermost groundwater in the Blue Ridge in the vicinity of the site usually occurs as unconfined, water table aquifers in three primary geologic zones: 1) residual soil; 2) partially weathered rock; and 3) fractured bedrock. These zones are typically interconnected through open fractures and pore spaces. The configuration of the water table generally resembles the local topography.

In the residual soil and partially weathered rock zone, groundwater is stored within the pore spaces and is released to the underlying bedrock through gravity drainage. Groundwater within the bedrock zones occurs primarily in fracture voids. Generally, fractures within the bedrock are very small but may extend to several hundred feet.

Infiltration of precipitation to recharge the water table aquifer is primarily affected by rainfall intensity and duration, pre-existing soil moisture conditions, temperature (evaporation), and plant uptake (transpiration). Seasonal high-water tables are typically observed during the late winter and early spring months of the year when maximum infiltration efficiency occurs due to lower temperatures and less plant uptake (i.e., many plants are dormant). Seasonal low-water tables are typically observed during the summer and fall months when minimum infiltration efficiency occurs due to higher temperatures and greater plant uptake of water.

### **3.3 STUDY AREA PHYSIOGRAPHY AND TOPOGRAPHY**

The landfill is located in Haywood County, North Carolina, as shown in Figure 1. The Phase 3 and 4 areas are located to the north and west of existing Phases 1 and 2.

Topographically, the Phase 3 and 4 areas consist of a hill with radial topography in Phase 4, and a north-northeast trending drainage ravine in Phase 3. The upland portion of the hill in Phase 4 has been used as borrow soils for landfill activities is relatively flat. A portion of the drainage ravine in Phase 3 has been used as a temporary surface water sediment pond. The highest elevation in the proposed cell area is approximately 2620 feet above mean sea level (MSL) in the western portion of Phase 4, and the lowest elevation is approximately 2488 feet MSL in the northern portion of Phase 3 along the drainage ravine. The relief across Phases 3 and 4 is approximately 132 feet. Steep topography on the hillsides and side slopes of the ravines are common in Phases 3 and 4.

The surface drainage is radial around the upland portion of Phase 4 and converges towards the north-northeast trending ravine in Phase 3 and the northwest trending ravine south of Phase 4. These drainage ravines ultimately converge with the Pigeon River at the facility's northern property boundary. A topographic map/site plan is provided as Figure 3.

### **3.4 STUDY AREA SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS**

Twenty-six borings (twenty-three soil test borings and three auger borings) have been performed in the Phase 3 and 4 areas, and rock coring was performed at four of these locations. The geologic conditions encountered while drilling were often variable with boulders and seams of partially weathered rock occurring throughout the subsurface soil overburden profile. In general, three zones were encountered: 1) the residual soils from weathered gneiss, 2) the partially weathered rock, 3) the fractured gneiss bedrock. Subsurface geology at the site is shown on two cross sections designated A-A', and B-B' (Figure 4). The subsurface conditions encountered in the Phase 3 and 4 areas are generally consistent with previous evaluations at the site (Section 1.0). A description of the subsurface materials encountered is provided below.

### **3.4.1 Geologic Unit Description**

#### **3.4.1.1 Residual Soil**

Residual soils are the result of in-place weathering of gneiss bedrock. The residual soil profile below the topsoil consists of two identifiable components based on the USCS.

The upper soil component consists of brown and gray, sandy clayey silt and sandy silty clay and was encountered in four soil test borings in the Phase 3 and 4 areas. Where encountered, the thickness of this component varies, and generally ranging from 2.5 to 13.5 feet below ground surface, with an average thickness of 5.5 feet. USCS classifications of these soils are ML-CL, ML, and MH. Standard penetration resistance values (N-values) range from 4 to 19 with an average value of 10, indicating a stiff average consistency.

The upper soil component grades with depth into a coarser grained, less plastic, gray and brown micaceous, sandy silt and silty sand which extends to the depth of the partially weathered rock and/or auger refusal. Where encountered, the thickness of this component ranges from 3 to greater than 98 feet, with an average thickness of 49.3 feet. USCS classifications of these soils are ML and SM. N-values range from 3 to 91 with an average of 27, indicating a very firm average consistency.

Float rock (small boulders) was present in some locations at the ground surface and within the residual soil zone above the partially weathered rock level.

#### **3.4.1.2 Partially Weathered Rock**

The transition between soil and rock at the site is irregular and consists of partially weathered rock overlying the parent bedrock. Where encountered, this zone ranges in thickness from 0.5 to 12.5 feet in the Phase 3 and 4 areas.

A map of the approximate bedrock surface (auger refusal) is shown as Figure 5. Auger refusal depths may represent competent bedrock or possibly boulders of hard rock within the residual soil and partially weathered rock units. The depth to auger refusal can vary even over short horizontal distances due to boulders, fractures, joints, and the presence of less resistant rock types. Therefore, the actual depth to continuous bedrock will vary somewhat from that presented on Figure 5 and may actually be deeper than indicated.

#### **3.4.1.3 Fractured Bedrock**

Bedrock coring has been performed at four different locations for a total of 76.4 feet in the Phase 3 and 4 areas. The upper bedrock profile consists of well-foliated, moderately weathered to fresh, quartz-biotite-feldspar gneiss, which is part of the Spring Creek Granitoid Gneiss Formation. The bedrock core had generally "good" recovery (range of 42 to 100 percent; average of 88 percent) and "good" RQD (range of 25 to 100 percent; average of 76 percent). In general, the bedrock becomes more competent with depth.

### 3.4.2 Fracture Trace Analysis

A fracture trace analysis was performed and reported along with the data plots as part of *Site Hydrogeologic Report, White Oak Subtitle D Landfill*, Municipal Engineering Project Number G98010.5, dated February 8, 2000. A summary of the fracture trace analysis is provided below.

The trend of topographic fracture traces and lineaments within one mile of the site were measured from the Cove Creek Gap and Fines Creek USGS topographic maps. Two primary trends were observed: N5°-25°W and N35°-45°W. Two secondary trends were observed: N5°-30°E and N75°-85°E.

The primary foliation trends are N5°-20°W and N9°-20°E. The primary joint trends are N20°-40°W and N10°-20°E.

Results of the fracture trace analysis indicate that from local lineament trends, and bedrock joint and foliation orientations that the prevailing fracture trends are northwest. The primary north-northeast lineament trend was observed in the bedrock foliation pattern. The primary northwest lineament trend was observed in the bedrock joint pattern.

### 3.4.3 Laboratory Testing Results

A list of the soil laboratory tests performed in the Phase 3 and 4 areas is provided in the table below. The laboratory test results are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. Laboratory data sheets are in Appendix H.

SAMPLE ANALYSES	SPLIT SPOON SAMPLES TESTED	SHELBY TUBE SAMPLES TESTED	REMOLDED BAG SAMPLES TESTED
Grain-Size Analysis	10	8	3
Natural Moisture Content	10	8	-
Atterberg Limits	10	8	3
Total Porosity	10	8	-
Effective Porosity	10	8	-
<i>In Situ</i> Saturated permeability*	-	8	-
Triaxial Shear	-	1	-
Consolidation	-	1	-
Standard Proctor	-	-	3
Remolded permeability	-	-	3

\* Hydraulic Conductivity

Ten split-spoon samples, eight undisturbed Shelby Tube samples, and three bulk samples were collected and tested in the laboratory to measure natural soil conditions in the Phase 3 and 4 areas.

Testing results of the sample collected from the upper residual soil component consisted of:

- Natural moisture content values ranging from 20.2 to 35.4 percent;
- with Liquid Limits (LL) values ranging from 52 to 59;
- Plasticity Index (PI) values ranging from 13 to 18;
- Average gravel, sand, silt, and clay contents of 0.1, 38.7, 44.6, and 16.6 percent, respectively;
- In-situ hydraulic conductivity values ranging from  $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec;

- Total porosity values ranging from 47.9 to 52.5 percent;
- Effective porosity values ranging from 3.5 to 21.0 percent;
- Standard Proctor results with optimum moisture contents of 23.8 and 25.7 percent and maximum dry densities (MDD) of 94.7 and 94.3 pcf; and
- Remolded hydraulic conductivity values of  $4.8 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $8.8 \times 10^{-8}$  cm/sec (at 7.2 and 5.4 percent wet of optimum and 95.1 and 95.0 percent of the MDD).

Testing results of the samples collected from the deeper residual soil component consisted of:

- Natural moisture content values ranging from 14.4 to 31.6 percent;
- LL values ranging from 34 to 55;
- PI values ranging from 4 to 13;
- Average gravel, sand, silt, and clay contents of 4.7, 54.8, 33.0, and 7.5 percent, respectively;
- In-situ hydraulic conductivity values ranging from  $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec;
- Total porosity values ranging from 39.4 to 52.5 percent;
- Effective porosity values ranging from 10.5 to 32.0 percent;
- Triaxial testing of an in-situ sample indicated total and effective cohesive strength (C) of 0.063 and 0.064 kips per square foot (ksf), respectively, and a total and effective Phi ( $\phi$ ) angle of 18.80 and 36.73 degrees, respectively;
- Consolidation testing of an in-situ sample indicated a preconsolidation pressure ( $P_p$ ) of 8.06 ksf, a virgin slope ( $c_c$ ) of 0.12, and a void ratio ( $e_o$ ) of 0.760;
- A Standard Proctor result with an optimum moisture content of 22.4 percent and a MDD of 97.9 pcf; and
- A remolded hydraulic conductivity value of  $2.9 \times 10^{-7}$  (at 5.6 percent wet of optimum and 95.0 percent of the MDD).

Testing results of the sample collected from the partially weathered rock component consisted of:

- Natural moisture content values of 12.6 and 13.7 percent;
- LL values of 29 and 30;
- PI values of 3 to 8;
- Average gravel, sand, silt, and clay contents of 1.1, 64.8, 29.3, and 4.8 percent, respectively;
- Total porosity values of 49.0 and 49.5 percent; and
- Effective porosity values of 23.5 and 30.5 percent.

### **3.5 STUDY AREA HYDROGEOLOGY**

Nineteen piezometers and five monitoring wells have been installed in, or close to, the Phase 3 and 4 areas. Groundwater is present above the bedrock surface in the lower elevation areas, but at or below the bedrock surface in higher elevation areas. The water-table aquifer consists of the residual soil, partially weathered rock, and fractured bedrock. These three units are hydraulically connected and thus comprise a single unconfined aquifer, although recharge rates, flow rates and storativity differ between the units based on the unique geologic conditions of each zone. The generalized configuration of the water table surface is a subdued replica of the ground surface. Generally, shallow groundwater flows to the north towards the Pigeon River. There is also a southwestward component of flow on the southern side of Phase 3. The hydrogeologic conditions encountered in the Phase 3 and 4 areas are generally consistent with the conditions encountered during previous phases of work at the landfill. A description of the hydrogeologic conditions in the study area is provided below.

### 3.5.1 Piezometer and Monitoring Wells

The piezometers and monitoring wells are set to intersect the groundwater in the deep residuum, partially weathered rock, or bedrock as indicated on Table 2. Piezometer and monitoring well installation diagrams are included in Appendix C and installation procedures are included in Appendix D.

### 3.5.2 Groundwater Elevations

#### 3.5.2.1 Seasonal High Groundwater Elevations

Historical NOAA monthly precipitation data were obtained from Division 1, North Carolina. The data are summarized seasonally such that January-March represents *winter*, April-June represents *spring*, July-September represents *summer*, and October-December represents *fall*.

Historically in the Haywood County region, summer months will experience the most precipitation. However, the effects of evapotranspiration offset the contribution of this precipitation to recharge of the uppermost aquifer. Significant precipitation also occurs in the spring and winter months when evapotranspiration is limited. Because of these natural trends, the amount of groundwater recharge, and subsequent increase in water table level is typically greatest during winter and spring seasons.

Monthly water levels were collected from the piezometers and monitoring wells on site during September 2007 to February 2008 to establish seasonal high water levels. Hydrographs of the data indicate that the 2007 seasonal high water levels were near typical levels as observed in prior years (Appendix E). Figure 7 represents the seasonal high water table, in which the highest water level collected from September 2007 to February 2008 in each piezometer/well was used for contouring.

#### 3.5.2.2 Estimated Long-Term Seasonal High Groundwater Elevations

Groundwater levels were periodically recorded in piezometers and monitoring wells at the site between June 1998 and February 2008 (Table 3). On the average, the groundwater levels in the pre-existing monitoring wells and piezometer have typically varied on the average of 3.61 feet between the historical highest water level elevations and the 2007-2008 seasonal high levels (Table 3). Based on these water level trends, an estimated long-term seasonal high water table elevation contour map was prepared (Figure 8). This map is a composite water table contour map using: 1) the historical highest water level elevation in the pre-existing monitoring wells and piezometers; and 2) adding 3.61 feet to the maximum observed water level in each of the newly installed piezometer in the Phase 3 and 4 areas.

### 3.5.3 Groundwater Flow Direction

Groundwater at the site flows in a radial pattern around the upland areas, and has a configuration similar to topography. Flow beneath the Phase 3 and 4 areas is predominantly to the north towards the Pigeon River. There is also a southwestward component of flow on the southern side of Phase 3. Groundwater flow is through the soil matrix, the weathered fracture openings, and the bedrock fractures. Recharge to the unconfined aquifer occurs at the higher elevations. Groundwater

discharge is to the northeastward trending drainage features on the northern side of Phases 3 and 4, and to the northwestward trending drainage feature located south of Phase 3.

### 3.5.4 Man-made Influences to Groundwater Levels

Man-made features that could influence groundwater levels at the site include existing and proposed lined waste cells, and existing and proposed sediment ponds.

Currently, Phases 1 and 2 have been constructed in the upland (recharge) area in the central portion of the site. As cell construction proceeds, groundwater infiltration and recharge of the water table aquifer will be limited, resulting in lower groundwater levels in the vicinity of the cells.

One sediment basin and one leachate lagoon have been constructed north of Phase 1. Four additional sediment basins are proposed to be constructed during the development of Phases 3 and 4 (two north and one northeast of Phases 3 and 4, and one southwest of Phase 4; Figure 3). It is our understanding that the leachate lagoon has a liner system, and the sediment ponds do not have liner systems. As a result, the groundwater table may be slightly mounded in the vicinity of the existing and proposed sediment ponds.

There are no groundwater receptors located between the proposed location of landfill cell construction and the Pigeon River, which is the downgradient groundwater discharge area at the site.

### 3.5.5 Hydraulic Coefficients and Groundwater Flow Velocity

The velocity of groundwater flow is derived from the equation:

$$V = \frac{Ki}{n_e}$$

Where

$V$  is the flow velocity  
 $K$  is the hydraulic conductivity  
 $i$  is the hydraulic gradient; and  
 $n_e$  is the effective porosity.

Estimated values for these parameters are provided below and summarized on Tables 4, 5, and 8.

#### 3.5.5.1 Hydraulic Conductivity

Hydraulic conductivity is defined as the ability of the aquifer material to conduct water under a hydraulic gradient. Six slug tests have been performed in the Phase 3 and 4 areas to measure the *in situ* hydraulic conductivity of the different zones of the water-table aquifer. The slug test results were evaluated using the Bouwer and Rice Method for partially-penetrating wells in an unconfined aquifer. The slug tests performed at the site include:

- Three tests performed in piezometers set in the deep residual soil;
- One test in a piezometer set in the partially weathered rock; and

- Two tests performed in piezometers set in the bedrock.

The water table was encountered below the upper residual soil; therefore, hydraulic conductivity in the unsaturated zone was determined by laboratory testing four undisturbed soil samples (Section 3.4.3 and Table 5). Based on the slug tests conducted in the Phase 3 and 4 areas, the range of hydraulic conductivity values is as follows:

- $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec (BLE-11) to  $4.7 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec (BLE-3) in deep residuum;
- $2.6 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec (BLE-14) in partially weathered rock; and
- $1.9 \times 10^{-6}$  cm/sec (BLE-9) to  $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec (BLE-7D) in the bedrock.

### 3.5.5.2 Hydraulic Gradient

The hydraulic gradient is determined by dividing the difference in groundwater elevations at two locations by the horizontal distance between those locations along the direction of groundwater flow. Hydraulic gradients in the Phase 3 and 4 areas range from about 0.222 in the northern portion of proposed Phase 4, to about 0.0435 in the central portion of proposed Phase 3. The average hydraulic gradient across the study areas is 0.133 (Table 7).

### 3.5.5.3 Effective Porosity and Specific Yield

Effective porosity is the volume of void spaces through which water or other fluids can travel in soil divided by the total volume of the soil. Effective porosity can be assumed to be approximately equal to specific yield. Specific yield is defined as the ratio of the volume of water that drains from saturated sediment owing to the attraction of gravity to the total volume of sediment. The laboratory grain size analyses were used to derive values for specific yield and effective porosity (Fetter, 1994).

Based on grain size analyses (Tables 5 and 8), effective porosity measurements in the study area range from about:

- 3.5 to 21.0% (average = 15.3%) in the upper residuum;
- 10.5 to 32.0% (average = 23.4%) in the deep residuum;
- 23.5% to 30.5% (average = 27.0%) in the partially weathered rock;
- the effective porosity can be expected to range from about 5% to 10% for fractured crystalline bedrock (average = 7.5%) according to Kruseman and deRidder (1989).

### 3.5.5.4 Groundwater Flow Velocity

The velocity of groundwater movement ( $V$ ) is a function of existing hydraulic gradient ( $i$ ), the hydraulic conductivity ( $K$ ), and the effective porosity ( $n_e$ ), in the equation  $V = Ki/n_e$  in the deep residuum, partially weathered rock, and bedrock. Based on these parameters and the data provided above, the horizontal movement of groundwater across Phase 3 and 4, summarized on Table 7, is approximately:

- 0.068 to 0.74 feet/day in the deep residual soil zone,
- 0.011 to 0.070 feet/day in the partially weathered rock zone, and
- 0.024 to 0.99 feet/day in the bedrock zone.

### 3.5.6 Vertical Flow Gradients

Vertical flow gradients were evaluated at four locations in the Phase 3 and 4 areas. Based on groundwater level measurements on February 14, 2008 from the piezometers and wells, the following vertical gradients were observed:

PIEZOMETER PAIR	LOCATION DESCRIPTION	RECHARGE GRADIENT	DISCHARGE GRADIENT
MW-5A/MW-5D	Topographically low area directly downgradient of the temporary sediment pond in Proposed Phase 4.	X	
PZ-7S/PZ-7D	Topographically low area along the drainage feature in Proposed Phase 4 (downgradient of MW-5A/MW-5D).		X

The vertical gradients observed at the site are typical for unconfined aquifers in this portion of the Blue Ridge. Groundwater recharge occurs in the upland areas in Phases 3 and 4. Discharge occurs to drainage feature in the central portion of Phase 3 and the drainage feature south of Phase 4, which both flow towards the Pigeon River north of the site. Vertical flow gradients are summarized on Table 9.

### 3.6 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

An evaluation of the potential impact from faults, seismic zones and unstable areas, as required by 15A NCAC13B.1622 subsections (4), (5), and (6), was previously prepared by others for the current landfill site and documented as part of prior SHR and DHR projects (Section 1.0). These items were briefly reviewed to provide a background for our geotechnical evaluation. The results of our update to these items are provided below.

#### 3.6.1 Fault Areas

No Holocene faults are located within 200 feet of the subject site (Horton and Zullo, 1991).

#### 3.6.2 Seismic Impact Zones

According to the definition of seismic impact zones in 15A NCAC 13B.1622 (5), this site is in a seismic impact zone. The maximum horizontal acceleration expressed as a percentage of the earth's gravity (g) in rock is 0.176g with a 2% probability of being exceeded in 50 years (equal to 10% probability in 250 years; USGS, 2006). The design of the landfill considered the seismic condition. BLE has performed a seismic stability analysis for the design of Phases 3 and 4. The results of the analysis are provided in Appendix I and indicate the landfill is stable under both static and seismic conditions.

#### 3.6.3 Unstable Areas

An unstable area according to 15A NCAC 13B.1622 (6) is defined as a location that is susceptible to natural or human induced events or forces capable of impairing the integrity of some or all of the

landfill structural components responsible for preventing releases from a landfill. Unstable areas could include poor foundation conditions, areas susceptible to mass movements, and karst terrains. Site and subsurface data obtained was evaluated to determine if unstable site areas exist. The site is not in a karst area. No unstable conditions were present. BLE evaluated specific subgrade settlement and slope stability conditions for Phases 3 and 4. The analyses results are provided in Appendix I. The resulting settlements will be well within tolerable limits. Slope stability analysis indicates the planned subgrade, structural fill slopes, waste mound, and cap are stable.

#### **3.6.4 Permeability of Potential On-Site Soils for Liner and Cover Construction**

The permeability of selected potential on-site borrow soils were determined as indicated in Section 3.4.3 titled Laboratory Testing Results. Three bag samples of soil were collected (two of the upper residuum and one of the deeper residuum). The samples were compacted at varying percents of the Standard Proctor maximum dry density, and at varying moisture contents of the Standard Proctor optimum moisture content. Hydraulic conductivity values of the upper residuum were  $4.8 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $8.8 \times 10^{-8}$  cm/sec.

The near surface soils at the site consist of three general soil types based on topographic position. The near surface soils in the higher elevation areas, including the upper portions of the hill side-slopes, consist of reddish-brown silty clay and clayey silt. These soils generally transition to light brown clayey silt along the lower elevations of the hill side-slopes.

The red-brown silty clay and clayey silts along the higher portions of the site present the most favorable materials for use as compacted clay liner, soil liner, or closure cap soils. The plasticity of these soils fall generally well below the "A" line. The clayey soils are found immediately below the topsoil to depths of 2 to 4 feet in limited quantity. Soils that could be used as compacted soil liner can be found over a limited portion of the site. During site clearing and stripping activities, these soils should be carefully delineated and stockpiled for later use.

The *in situ* moistures of the silty clay will vary based on recent rainfall; however, they should be found at moisture contents within a few points of the standard Proctor optimum moisture content. Some modification of moisture will be required during soil liner or cap construction.

#### **3.6.5 Excavation**

Excavation of the residual soils can be accomplished using conventional earth moving equipment. Historical excavation of the site has typically employed track excavators, dozers, and trucks. Some excavation has been performed using tractor scrapers. An estimated bedrock elevation (auger refusal) contour map was developed as Figure 5 which is based on auger refusal depths in the soil borings drilled at this site. Materials sufficiently hard to cause refusal to the mechanical drill augers may result from continuous bedrock, boulders, lenses, ledges, or layers of relatively hard rock or residual soil. Coring was performed at four locations in the Phases 3 and 4 areas where refusal to augering occurred. Continuous rock was found with varying recovery and Rock Quality Designation (RQD) as discussed above in Section 3.4.1.3. Due to its typically varying surface, the actual occurrence of hard rock during site grading may vary somewhat from that presented in Figure 5.

There is usually no sharp distinction between soil and rock in residual soil areas as at this site. Typically, the degree of weathering simply decreases with greater depth until solid rock is eventually reached. The partially weathered rock, as well as the soil above, may also contain boulders, lenses or ledges of hard rock. The mechanical auger used in this exploration could penetrate some of the partially weathered rock of the transitional zone. The ease of excavation will depend on the geologic structure of the material itself, such as the direction of bedding, planes or weakness and spacing between discontinuities. Weathered rock or rock that cannot be penetrated by the mechanical drill auger will likely require heavy excavating equipment with ripping tools or other methods for removal, if desired.

### **3.6.6 Engineered Fill**

The residual soils that will be excavated from the cell areas to achieve the design subgrade elevations are suitable for use as structural fill. Some moisture modification (wetting or drying) may be required depending on the particular area of excavation. The existing fill soils near BLE-3, which form an apparent existing storm water control feature and potential surface sediment deposits in the central lower areas in Phase No. 3, should be evaluated for reuse as fill at the time of excavation by the geotechnical engineer. Conventional compaction equipment and methods should be appropriate.

Fill used for raising site grades should be uniformly compacted to at least 95 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (ASTM D 698). Prior to fill placement, the areas to receive structural fill should be stripped of topsoil and vegetation and proofrolled using a loaded dump truck or similar equipment. The proofrolling should be observed by the geotechnical/CQA engineer or his representative. Any areas which undergo rutting or excessive degradation should be excavated to firm soils.

Partially weathered rock may be mixed with the soil borrow materials provided it can be broken down by the excavation and compaction equipment into particles with a maximum dimension of 6 inches. Larger boulders or rock pieces may be used in the lower portions of the deeper fills if the boulders are placed individually and soil compacted around and over each boulder. Sufficient quantities of soil should be mixed with the partially weathered rock so that voids do not result between the pieces of partially weathered rock and the fill meets the compaction requirements.

Before filling operations begin, representative samples of each proposed fill materials should be collected and tested to determine the compaction and classification characteristics. The maximum dry density and optimum moisture content should be determined. Once compaction begins, a sufficient number of density tests should be performed to measure the degree of compaction being obtained.

Earthwork cut or fill slopes can be constructed as steep as 2H:1V (horizontal:vertical). Structural fill slopes at the 2H:1V inclination should initially be constructed at two to three feet beyond the design slope due to difficulty of compacting the edge of slopes, then trimmed to final grade leaving the exposed face well compacted. Slopes of 3H:1V or flatter, can be compacted in place without overfilling. Cut and fill slope surfaces outside the cell area should be protected from erosion by grassing or other means. Where the cell embankment is to be constructed on natural slopes steeper than 4H:1V, we recommend that the fill soils be keyed into the slopes using horizontal benches



(stair-step fashion) to facilitate placement and compaction of structural fill and to prevent formation of a potential slip surface.

The surface of compacted subgrade soils can deteriorate and lose its support capabilities when exposed to environmental changes and construction activity. Deterioration can occur in the form of freezing, formation of erosion gullies, extreme drying, exposure for a long period of time, or rutting by construction traffic. We recommend that if the fill soils within the cell become deteriorated or softened, they be proofrolled, scarified and recompacted (and additional fill placed, if necessary) prior to construction of the compacted soil liner. Additionally, any excavations through the cell embankments (such as leachate collection line trenches) should be properly backfilled in compacted lifts. Recompaction of subgrade surfaces and compaction of backfill should be checked with a sufficient number of density tests to determine if adequate compaction is being achieved.

-oOo-

#### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The proposed Phase 3 cell location is along a northeast trending drainage feature west of existing Phase 1. The proposed Phase 4 cell location is on a hill west of proposed Phase 3. The Phase 3 and 4 areas' subsurface geology and hydrogeology are typical of Blue Ridge terrain in this vicinity of North Carolina with deeply weathered biotite gneiss. No unusual or unexpected geologic features were observed in the Phase 3 and 4 areas.

Groundwater flow beneath the Phase 3 and 4 areas is predominantly to the north towards the Pigeon River. There is also a southwestward component of flow on the southern side of Phase 3. Groundwater flow is through the soil matrix, the weathered fracture openings, and the bedrock fractures. Recharge to the unconfined aquifer occurs at the higher elevations. Groundwater discharge is to the northeastward trending drainage features on the northern side of Phases 3 and 4, and to the northwestward trending drainage feature located south of Phase 3. Other than these natural features, there are no groundwater receptors to this landfill phase.

The site is favorable for landfill development considering geotechnical aspects. The site is in a seismic impact zone, but the landfill structural components have been designed, using conventional construction, to resist the seismic magnitude. The existing residuum and the planned structural fill will form a stable foundation for the landfill. Anticipated subgrade total and differential settlements of the completed waste cells are expected to be well within acceptable limits of the structural components and leachate collection system of a MSW landfill. The on-site residual soils are suitable for use as structural fill. The residual soils and the planned new engineered fill will form stable slopes and provide acceptable interface friction with the base and cap liner systems. The planned structural fill and waste mound slopes will be stable under static and seismic conditions. Low permeability surficial soils that could be used to construct a base clay liner ( $K \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/sec) or final cover cap ( $K \leq 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/sec) are present on site in limited quantities. Careful selection and use of these clayey soils will be required during waste cell development.

This Design Hydrogeologic Report was prepared to satisfy the requirements specified in the North Carolina Title 15A NCAC 13B .1623 (b). Based on the results of field and laboratory testing, it is our opinion that the study area is geologically, hydrogeologically, and geotechnically suitable for municipal solid waste landfill cell development. This Design Hydrogeologic Report, while specifically addressing Phases 3 and 4, also considers the potential expanded landfill footprint and grades shown in the Facility Plan.

-oOo-

## 5.0 ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bouwer, H. and Rice, R.C., 1976, "A slug test method for determining hydraulic conductivity of unconfined aquifers with completely or partially penetrating wells," *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 423-428.  
- Slug testing data reduction procedures.
- Brown, M.B., and others (compilers), 1985, *Geologic Map of North Carolina*: NCDNRCD, scale 1:500,000.  
- General geologic setting and fault locations.
- Carter, M.W., and Weiner, L.S., 1999, *Bedrock Geologic Map of the Fines Creek 7.5-Minute Quadrangle*, North Carolina, North Carolina Geological Survey, Geologic Map Series 8.
- Fetter, C.W., 1994, *Applied Hydrogeology*: Merrill Publishing Company, Columbus, Ohio.  
- Basic hydrogeologic principles and estimates of effective porosity in soils.
- Hadley, J.B., and Goldsmith, R.E., 1983, *Geology of the Eastern Great Smoky Mountains, North Carolina-Tennessee*: United States Geological Survey Professional Paper 349-B.
- Horton, J.W. and Zullo, V.A., 1991, *The Geology of the Carolinas: Carolina Geological Society fifteenth anniversary volume*: The University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville, TN.  
- General geologic setting.
- Kruseman, G.P., and deRidder, N.A., 1989, *Analysis and Evaluation of Pumping Test Data: Publication 47*, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Wageningen, The Netherlands.  
- Estimates of effective porosity in fractured bedrock.
- United States Geologic Survey (USGS), Earthquake Hazards Program, International Building Code (IBC), 2006.  
- Seismic impact zones.

-oOo-

## **TABLES**

TABLE 1

## MONITORING WELL, PIEZOMETER, AND BORING SURVEY INFORMATION

White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 &amp; 4 DHR

Haywood County, North Carolina

BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

Well/ Piezometer	Ground Elevation	TOC Elevation	Northing	Easting	Status of Well/Piezometer
BLE-1	2574.23	2577.77	720937.6102	811220.4171	Present
BLE-2	2525.68	2529.57	721195.5520	811425.0105	Present
BLE-3	2519.77	2523.43	721364.1500	811475.5286	Present
BLE-4 (boring)	2560.09	NA	721347.2616	811709.1865	Abandoned
BLE-5	2497.10	2500.99	721592.0538	811514.7738	Present
BLE-6	2532.96	2536.67	721667.9455	811741.4725	Present
BLE-7S	2492.12	2495.30	721759.8035	811585.9715	Present
BLE-7D	2491.92	2495.70	721766.2970	811589.4921	Present
BLE-8 (boring)	2473.09	NA	721934.7910	811656.4570	Abandoned
BLE-9	2552.54	2556.63	721775.5467	811375.3773	Present
BLE-10	2612.97	2615.73	721574.7601	810881.4408	Present
BLE-11	2630.61	2634.27	721450.3759	810649.4778	Present
BLE-12	2620.95	2624.50	721285.4999	810817.1273	Present
BLE-13	2609.39	2612.66	721419.1687	811109.9420	Present
BLE-14	2610.41	2613.65	721222.6091	811099.9933	Present
BLE-15	2584.11	2587.90	720930.9031	811053.8980	Present
BLE-16	2614.70	2618.50	721398.3928	810908.9271	Present
BLE-17	2611.46	2615.91	721159.4128	810931.6189	Present
MW-1A	2517.97	2520.02	721096.3047	812481.4745	Present
MW-2	2494.43	2496.71	721460.7647	812309.4391	Present
MW-2D	2494.69	2496.89	721456.0050	812311.8744	Present
MW-3	2435.06	2437.28	721947.2608	812058.3839	Present
MW-3D	2434.17	2436.94	721956.0474	812071.8689	Present
MW-4A	2496.19	2498.54	721692.8083	811976.7333	Present
MW-5A	2502.29	2503.58	721496.6325	811628.8222	Present
MW-5D	2502.18	2502.90	721503.8890	811631.0644	Present
MW-8	2474.84	2477.33	721704.5021	812155.0344	Present
MW-9	UK	2430.15	UK	UK	Present
MW-11S	UK	2674.58	719905.8752	811642.8913	Present
MW-11D	2672.01	2674.89	719909.3380	811651.5544	Present
MW-12	2526.93	2529.63	721082.9594	811524.1854	Present
MW-13S	2529.67	2532.20	721079.1672	811454.9494	Present
MW-13D	2528.11	2530.86	721088.3729	811454.4229	Present
MW-14	UK	2711.69	UK	UK	Present
MW-15	UK	2547.41	UK	UK	Present
P-4	2571.25	2573.14	721895.9954	811433.5246	Present
P-5	2485.02	2487.78	721882.4117	811171.8164	Present
P-6	2594.13	2597.74	721486.7978	811249.7675	Present

**Notes:**

1. **Bold** borings represent those in the Phase 3 and 4 Cell area.
2. Measurements are in feet; elevations are relative to mean sea level.
3. TOC = *Top Of Casing*
4. NA = *Not Applicable*
5. UK = *Unknown*
6. Surveying was performed by McGill Associates.
7. Source of elevation data for MW-9, MW-14 and MW-15 cannot be confirmed.

TABLE 2

**MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION DETAILS**  
 White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR  
 Haywood County, North Carolina  
 BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

Well/ Piezometer	Ground Elev.	TOC Elev.	Auger Refusal Depth	Auger Refusal Elev.	Bedrock Drilling Depth	Screened Interval Depth	Screened Interval Elevation	Zone Screened	Status of Well/Piezometer
BLE-1	2574.23	2577.77	66.0	2508.2	NA	55.0 - 65.0	2519.2 - 2509.2	Deep Residuum/PWR	Present
BLE-2	2525.68	2529.57	>40.0	<2485.7	NA	29.0 - 39.0	2496.7 - 2486.7	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-3	2519.77	2523.43	>50.0	<2469.8	NA	38.0 - 48.0	2481.8 - 2471.8	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-4 (boring)	2560.09	NA	2.5	2557.6	NA	NA	NA	-	Abandoned
BLE-5	2497.10	2500.99	26.0	2471.1	NA	15.0 - 25.0	2482.1 - 2472.1	Deep Residuum/PWR	Present
BLE-6	2532.96	2536.67	46.0	2487.0	NA	36.0 - 46.0	2497.0 - 2487.0	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-7S	2492.12	2495.30	26.0	2466.1	NA	15.1 - 25.1	2477.1 - 2467.1	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-7D	2491.92	2495.70	32.0	2459.9	32.0 - 60.0	49.6 - 59.6	2442.3 - 2432.3	Bedrock	Present
BLE-8 (boring)	2473.09	NA	3.0	2470.1	NA	NA	NA	-	Abandoned
BLE-9	2552.54	2556.63	25.0	2527.5	25.0 - 49.0	37.7 - 47.7	2514.8 - 2504.8	Bedrock	Present
BLE-10	2612.97	2615.73	>78.9	<2534.1	NA	68.7 - 78.7	2544.3 - 2534.3	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-11	2630.61	2634.27	>103.0	<2527.6	NA	92.6 - 102.6	2538.0 - 2528.0	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-12	2620.95	2624.50	>90.2	<2530.8	NA	80.0 - 90.0	2541.0 - 2531.0	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-13	2609.39	2612.66	86.0	2523.4	NA	71.4 - 81.4	2538.0 - 2528.0	PWR	Present
BLE-14	2610.41	2613.65	73.5	2536.9	NA	63.0 - 73.0	2547.4 - 2537.4	Deep Residuum/PWR	Present
BLE-15	2584.11	2587.90	>75.0	<2509.1	NA	64.1 - 74.1	2520.0 - 2510.0	Deep Residuum/PWR	Present
BLE-16	2614.70	2618.50	>80.0	<2534.7	NA	68.2 - 78.2	2546.5 - 2536.5	Deep Residuum	Present
BLE-17	2611.46	2615.91	101.0	2510.5	NA	89.7 - 99.7	2521.8 - 2511.8	Deep/PWR	Present
MW-1A	2517.97	2520.02	26.0	2492.0	NA	10.4 - 25.4	2507.6 - 2492.6	Deep Residuum	Present
MW-2	2494.43	2496.71	35.5	2458.9	NA	19.9 - 34.9	2474.5 - 2459.5	Deep Residuum/PWR	Present
MW-2D	2494.69	2496.89	36.9	2457.8	36.9 - 55.1	44.6 - 54.6	2450.1 - 2440.1	Bedrock	Present
MW-3	2435.06	2437.28	21.2	2413.9	NA	5.6 - 20.6	2429.5 - 2414.5	Deep Residuum	Present
MW-3D	2434.17	2436.94	21.4	2412.8	21.4 - 37.0	26.5 - 36.5	2407.7 - 2397.7	Bedrock	Present
MW-4A	2496.19	2498.54	27.9	2468.3	27.9 - 100.3	85.2 - 100.2	2411.0 - 2396.0	Bedrock	Present
MW-5A	2502.29	2503.58	20.1	2482.2	NA	4.5 - 19.5	2497.8 - 2482.8	Deep Residuum	Present
MW-5D	2502.18	2502.90	20.0	2482.2	20.0 - 36.2	25.7 - 35.7	2476.5 - 2466.5	Bedrock	Present
MW-8	2474.84	2477.33	>42.8	<2432.0	NA	31.0 - 41.0	2443.8 - 2433.8	Deep Residuum	Present
MW-9	UK	2430.15	11	UK	UK	4.5 - 9.5	UK	Fill	Present
MW-11S	UK	2674.58	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	Present
MW-11D	2672.01	2674.89	97.0	2575.0	97.0 - 128.0	118.0 - 127.6	2554.0 - 2544.4	Bedrock	Present
MW-12	2526.93	2529.63	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	Present
MW-13S	2529.67	2532.20	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	Present
MW-13D	2528.11	2530.86	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	Present
MW-14	UK	2711.69	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	Present
MW-15	UK	2547.41	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	Present
P-4	2571.25	2573.14	59.0	2512.3	59.0 - 81.0	71.0 - 81.0	2500.3 - 2490.3	Bedrock	Present
P-5	2485.02	2487.78	20.0	2465.0	20.0 - 52.3	42.3 - 52.3	2442.7 - 2432.7	Bedrock	Present
P-6	2594.13	2597.74	58.0	2536.1	58.0 - 67.5	57.5 - 67.5	2536.6 - 2526.6	Bedrock	Present

**Notes:**

1. **Bold** borings represent those in the Phase 3 and 4 Cell area.
2. Measurements are in feet; elevations are relative to mean sea level.
3. TOC = *Top Of Casing*
4. NA = *Not Available*
5. UK = *Unknown*
6. PWR = *Partially Weathered Rock*
7. Surveying was performed by McGill Associates.
8. Source of elevation data for MW-9, MW-14 and MW-15 cannot be confirmed.



**TABLE 4**

**SUMMARY OF IN-SITU HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING -SLUG TEST RESULTS**  
**White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR**  
**Haywood County, North Carolina**  
**BLE Project Number J07-1957-02**

Well	Method	Data Type	Aquifer Unit	Hydraulic Conductivity (K)		
				ft/min	cm/sec	ft/day
BLE-3	Bouwer-Rice	Falling Head	Deep Residuum	9.2E-04	4.7E-04	1.3
BLE-7S	Bouwer-Rice	Falling Head	Deep Residuum	1.7E-04	8.6E-05	0.24
BLE-7D	Bouwer-Rice	Falling Head	Bedrock	3.9E-04	2.0E-04	0.56
BLE-9	Bouwer-Rice	Rising Head	Bedrock	3.7E-06	1.9E-06	0.0053
BLE-11	Bouwer-Rice	Falling Head	Deep Residuum	2.2E-05	1.1E-05	0.032
BLE-14	Bouwer-Rice	Falling Head	Partially Weathered Rock	5.2E-05	2.6E-05	0.074
Deep Residuum						
	Maximum Hydraulic Conductivity			9.2E-04	4.7E-04	1.3
	Geometric Mean Hydraulic Conductivity			1.5E-04	7.6E-05	0.22
	Minimum Hydraulic Conductivity			2.2E-05	1.1E-05	0.032
Partially Weathered Rock						
	Hydraulic Conductivity			5.2E-05	2.6E-05	0.074
Bedrock						
	Maximum Hydraulic Conductivity			3.9E-04	2.0E-04	0.56
	Geometric Mean Hydraulic Conductivity			3.8E-05	1.9E-05	0.054
	Minimum Hydraulic Conductivity			3.7E-06	1.9E-06	0.0053
All Units						
	Maximum Hydraulic Conductivity			9.2E-04	4.7E-04	1.3
	Geometric Mean Hydraulic Conductivity			7.9E-05	4.0E-05	0.11
	Minimum Hydraulic Conductivity			3.7E-06	1.9E-06	0.0053

**Notes:**

1. K = Hydraulic Conductivity
2. The data was reduced and the hydraulic conductivities calculated using SuperSlug (version 3.0)

TABLE 5

**SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS - SPLIT SPOON & SHELBY TUBE SAMPLES**  
 White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR  
 Haywood County, North Carolina  
 BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

Boring	Split-Spoon Depth (ft)	Shelby Tube Depth (ft)	Soil Unit	Hydraulic Cond. (cm/sec)	Nat. Moisture Content (%)	Triaxial Shear				Consolidation			Effective Porosity (%)	Total Porosity (%)	Atterberg Limits			Grain Size (% by wt)				USCS	
						Cohesion (ksf)		Φ (degree)		Precon. Press. Pc (ksf)	Virgin Slope at 16ksf Cc	Void Ratio (eo)			LL	PL	PI	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay		
						Total	Effective	Total	Effective														
BLE-1	-	3.5 - 5.5	upper residuum	2.6E-04	32.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.2%	47.9%	59	46	13	0.0%	36.6%	57.2%	6.2%	MH	
BLE-1	63.5 - 65.0	-	PWR	-	12.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.5%	49.0%	29	26	3	0.8%	70.8%	26.8%	1.6%	SM	
BLE-2	23.5 - 25.0	-	deep residuum	-	24.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32.0%	47.0%	42	38	4	24.2%	54.7%	19.0%	2.1%	SM	
BLE-3	-	6.0 - 8.0	deep residuum	1.8E-04	20.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.5%	39.4%	42	29	13	4.6%	46.1%	27.7%	21.6%	SM	
BLE-3	8.5 - 10.0	-	deep residuum	-	15.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.5%	47.5%	46	34	12	2.9%	60.8%	23.4%	12.9%	SM	
BLE-3	-	23.5 - 25.0	deep residuum	3.5E-05	31.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.0%	46.4%	44	35	9	0.0%	55.2%	38.2%	6.6%	SM	
BLE-6	6.0 - 7.5	-	deep residuum	-	14.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.0%	47.0%	34	29	5	15.5%	56.9%	20.7%	6.9%	SM	
BLE-7	-	1.0 - 3.0	upper residuum	1.1E-05	35.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.5%	50.8%	56	43	13	0.0%	43.8%	42.0%	14.2%	MH	
BLE-7	13.5 - 15.0	-	deep residuum	-	27.4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28.5%	49.5%	42	36	6	0.1%	67.8%	28.8%	3.3%	SM	
BLE-9	-	13.5 - 15.5	deep residuum	2.2E-04	23.8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.2%	50.2%	37	33	4	0.0%	60.4%	33.0%	6.6%	SM	
BLE-10	-	1.0 - 3.0	deep residuum	5.4E-05	20.3%	-	-	-	-	8.06	0.12	0.760	27.5%	45.7%	55	49	6	0.0%	65.6%	30.3%	4.1%	SM	
BLE-10	-	9.5 - 11.5	deep residuum	2.4E-05	24.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.0%	46.4%	45	38	7	0.0%	42.3%	51.3%	6.4%	ML	
BLE-11	6.0 - 7.5	-	upper residuum	-	20.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.0%	52.5%	52	38	14	0.0%	47.8%	43.6%	8.6%	MH	
BLE-13	-	23.5 - 25.0	deep residuum	6.9E-05	21.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.0%	44.9%	42	35	7	0.0%	41.2%	55.8%	3.0%	ML	
BLE-13	43.5 - 45.0	-	deep residuum	-	15.5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.0%	48.0%	45	34	11	9.2%	54.9%	26.4%	9.5%	SM	
BLE-15	8.5 - 10.0	-	upper residuum	-	27.9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.5%	51.0%	52	34	18	0.4%	26.6%	35.8%	37.2%	MH	
BLE-16	73.5 - 75.0	-	deep residuum	-	22.2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.5%	52.5%	37	32	5	0.4%	51.3%	41.6%	6.7%	SM	
BLE-17	98.5 - 100.0	-	PWR	-	13.7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23.5%	49.5%	30	26	4	1.3%	58.8%	31.8%	8.1%	SM	
BLE-9/-10	-	13.5-15.0/9.5-11.5	deep residuum	-	-	0.063	0.064	18.80	36.73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SM

**Notes:**

1. Effective Porosity (Specific Yield) is based on grain size analyses and Figure 4.11 (Fetter, 1994)
2. Total Porosity values in *italic case* are based on grain size analyses.
3. USCS = Unified Soil Classification System. Refer to Appendix C for a description of the abbreviations.

TABLE 6

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS - REMOLDED BAG SAMPLES  
 White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR  
 Haywood County, North Carolina  
 BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

Boring	Bag Sample Depth (ft)	Soil Unit	Natural Moisture	Standard Proctor		Remolded Permeability Conditions					Atterberg Limits			Grain Size (% by wt)					
				Opt. Moisture Content (%)	Max. Dry Density (pcf)	Effective Stress (PSI)	Moisture Content (%)	% Wet of Opt.	Dry Density (pcf)	% of MDD	Hydraulic Cond. (cm/sec)	LL	PL	PI	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	USCS
BLE-11	15.5 - 18.0	deep residuum	-	22.4%	97.9	15	28.0%	5.6%	93.0	95.0%	2.9E-07	59	37	22	0.4%	32.9%	37.5%	29.2%	MH
BLE-14	16.0 - 18.0	upper residuum	-	23.8%	94.7	15	31.0%	7.2%	90.1	95.1%	4.8E-07	63	40	23	0.0%	24.0%	46.7%	29.3%	MH
BLE-15	1.0 - 8.0	upper residuum	-	25.7%	94.3	15	31.1%	5.4%	89.6	95.0%	8.8E-08	63	35	28	0.5%	15.5%	25.6%	58.4%	MH

Notes:

1. USCS = Unified Soil Classification System. Refer to Appendix C for a description of the abbreviations.

**TABLE 7**

**INTERSTITIAL GROUNDWATER FLOW VELOCITY CALCULATIONS**  
**White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR**  
**Haywood County, North Carolina**  
**BLE Project Number J07-1957-02**

<b>Geologic Unit</b>	<b>Velocity Calculation</b>	<b>Hydraulic Conductivity (K) (feet per day)</b>	<b>Hydraulic Gradient (i) (unitless)</b>	<b>Effective Porosity (n<sub>e</sub>) (unitless)</b>	<b>Groundwater Flow Velocity (V) (feet per day)</b>
<b>Deep Residuum</b>	Max <i>K</i> , Max <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> , & Min <i>i</i>	1.3	0.0435	0.320	<b>0.18</b>
	Geometric Mean <i>K</i> , and Average <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> & <i>i</i>	0.22	0.133	0.234	<b>0.12</b>
	Max <i>K</i> , and Average <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> & <i>i</i>	1.3	0.133	0.234	<b>0.74</b>
	Min <i>K</i> , Min <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> , & Max <i>i</i>	0.032	0.222	0.105	<b>0.068</b>
<b>Partially Weathered Rock</b>	<i>K</i> , Average <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> & <i>i</i>	0.074	0.133	0.270	<b>0.036</b>
	<i>K</i> , Max <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> , & Min <i>i</i>	0.074	0.0435	0.305	<b>0.011</b>
	<i>K</i> , Min <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> , & Max <i>i</i>	0.074	0.222	0.235	<b>0.070</b>
<b>Bedrock</b>	Max <i>K</i> , Max <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> , & Min <i>i</i>	0.56	0.0435	0.100	<b>0.24</b>
	Geometric Mean <i>K</i> , and Average <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> & <i>i</i>	0.054	0.133	0.075	<b>0.096</b>
	Max <i>K</i> , and Average <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> & <i>i</i>	0.56	0.133	0.075	<b>0.99</b>
	Min <i>K</i> , Min <i>n<sub>e</sub></i> , & Max <i>i</i>	0.0053	0.222	0.050	<b>0.024</b>

**Notes:**

1. Groundwater velocity derived from  $V = Ki/n_e$ , where:  
 K = hydraulic conductivity, i = hydraulic gradient, and  $n_e$  = effective porosity.
2. The hydraulic conductivity values in the Deep Residuum, Partially Weathered Rock, and Bedrock are from slug tests (Table 4).
3. Effective porosity values in the Deep Residuum and Partially Weathered Rock are from soil laboratory tests (Table 5).
4. Effective porosity values in the Bedrock are from published values (5 to 10 percent) (Kruseman & deRidder, 1989).
5. Hydraulic gradient information is from the February 14, 2008 Water Table Contour Map (Figure 6).
6. The high velocity hydraulic gradient (0.222 ft/ft) is in the northern portion of Phase 4. (maximum calculated hydraulic gradient of 0.222 [180 feet between the 2490 and 2530 contours]).
7. The low velocity hydraulic gradient (0.0435 ft/ft) is in the central portion of Phase 3. (minimum calculated hydraulic gradient of 0.0435 [230 feet between the 2490 and 2500 contours]).
8. Upper Residuum is typically above the water table, and is therefore not used in the calculations on this Table.

TABLE 8

SUMMARY OF HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF GEOLOGIC UNITS  
 White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR  
 Haywood County, North Carolina  
 BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

Geologic Unit	USCS	Grain Size				Total Porosity				Effective Porosity				Hydraulic Conductivity (cm/sec)		
		gravel	sand	silt	clay	max	min	average	geomean	max	min	average	geomean	max	min	geomean
Upper Residual Soil	CL, ML, MH	0.1%	38.7%	44.7%	16.6%	52.5%	47.9%	50.5%	50.5%	21.0%	3.5%	15.3%	12.5%	2.6E-04	1.1E-05	5.3E-05
Deep Residual Soil	SM, ML	4.7%	54.8%	33.0%	7.5%	52.5%	39.4%	47.0%	46.9%	32.0%	10.5%	23.4%	22.7%	4.7E-04	1.1E-05	7.6E-05
Partially Weathered Rock	SM	1.1%	64.8%	29.3%	4.9%	49.5%	49.0%	49.3%	49.2%	30.5%	23.5%	27.0%	26.8%	2.6E-05	-	-
Bedrock	Biotite-Quartz-Feldspar Gneiss	-	-	-	-	10.0%	5.0%	7.5%	7.1%	10.0%	5.0%	7.5%	7.1%	2.0E-04	1.9E-06	1.9E-05

**Notes:**

1. Values are summarized from Table 4 (Summary of Slug Test Results) and Table 5 (Summary of Laboratory Results).  
 The hydraulic conductivity values for the Deep Residuum, Partially Weathered Rock, and Bedrock are from slug testing, and from soil laboratory testing in the Upper Residuum.
2. Grain size values are averages.
3. "geomean" is the geometric mean.
4. Values of porosity in Bedrock are from published values (Kruseman & deRidder, 1989).

TABLE 9


VERTICAL HYDRAULIC GRADIENTS AND FLOW RATES  
 White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR  
 Haywood County, North Carolina  
 BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

Well Pairs	Ground Elev. (ft)	TOC Elev. (ft)	Ground Elevation Difference (ft)	Horizontal Distance Between Wells (ft)	Midpoint Screen Elev. (ft)	Vertical Separation Between Screens Midpoints (ft)	2/14/2008		Vertical Hydraulic Gradient (i)	Geometric Mean Hydraulic K(ft/day)	Geometric Mean Effective Porosity (n)	Vertical Flow Velocity (ft/day)	Direction
							Water Elev. (ft)	Head Difference (ft)					
MW-5A	2502.29	2503.58	0.11	7.6	2490.30	18.80	2497.59	0.84	0.045	0.1144	0.1159	0.044	Recharge
MW-5D	2502.18	2502.90			2471.50		2496.75						
BLE-7S	2492.12	2495.30	0.20	7.4	2475.23	37.93	2484.86	-0.40	-0.011	0.1144	0.1159	-0.010	Discharge
BLE-7D	2491.92	2495.70			2437.30		2485.26						

Notes:

1. Water level elevations measured on 2/14/2008.
2. Hydraulic conductivity values are from Table 4 and effective porosity values are estimated from averages or similar soil types on Table 8.
3. Negative (-) gradients and velocities indicate an upward flow direction.
4. Positive (+) gradients and velocities indicate a downward flow direction.

## FIGURES



REFERENCE:  
 USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP, 7.5 MINUTE SERIES,  
 COVE CREEK GAP AND FINES CREEK, N.C. QUADRANGLES, 1967.


DRAWN:	AEH	DATE:	07-11-08
CHECKED:	MSP	CAD:	HCWOLF-02 SLM
APPROVED:		JOB NO:	J07-1957-02

**IBLE** inc.  
**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**  
 6004 PONDERS COURT  
 GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
 PHONE: (864)288-1265 FAX: (864)288-4430

**SITE LOCATION MAP**  
 WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

FIGURE  
**1**

# GENERALIZED GEOLOGIC MAP OF NORTH CAROLINA



**Physiography**

North Carolina can be divided into three physiographic provinces, the Coastal Plain, the Piedmont and the Blue Ridge. Each province is characterized by particular types of landforms.

The Coastal Plain is characterized by flat land to gently rolling hills and valleys. Elevations range from sea level near the coast to about 600 feet in the Sand Hills of the southern Inner Coastal Plain.

The Piedmont Province lies between the Coastal Plain and the Blue Ridge Mountains. The Piedmont occupies about 45 percent of the area of the state. Along the border between the Piedmont and the Coastal Plain, elevations range from 300 to 600 feet above sea level. To the west, elevations gradually rise to about 1,500 feet above sea level at the foot of the Blue Ridge. The Piedmont is characterized by gently rolling, well rounded hills and long low ridges with a few hundred feet of elevation difference between the hills and valleys. The Piedmont includes some relatively low mountains including the South Mountains and the Uwharrie Mountains.

The Blue Ridge is a deeply dissected mountainous area of numerous steep mountain ridges, intermontane basins and trench valleys that intersect at all angles and give the area its rugged mountain character. The Blue Ridge contains the highest elevations and the most rugged topography in the Appalachian Mountain system of eastern North America. The North Carolina portion of the Blue Ridge is about 200 hundred miles long and ranges from 15 to 55 miles wide. It contains an area of about 6,000 square miles, or about 10 percent of the area of the state.

Within North Carolina, 43 peaks exceed 6,000 feet in elevation and 82 peaks are between 5,000 and 6,000 feet. On the west, the Great Smoky Mountains is the dominant range with several peaks that reach more than 6000 feet. On the eastern side of the North Carolina Blue Ridge, the highest range is the Black Mountains which extend for some 15 miles and contain a dozen peaks that exceed 6,000 feet in elevation. This group includes Mount Mitchell. At an elevation of 6,684 feet, it is the highest peak of eastern North America. Other prominent ranges from northeast to southwest are the Pisgah Mountains, Newfound Mountains, Balsam Mountains, Cowee Mountains, Nantahala Mountains, Snowbird Mountains and the Valley River Mountains.

**Geology**

Three major classes of rocks common to North Carolina are igneous, metamorphic and sedimentary. North Carolina has a long and complex geologic history. Although much remains to be learned, detailed geologic studies provide a general understanding of regional geological relationships. The state is best described in terms of geological belts; that is, areas with similar rock types and geologic history.

**Blue Ridge Belt:** This mountainous region is composed of rocks from over one billion to about one-half billion years old. This complex mixture of igneous, sedimentary and metamorphic rock has repeatedly been squeezed, fractured, faulted and twisted into folds. The Blue Ridge belt is well known for its deposits of feldspar, mica and quartz—basic materials used in the ceramic, paint and electronic industries. Olivine is mined for use as refractory material and foundry molding sand.

**Inner Piedmont Belt:** The Inner Piedmont belt is the most intensely deformed and metamorphosed segment of the Piedmont. The metamorphic rocks range from 500 to 750 million years in age. They include gneiss and schist that have been intruded by younger granitic rocks. The northeast-trending Brevard fault zone forms much of the boundary between the Blue Ridge and Inner Piedmont belts. Although this zone of strongly deformed rocks is one of the major structural features in the southern Appalachians, its origin is poorly understood. Crushed stone for road aggregate and building construction is the principal commodity produced.

**Kings Mountain Belt:** The belt consists of moderately deformed and metamorphosed volcanic and sedimentary rocks. The rocks are about 400-500 million years old. Lithium deposits here provide raw materials for chemical compounds, ceramics, glass, greases, batteries and TV glass.

**Milton Belt:** This belt consists of gneiss, schist and metamorphosed intrusive rocks. The principal mineral resource is crushed stone for road aggregate and for building construction.

**Charlotte Belt:** The belt consists mostly of igneous rocks such as granite, diorite and gabbro. These are 300-500 million years old. The igneous rocks are good sources for crushed and dimension stone for road aggregate and buildings.

**Carolina Slate Belt:** This belt consists of heated and deformed volcanic and sedimentary rocks. It was the site of a series of oceanic volcanic islands about 550-650 million years ago. This belt is known for its numerous abandoned gold mines and prospects. North Carolina led the nation in gold production before the California Gold Rush of 1849. In recent decades, only minor gold mining has taken place, but mining companies continue to show interest in the area. Mineral production is crushed stone for road aggregate and pyrophyllite for refractories, ceramics, filler, paint and insecticide carriers.

**Triassic Basins:** The basins are filled with sedimentary rocks that formed about 200-190 million years ago. Streams carried mud, silt, sand and gravel from adjacent highlands into rift valleys similar to those of Africa today. The mudstones are mined and processed to make brick, sewer pipe, structural tile and drain tile.

**Raleigh Belt:** The Raleigh belt contains granite, gneiss and schist. In the 19th century, there were a number of small building stone quarries in this region, but today the main mineral product is crushed stone for construction and road aggregate.

**Eastern Slate Belt:** This belt contains slightly metamorphosed volcanic and sedimentary rocks similar to those of the Carolina slate belt. The rocks are poorly exposed and partially covered by Coastal Plain sediments. The metamorphic rocks, 500-600 million years old, are intruded by younger, approximately 300 million year old, granitic bodies. Gold was once mined in the belt, and small occurrences of molybdenite, an ore of molybdenum, have been prospected here. Crushed stone, clay, sand and gravel are currently mined in this belt.

**Coastal Plain:** The Coastal Plain is a wedge of mostly marine sedimentary rocks that gradually thickens to the east. The Coastal Plain is the largest geologic belt in the state, covering about 45 percent of the land area. The most common sediment types are sand and clay, although a significant amount of limestone occurs in the southern part of the Coastal Plain. In the Coastal Plain, geology is best understood from studying data gathered from well drilling. The state's most important mineral resource in terms of dollar value is phosphate, an important fertilizer component, mined near Aurora, Beaufort County. Industrial sand for making container and flat glass and ferrosilicon and used for filtration and sandblasting is mined in the Sand Hills area.

**Mineral Industry**

North Carolina has important deposits of many minerals and annually leads the nation in the production of feldspar, lithium minerals, scrap mica, olivine and pyrophyllite. The state ranks second in phosphate rock production and ranks in the top five in clay and crushed granite production. North Carolina does not produce significant quantities of metallic minerals.

**GEOLOGIC TIME SCALE FOR NORTH CAROLINA**

ERA	PERIOD	EPOCH	GEOLOGIC EVENTS IN NORTH CAROLINA	AGE*
CENOZOIC	Quaternary	Recent	Deposition of sediments in Coastal Plain. Erosion of Piedmont and Appalachian Mountains to their present rugged features.	1.7
		Pleistocene		
		Pliocene		
	Tertiary	Miocene	Phosphate deposited in eastern North Carolina (Beaufort and Pamlico Counties).	24
		Oligocene	Limestone deposited in Coastal Plain. Weathering and erosion continue in Piedmont and Mountains.	66
		Eocene		
Paleocene				
MESOZOIC	Cretaceous	Late	Deposition of estuarine and marine sediments in the Coastal Plain. Continued erosion of the Piedmont and Mountains.	138
		Early	Sediments deposited in northern half of the Coastal Plain. Cape Fear Arch begins to develop. Piedmont and Mountains eroded.	
	Jurassic	Late	Marine sediments deposited on outer continental shelf. Piedmont and mountains eroded.	205
		Middle	Weathering and erosion of the Blue Ridge and the Piedmont areas. Emplacement of diabase dikes and sheets.	
		Early		
	Triassic	Late	Faulting and rifting create Deep River, Dan River, and Davie County basins. Basins fill with continental clastic sediments known as "red beds".	240
Middle		Formation of the Atlantic Ocean as North America and Africa drifted apart. Weathering and erosion of Piedmont and Mountains.		
Early				
PALEOZOIC	Permian		Final collision of North America and Africa. Thrust faulting in west; deformation in eastern Piedmont.	290
	Pennsylvanian		Time of uplift and erosion.	330
	Mississippian		Time of uplift and erosion.	360
	Devonian	Emplacement of igneous intrusions	Emplacement of lithium, mica, and feldspar-rich pegmatites, primarily in the Kings Mountain and Spruce Pine districts. Metamorphism of Carolina slate belt. Period of erosion.	410
	Silurian		Period of uplift and erosion.	435
	Ordovician		Continental collision and beginning of mountain building process—faulting, folding, and metamorphism of pre-existing rocks.	500
	Cambrian		Sandstone, shale, and limestone deposited in the mountain area. Continued deposition of Carolina slate belt rocks. Gold deposits of the slate belt form.	570
PROTEROZOIC	Late			Sedimentary and volcanic rocks deposited in the mountains and Piedmont. Local intrusions of igneous rocks.
	Middle		Sedimentary, volcanic, and igneous rocks formed in the Blue Ridge and metamorphosed to gneisses and schists.	1600
	Early		Oldest dated rock in North Carolina is 1,800 million years old.	2500

\* Estimated age in millions of years. Oldest known rock in U.S.- 3,600 million years old. Oldest known rocks in world- 3,850 million years old. Formation of the Earth- about 4,500 million years ago.

**Commercial Gem- and Mineral-Collecting Sites**

**Garnet, moonstone, ruby and sapphire** - Cowee Valley mines; 8 miles north of Franklin, Macon Co., on NC 28. Panners Creek Gem Lines; southwest of Raleigh off Old Apex Road (gems are from western N.C.)

**Emerald, aquamarine and amethyst** - mines in the Spruce Pine-Little Switzerland area, Mitchell County.

**Emerald, hiddenite, rutile and quartz** - mines at Hiddenite, Alexander Co., 15 miles northwest of Statesville off NC 90.

**Gold** - Reed Gold Mine State Historic Site; off NC 200, 6 miles southeast of Concord, Cabarrus County. Cotton Patch Gold Mine; off US 52, 2 miles southeast of New London.

**Sapphire** - Pressley Mine; off Interstate 40, near Canton, west of Asheville, Haywood Co.

**The State Precious Stone - Emerald**

The General Assembly of 1973 designated the emerald as the official State precious stone. Emerald is found in North Carolina near Hiddenite in Alexander County and southwest of Spruce Pine in Mitchell County. The largest single emerald crystal found in North America was found at the Rist Mine at Hiddenite in 1969. This crystal weighed 1,438 carats. The Carolina Emerald, a 13.14 carat, emerald-cut gem, was also found at the Rist Mine.

**The State Rock - Granite**

The General Assembly of 1979 designated granite as the official State rock. North Carolina is blessed with an abundance of granite. When granite is crushed, it is used as an aggregate for road and building construction. If granite has the right physical properties, it can be cut into blocks and used for monuments, curb stone and stone for building facings. The largest open-face granite quarry in the world is located at Mount Airy, North Carolina.

**STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA**

James B. Hunt, Jr., Governor

**Department of Environment, Health and Natural Resources**



Jonathan B. Howes, Secretary


**Division of Land Resources**

Charles H. Gardner  
Director and State Geologist

**North Carolina Geological Survey**

P.O. Box 27687, Raleigh, N.C. 27611-7687  
Telephone (919)733-2423; FAX (919)733-0900  
e-mail: jeff\_reid@mail.ehnr.state.nc.us  
<http://www.ehnr.state.nc.us/EHNR/DLR/JEFF/rock1.htm>





- LEGEND**
- EXISTING GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER - APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS FROM PREVIOUS SITE PLANS
  - EXISTING PIEZOMETER - SURVEYED BY MCGILL ASSOCIATES IN 2007
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER AND/OR BORING INSTALLED BY BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING IN 2007
  - △ CONTROL POINT
  - ⊗ EXISTING LANDFILL GAS MONITORING PROBE
  - SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION

REVISIONS		DATE:	BY:
No.	DESCRIPTION		


DRAWN: AEH	DATE: 07-11-08
CHECKED: AWA	CAD FILE: HCWOLF-02 BLP
APPROVED:	JOB NO: J07-1957-02

**IBLE INC.**  
 6004 POWERS COURT  
 GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
 PHONE: 864-659-0268 FAX: 864-659-4490

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**

**BORING LOCATION MAP**  
 WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

DRAWING TITLED "CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN, OPTION 1 & 2" BY MCGILL ASSOCIATES DATED JUNE 2007.





### LEGEND

- POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE
- EQUIPOTENTIAL LINES
- GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
- SCREENED INTERVAL OF PIEZOMETER OR WELL
- GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (MSL) ON 02-14-08
- BT BORING TERMINATED
- AR AUGER REFUSAL
- FILL
- UPPER RESIDUAL SOIL: SILTY CLAY AND CLAYEY SILT (ML-CL, ML, MH)
- DEEPER RESIDUAL SOIL: SAND SILT AND SILTY SAND (ML, SM)
- PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK
- GRANITIC GNEISS BEDROCK

VERTICAL EXAGGERATION = 5X

NOTE: TOPSOIL IS PRESENT OVER PORTIONS OF THE STUDY AREA BUT IS NOT SHOWN ON THE PROFILES.


SCALE: 20 Feet = 1 Inch, 100 Feet = 1 Inch



REVISIONS		BY
No.	DESCRIPTION	

DRAWN: IAI	DATE: 07-11-08
CHECKED: AWA/MSP	CAD FILE: HCWOLF-02 PROFILES
APPROVED:	JOB NO: J07-1957-02

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**  
 8004 POWERS COURT  
 GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
 PHONE: (864)399-7000 FAX: (864)399-4630



- LEGEND**
- EXISTING GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER - APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS FROM PREVIOUS SITE PLANS
  - EXISTING PIEZOMETER - SURVEYED BY MCGILL ASSOCIATES IN 2007
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER AND OR BORING INSTALLED BY BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING IN 2007
  - SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION
  - 2414.2 BEDROCK ELEVATION (IN FEET)
  - 2420 BEDROCK ELEVATION CONTOUR, CONTOUR INTERVAL = 10 FEET (DASHED WHERE INFERRIED)

NOTE:  
THIS MAP REPRESENTS THE APPROXIMATE BEDROCK SURFACE (AUGER REFUSAL). AUGER REFUSAL DEPTHS MAY REPRESENT COMPACT BEDROCK OR POSSIBLY BOLLERS OF HARD ROCK WITHIN THE RESIDUAL SOIL AND PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK UNITS. THE DEPTH TO AUGER REFUSAL CAN VARY EVEN OVER SHORT HORIZONTAL DISTANCES DUE TO FRACTURES, JOINTS AND THE PRESENCE OF LESS RESISTANT ROCK TYPES. THEREFORE, THE ACTUAL DEPTH TO CONTINUOUS BEDROCK WILL VARY SOMEWHAT FROM THAT PRESENTED ON THIS FIGURE AND MAY ACTUALLY BE DEEPER THAN INDICATED.

REFERENCE:  
DRAWING TITLED "CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN, OPTION 1 & 2" BY MCGILL ASSOCIATES DATED JUNE 2007.



No.	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION	BY

DRAWN: IAI  
CHECKED: AWA/MSF  
APPROVED:   
  
DATE: 07-11-08  
CAD FILE: HCWOLF-02 BEDROCK  
JOB NO: J07-1957-02

**IBLE** INC.  
BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.  
8004 POWERS COURT  
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
PHONE: (804)289-1286 FAX: (804)289-4490

BEDROCK ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP  
WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

FIGURE NO.  
**5**



- LEGEND**
- EXISTING GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER - APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS FROM PREVIOUS SITE PLANS
  - EXISTING PIEZOMETER - SURVEYED BY MCGILL ASSOCIATES IN 2007
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER AND OR BORING INSTALLED BY BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING IN 2007
  - SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION
  - 2421.33 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (IN FEET)
  - 2500 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR CONTOUR INTERVAL = 10 FEET
  - GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION

REFERENCE: DRAWING TITLED "CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN, OPTION 1 & 2" BY MCGILL ASSOCIATES DATED JUNE 2007.


No.	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION	BY

DRAWN: IAI  
 CHECKED: AWA/MSP  
 APPROVED:   
 DATE: 07-11-08  
 CAD FILE: HCWOLF-02 WTM021408  
 JOB NO: J07-1957-02

**IBLE INC.**  
 BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.  
 6004 POWERS COURT  
 GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
 PHONE: (864)288-0266 FAX: (864)288-4490

WATER TABLE MAP - 2/14/2008  
 WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

FIGURE NO.  
**6**



- LEGEND**
- EXISTING GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER - APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS FROM PREVIOUS SITE PLANS
  - EXISTING PIEZOMETER - SURVEYED BY M&GILL ASSOCIATES IN 2007
  - ⊕ EXISTING PIEZOMETER AND/OR BORING INSTALLED BY BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING IN 2007
  - SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOCATION
  - 2496.41 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (N FEET)
  - 2480 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR CONTOUR INTERVAL = 10 FEET

REFERENCE:  
DRAWING TITLED "CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN, OPTION 1 & 2" BY M&GILL ASSOCIATES DATED JUNE 2007.

No.	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION	BY


DRAWN: IAI  
CHECKED: AWA/MSP  
APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: 07-11-08  
CAD FILE: HCWOLF-02 SHWTM  
JOB NO: J07-1957-02

**IBLE** INC.  
BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.  
6004 POWERS COURT  
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
PHONE: (864)288-1285 FAX: (864)288-4490

SEASONAL HIGH WATER TABLE MAP - (9/20/07 - 2/14/08)  
WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

FIGURE NO.  
**7**



No.	REVISIONS DESCRIPTION	BY

DRAWN: IAI  
 CHECKED: AWA/MSF  
 APPROVED:   
 DATE: 07-11-08  
 CAD FILE: HCWOLF-02 LTSHWTM  
 JOB NO: J07-1957-02

**IBLE INC.**  
**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**  
 6004 POWERS COURT  
 GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
 PHONE: (864)286-1266 FAX: (864)286-4490

ESTIMATION OF LONG-TERM SEASONAL HIGH WATER TABLE ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP  
 WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

FIGURE NO. **8**

## **APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX A**

**DRILLING AND SAMPLING PROCEDURES**

## APPENDIX A

### DRILLING AND SAMPLING PROCEDURES

#### SOIL TEST BORINGS

Soil test borings were advanced by mechanically twisting a continuous flight steel auger into the soil. Soil sampling and penetration testing were performed in general accordance with ASTM D 1586. At regular intervals, soil samples were obtained with a standard 1.4-inch ID, 2-inch OD, split-tube sampler. The sampler was first seated 6 inches to penetrate any loose cuttings, and then driven an additional 12 inches with blows of a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The number of hammer blows required to drive the sampler the final 12 inches was recorded and designated the "penetration resistance."

#### CORE DRILLING

Core drilling procedures were required to determine the character and vertical continuity of refusal materials. Refusal to soil drilling equipment may result from hard cemented soil, soft weathered rock, coarse gravel or boulders, thin rock seams, or the upper surface of solid continuous rock.

Prior to coring, a 4-inch diameter PVC pipe was seated in the refusal material and grouted into place with a cement-bentonite mixture. Refusal materials were then cored according to the ASTM D 2113 using a diamond-studded bit fastened to the end of a hollow, double-tube core barrel. The NQ and HQ sizes designate bits that obtain rock cores 1-7/8 and 2-1/2 inches in diameter. Upon completion of each drill run, the core inner barrel was brought to the surface, the core recovered was measured, and the core samples were removed and placed in boxes for storage.

The core samples were returned to our laboratory where the refusal material was identified and the percent core recovery and rock quality designation (RQD) was determined by a geologist. The percent core recovery is the ratio of the core length obtained to the length cored, expressed as a percent. The RQD is obtained by summing only those pieces of recovered core which are 4 inches or longer and are at least moderately hard, and dividing by the total length cored. The percent core recovery and the RQD are related to soundness and continuity of the refusal material. Refusal-material descriptions, recoveries and the bit size are shown on a Test Boring Record (see Appendix C).

**APPENDIX B**  
**FIELD LOGS OF BORINGS**

# TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-1

**PROJECT:** White Oak Landfill  
**CLIENT:** McGill Associates  
**CONTRACTOR:** Landprobe  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

**BLE JOB NO.** J07-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 7/18/07  
**DATE FINISH:** 7/18/07  
**DRILLER:** T. Gradwell  
**PREPARED BY:** T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	108	48					
	24	42.5					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	XXX	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
		2	SS-1		6" TOPSOIL	2" PVC
		5		STIFF, LT BR, YELLOW, F. SA, SILT		
		6				
5			UD	BR, MIC, F. SA, SI, CLAY		
		4	SS-2		V. STIFF, GRAY, F. SA, SI, CLAY	
		10				
10		4	SS-3		V. STIFF, GRAY, BR, F. SA, SILT	
		9				
15		4	SS-4		V. STIFF, BR, MIC, F. SA, SILT	
		13				
20		4	SS-5		V. STIFF, BR, GRAY, WHITE, MIC, F-M SA, SILT W/ROCK FRAGMENTS	
		11				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY

STICKUP =  
 TOP SAND = 52.8  
 TOP BENT. = 50.6  
 SCREEN = 55-65  
 SCREEN LENGTH = 10  
 END CAP = 65.0  
 WELL TD = 65.2

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-1 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 2 OF

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25			SS-6		V. STIFF, GRAY, BR, MIC, F-M SA, SILT	GROUT 2" PVC
30			SS-7			
35			SS-8		V. STIFF, LT BR, WHITE, MIC, F-M SA, SILT	
40			SS-9		HARD TO V. STIFF, BR, BLK, MIC, F-M SA, SILT	
45			SS-10			

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**TEST BORING REPORT**

White Oak Landfill

BORING NO. *BLE-1* 0  
 J07-1957-02 PAGE 3 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50		5				Grout 2" PVC
		9				
		12				
55		6				BENTONITE
		8				
		15				
60		7				SAND
		10			PWR - BR + WHITE ROCK FRAGMENTS	
		12				
65		50/3"				SAND
					PWR - GRAY + WHITE, MUC, SI, FM	
					SAND	
70						ZTT
					AWGER REFUSAL @ 66' BGS, GW	
					@ 48.0' @ TOB AND 42.5'	
					AFTER 24 HRS	

50.1  
52.8  
55.0  
65.0  
65.2  
66.0

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

## TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-2

PROJECT: White Oak Landfill  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates  
 CONTRACTOR: Landprobe  
 EQUIPMENT USED: CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

BLE JOB NO. J07-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of 2  
 LOCATION:  
 ELEVATION:  
 DATE START: 7/13/07  
 DATE FINISH: 7/13/07  
 DRILLER: T. Gradwell  
 PREPARED BY: T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	T0B	12.1					
	24 Hr	12.45					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	XXX	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
					6" TOPSOIL	GRAB 2" PVC
		2	SS-1		SOFT, BR, F-M SA, SI, CLAY	
		2				
		2				
5		2	SS-2		SOFT, YELLOW, BR, Mic, F-M SA, SILT	
		2				
		2				
		4				
		5	SS-3		FIRM, BK, BR, Moi, Mic, Si, F-M SAND	
		6				
10		8	SS-4			
		6				
		11				
		2				
15		1	SS-5		SOFT, TAN, GRAY, WHITE, Moi, Mic, F-M SA, SILT	
		2				
		2				
20		1	SS-6		SOFT, GRAY, BR, Mic, WET, F.SA, SILT	
		2				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY

STICKUP =  
 TOP SAND = 26.8  
 TOP BENT. = 24.4  
 SCREEN = 29.0 - 39.0  
 SCREEN LENGTH = 10  
 END CAP = 0.2  
 WELL TD = 39.2

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-2 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 2 OF 7

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25			SS-7		V. Firm, BR, BLK, GRAY, Mic, Si, F-M SAND	Grout BENT 2" PVC
		7				
		7				
		19				
30			SS-8		Loose, Gray, BR, Mic, Si, F-M SAND	SAND
		2				
		4				
35			SS-9		V. Firm, Mic, GRAY, BROWN, Si, F-M SAND	SAND
		5				
		11				
		15				
40			SS-10		V. Firm, GRAY, BR, Si, F-M SAND	SAND
		7				
		12				
		18				
45					BORING TERM, @ 40.0' BGS. GW @ 17.1' @ TOP AND 12.45 LTHR	SAND

24.4  
26.8  
29.0  
39.2  
40.0

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

## TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-3

PROJECT: White Oak Landfill  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates  
 CONTRACTOR: Landprobe  
 EQUIPMENT USED: CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

BLE JOB NO. J07-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of 2  
 LOCATION:  
 ELEVATION:  
 DATE START: 7/12/07  
 DATE FINISH: 7/12/07  
 DRILLER: T. Gradwell  
 PREPARED BY: T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	T03	19.5					
	24	18.44					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	XXX	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5		2	SS-1		6" TOPSOIL FIRM, BR, S <sub>i</sub> , F-M SAND (FILL)	GIRDAUT 2" PVC
		2				
		4				
		2				
		2				
10			SS-2		SOFT, LT BR, MIC, F, SA, SILT (FILL)	
15			UD		BR, F, SA, S <sub>i</sub> , CLAY	
20		7	SS-3		STIFF, BR, F-M SA, S <sub>i</sub> , CLAY	
		4				
		5				
15		7	SS-4		V. FIRM, BR, BRK, MIC, S <sub>i</sub> , F-C SAND	
		10				
		15				
20		3	SS-5		STIFF, RED, BR, WET, S <sub>i</sub> , F-C SAND	
		4				
		5				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY

STICKUP =  
 TOP SAND = 36.0  
 TOP BENT = 33.0  
 SCREEN = 38.0 - 48.0  
 SCREEN LENGTH = 10  
 END CAP = 0.2  
 WELL ID = 48.2

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-3 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 2 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
25			UD		Lt BR, GRAY, MIC, F-M SA, SILT	GRUNT 2" PVC	
30		6 8 10			V. STIFF, GRAY, BR, WET, MIC, F-M SA, SILT		
35		12 14 19			DENSE, GRAY, WHITE, BLK, MIC, SI, F-M SAND		BENT
40		9 12 12			V. FIRM, DK BR, MIC, F-M SAND W/ROCK FRAGMENTS		SAND
45		13 19 28			DENSE, GRAY, MIC, SI, F-M SAND W/ROCK FRAGMENTS		
BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS		
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =		
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH			
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =		
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB			
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =		
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =		
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =		

33.6  
36.0  
38.0

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-3 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 3 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50						<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; align-items: center;"> <div style="margin-bottom: 5px;">/</div> </div>
			12			
			26			
			31			
55					<p>PWR - V. DENSE, WHITE, BR, BLK, MIC, F-M SAND</p> <p>BORING TERM @ 50' BGS, GW @ 19.5' @ TOB AND 18.44' AFTER 24 HRS.</p>	

48.0  
48.2

50.0

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

### TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-4

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LF - HAYWOOD CO  
**CLIENT:** McGILL ASSO.  
**CONTRACTOR:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** \_\_\_\_\_

**BLE JOB NO.** J07-1157-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 1  
**LOCATION:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**ELEVATION:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DATE START:** 9/16/07  
**DATE FINISH:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DRILLER:** TG-BE  
**PREPARED BY:** T. LIVINGSTON

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
					HSA	Split Spoon	XXX
					8.25" OD	2" ID	XXX
					HAMMER WT	140 lb	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	30 "	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5					<u>TOPSOIL</u> No SAMPLES  BORING TERM. @ 2.5	
			SS-1			
			SS-2			
			SS-3			
10						
			SS-4			
			SS-5			
15						
			SS-6			
20						

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID		WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND =
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT. =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB	SCREEN =
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG	END CAP =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY	WELL TD =

### TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. **BLE-5**

PROJECT: White Oak Landfill  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates  
 CONTRACTOR: Landprobe  
 EQUIPMENT USED: CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

BLE JOB NO. J07-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of 2  
 LOCATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE START: 7/16/07  
 DATE FINISH: 7/16/07  
 DRILLER: T. Gradwell  
 PREPARED BY: T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	<u>TOB</u>	<u>11.3</u>					
	<u>24</u>	<u>4.6</u>					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	<u>XXX</u>	<u>XXX</u>
					HAMMER FALL	<u>XXX</u>	<u>XXX</u>

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
					<u>6" TOPSOIL</u>	
		<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u>	<u>SS-1</u>		<u>FIRM, BR, SILTY, CLAY</u>	
<u>5</u>		<u>3</u> <u>3</u>	<u>SS-2</u>		<u>FIRM, BR, BLK, GRAY, MOI, MIC, F. SA, CL, SILT</u>	<u>GRABT</u> <u>2" PVC</u>
		<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u>	<u>SS-3</u>		<u>FIRM, BR, MOI, MIC, F-M SA, SILT</u>	
<u>10</u>		<u>5</u> <u>18</u> <u>12</u>	<u>SS-4</u>		<u>V. FIRM, LT BR, BLK, MOI, SI, F-C SAND</u>	<u>BENT</u>
		<u>5</u> <u>7</u> <u>8</u>	<u>SS-5</u>		<u>FIRM, GRAY, BR, WET, MIC, SI, F. SAND</u>	
<u>15</u>		<u>50/4"</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>	<u>SS-6</u>		<u>PWR - GRAY, BR, SI, F-M SAND W/ROCK FRAGMENTS</u>	<u>SAND</u>

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = <u>12.9</u>
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = <u>10.6</u>
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = <u>15-25</u>
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = <u>10</u>
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = <u>0.2</u>
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = <u>25.2</u>

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE -5 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 2 OF 2

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
-25		9 29 18			PWR - BLK & BR, MIC, S <sub>1</sub> , F.M SAND w/ROCK FRAGMENTS	<div style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px; position: relative;"> <span style="position: absolute; top: 0; right: 0; font-size: 8px;">25.0</span> <span style="position: absolute; top: 50%; right: 0; font-size: 8px;">25.2</span> <span style="position: absolute; top: 100%; right: 0; font-size: 8px;">26.0</span> </div>
-30						
-35						
-40						
-45						

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**TEST BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BLE-6

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LF  
**CLIENT:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**CONTRACTOR:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** 4 1/2" HSA

**BLE JOB NO.** 1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 3  
**LOCATION:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**ELEVATION:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DATE START:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DATE FINISH:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DRILLER:** TG-BE  
**PREPARED BY:** TL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOP	44.65	BGS		HSA	Split Spoon	XXX
	24	44.70	BGS		8.25" OD	2" ID	XXX
					XXX	140 lb	XXX
					XXX	30"	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
					TOPSOIL	
		3	SS-1	MH	FIRM TO STIFF, BR, RED, SOME CLAYEY F. SANDY SILT	2" PVC Grout
		3				
		4				
5		4	SS-2	SM	FIRM TO U. FIRM, BR, RED, BLK, SILTY, F-M SAND	
		5				
		6				
		5	SS-3	SM		
		6				
10		6				
		8	SS-4	SM		
		10				
		4				
15		8	SS-5	SM		
		10				
		5				
20		7	SS-6	SM	FIRM TO V. FIRM, BR, BLK, GRAY, SILTY, F-M SAND	
		8				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 3.9
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 33.4
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = 27.0
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 46.0 - 36.0
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 46.2

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-6

PAGE 2 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25						GRAB
			15	SS-7	SM	
			18			
30						BENTONITE
			5	SS-8	SM	
			10		FIRM, GRAY, BR, MIC, SILTY, FINE SAND	
35						2" PIC SAND
			5	SS-9	ML	
			9		STIFF, GRY, BLK, MIC, F. SANDY SILT	
40						SAND
			5	SS-10	ML	
			10		V. STIFF, BR, MIC, F. SANDY SILT	
45						SAND
			6	SS-11	ML	
		8			V. STIFF, GRY, BR, MIC, SIL. MOIST, F. SANDY SILT	
		11				

36.1

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	BENTONITE =
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	GROUT =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	WELL O.D. =
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	WELL TYPE =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	

**TEST BORING REPORT**

BORING NO. BLE-0

PAGE 3 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50			GS-1	PWR	GNEISS ROCK FRAGMENTS  BORING TERM @ 46.0 AUGER REFUSAL	-
55			SS-12			
60			SS-13			
65			SS-14			
70			SS-15			
75			SS-16			

46.0  
46.2

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT


**BORING NO. BLE-7**

PROJECT: White Oak Landfill  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates  
 CONTRACTOR: Landprobe  
 EQUIPMENT USED: CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

BLE JOB NO. J07-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of 2  
 LOCATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE START: 7/17/07  
 DATE FINISH: 7/17/07  
 DRILLER: T. Gradwell  
 PREPARED BY: T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOP	10.3					
	24hr	2.8					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	XXX	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5					6" TOPSOIL	2" PVC
			SS-1		FIRM, BR, SI, CLAY	
10			SS-2		FIRM, LT BR, G <sub>2</sub> , SILT	
15			SS-3		LOOSE, LT. BR, BLK, GRAY, SI, F.M SAND	
20			SS-4		FIRM, LT BR, WHITE, WET, SI, F-C SAND	
			SS-5		FIRM, GRAY, BR, WET, MIC, SI, F.M SAND	
			SS-6		STIFF, DK BR, GRAY, WET, MIC, SI, F.M SAND	



10.8  
13.0  
15.0

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND =
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT. =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN =
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD =



# TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-7D

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LF - Haywood Co  
**CLIENT:**  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:**

**BLE JOB NO.** 507-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 3  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 9/11/07  
**DATE FINISH:** 9/12/07  
**DRILLER:** TG-BE  
**PREPARED BY:** T. LIVINGSTON

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOP	8.3			TYPE	HSA	XXX
	24	8.3			SIZE ID/OD	8.25" OD	2" ID
					HAMMER WT	XXX	140 lb
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	30"

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
				6"	TOPSOIL	
			SS-1		SEE BORING LOG FOR BLE-7	
5						
			SS-2			
			SS-3			
10			SS-4			
			SS-5			
			SS-6			
15						
20						

2" PVC GRAB

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY

STICKUP = 3.5  
 TOP SAND = 46.9  
 TOP BENT. = 38.2  
 SCREEN = 59.6 - 49.6  
 SCREEN LENGTH = 10  
 END CAP = 0.2  
 WELL TD = 59.8



# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. **BLE-7D**

PAGE 3 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50						SAND
			SS-12			46.9
55						SAND
			SS-13			49.6
60						SAND
			SS-14			59.6
65					<i>BORING TERMINATED @ 60.0</i>	
			SS-15			59.8
70						SAND
			SS-16			60.0

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**CORE BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BLE-70

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LF  
**CLIENT:** MCGILL ASSO.  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:**

**BLE JOB NO.** J07-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 2  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:**  
**DATE FINISH:**  
**DRILLER:**  
**PREPARED BY:** TL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			ORIENTATION		CORE BARREL	
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	X	VERTICAL	TYPE	NQ
						HORIZONTAL	TYPE	
						INCLINED	Bit (ft)	
						BEARING	Barrel (ft)	
						ANG. FROM VERT.	Total (ft)	

DEPTH IN FEET	DRILL RATE MIN/FT	CORE NO. DEPTH RANGE	SAMPLE NUMBER	RECOVERY		RQD	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
				FT	%			
30		32.0					AUGER REFUSAL 32.0'	
35		35.0	R-1	15"	42	(9") 25	HARD, SLT WEATHERED, BR, DRK GRAY, WHITE, COARSE GRAINED QUARTZ FELDSPAR BIOTITE GNEISS; W/ THICK FOLIATION, CLOSE STAINED FRACTURES	32 33
40		40.0	R-2	60"	100	(59") 98	V. HARD, FRESH, BR, DRK GRAY, WHITE, FINE TO COARSE GRAINED QTZ-FELDSPAR BIOTITE GNEISS; MOD. FOLIATION, THIN, FOLIATION, AND CLOSE TO V. CLOSE SPACED STAINED FRACTURES	
45		45.0	R-3	60"	100	(54") 90		

FIELD HARDNESS		BEDDING		ATTITUDE AND ANGLE		JOINTS / SHEAR / FRACTURE		WEATHERING	
V. HARD	- KNIFE CANT SCRATCH	V. THIN	<2"	HORIZONTAL (0-5)		V. CLOSE	<2"	FRESH	
HARD	- SCRATCHES DIFFICULTLY	THIN	2"-12"	SHALLOW OR LOW ANGLE (5-35)		CLOSE	2"-12"	V. SLIGHT	
MOD. HARD	- SCRATCHES EASILY	MEDIUM	12"-36"	MODERATELY DIPPING (35-55)		MOD CLOSE	12"-36"	SLIGHT	
SOFT	- GROVES	THICK	36"-120"	STEEP OR HIGH ANGLE (55-85)		WIDE	36"-120"	MODERATE	
V. SOFT	- CARVES	V. THICK	>120"	VERTICAL (85-90)		V. WIDE	>120"	MOD. SEVERE	
								V. SEVERE	
								COMPLETE	

**ORDER FOR CORE DESCRIPTION:** FIELD HARDNESS, WEATHERING, COLOR, GRAIN SIZE/TEXTURE, LITHOLOGY, FRACTURE CHARACTERISTICS, BEDDING AND FOLIATION, COMMENTS

Changes	WELL DESCRIPTION	
Rod on	SCREEN DEPTH =	STICKUP =
GS to TOR	SCREEN LENGTH =	TOP SAND =
Depth to bit	END CAP =	TOP BENT. =
Run	WELL TD =	BOT. CASING =

**CORE BORING REPORT**

BORING NO. BLE-7D

PAGE 2 OF 2

DEPTH IN FEET	DRILL RATE MIN/FT	CORE NO. DEPTH RANGE	SAMPLE NUMBER	RECOVERY		RQD	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
				FT	%			
50		50.0	R-4	54"	90	83	(50")	
55		55.0	R-5	58"	97	50	(30")	
60		60.0	R-6	60"	100	72	(43")	
Well SET								60.0

FIELD HARDNESS	BEDDING	ATTITUDE AND ANGLE	JOINTS / SHEAR / FRACTURE	WEATHERING
V. HARD - KNIFE CANT SCRATCH	V. THIN <2°	HORIZONTAL (0-5)	V. CLOSE <2°	FRESH
HARD - SCRATCHES DIFFICULTLY	THIN 2°-12°	SHALLOW OR LOW ANGLE (5-35)	CLOSE 2°-12°	V. SLIGHT
MOD. HARD - SCRATCHES EASILY	MEDIUM 12°-36°	MODERATELY DIPPING (35-55)	MOD CLOSE 12°-36°	SLIGHT
SOFT - GROVES	THICK 36°-120°	STEEP OR HIGH ANGLE (55-85)	WIDE 36°-120°	MODERATE
V. SOFT - CARVES	V. THICK >120°	VERTICAL (85-90)	V. WIDE >120°	MOD. SEVERE
				V. SEVERE
				COMPLETE

ORDER FOR CORE DESCRIPTION: FIELD HARDNESS, WEATHERING, COLOR, GRAIN SIZE/TEXTURE, LITHOLOGY, FRACTURE CHARACTERISTICS, BEDDING AND FOLIATION, COMMENTS

Changes	WELL MATERIALS	
Rod on	SAND =	GROUT =
GS to TOR		WELL O.D. =
Depth to bit	BENTONITE =	CASING O.D. =
Run		WELL TYPE =

## TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-8

**PROJECT:** Haywood County White Oak DHR  
**CLIENT:** McGill Associates  
**CONTRACTOR:** Landprobe  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

**BLE JOB NO.** 1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 1  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 7-18-07  
**DATE FINISH:** 7-18-07  
**DRILLER:** T. Gradwell  
**PREPARED BY:** T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	XXX	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5					6-inches Topsoil	
10					Auger refusal at 3 ft. No groundwater at time of drilling.	
15						
20						

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID		WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND =
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT. =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB	SCREEN =
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG	END CAP =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY	WELL TD =

## TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-9

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LP - Haywood Co  
**CLIENT:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**CONTRACTOR:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** \_\_\_\_\_

**BLE JOB NO.** 307-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 3  
**LOCATION:** \_\_\_\_\_

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOP	42.7			TYPE	HSA	XXX
	24	43.0			SIZE ID/OD	8.25" OD	2" ID
					HAMMER WT	XXX	140 lb
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	30"

**ELEVATION:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**DATE START:** 9/6/07  
**DATE FINISH:** 9/7/07  
**DRILLER:** JG  
**PREPARED BY:** FL

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
					TOPSOIL	
		3			FIRM TO STIFF, BR + GRAY, F. SANDY CLAYEY, MIC., SILT	2" PVC GRAB
		4	SS-1	ML		
		4				
5		4			STIFF TO V. STIFF, BR, GRAY, BL, MIC., F. SANDY, SILT	
		5	SS-2	ML		
		5				
		5				
		5	SS-3	ML		
		6				
10		5				
		6	SS-4	ML		
		7				
			SS-5	ML		
15						
		5				
		7	SS-6	ML		
20		8				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 3.8
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 35.4
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT. = 23.6
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 47.7-37.7
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 42.9

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-9

PAGE 2 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
25		50/3"	SS-7	SM	PWR, GRAY, WHITE, SILTY SAND W/ ROCK FRAGMENTS ANGER REFUSAL @ 25.0 CHANGE OVER TO AIR HAMMER  SEE CORING REPORT	2" PVC BENTONITE          SAND	
30			SS-8				
35			SS-9				
40			SS-10				
45			SS-11				
							23.6
							35.1
							37.7

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLB-9

PAGE 3 OF 3

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50					BORING TERM, @ 49.0	SAND 1-1-11
55			SS-12			
60			SS-13			
65			SS-14			
70			SS-15			
			SS-16			

47.7  
47.9

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**CORE BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BLE-9

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LF  
**CLIENT:**  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:**

**BLE JOB NO.** J07-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 2  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 9/6/07  
**DATE FINISH:** 9/7/07  
**DRILLER:** TG  
**PREPARED BY:** JL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			ORIENTATION			CORE BARREL	
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	X	VERTICAL	TYPE	NQ	
						HORIZONTAL	TYPE		
						INCLINED	Bit (ft)		
						BEARING	Barrel (ft)		
						ANG. FROM VERT.	Total (ft)		

DEPTH IN FEET	DRILL RATE MIN/FT	CORE NO. DEPTH RANGE	SAMPLE NUMBER	RECOVERY		RQD	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
				FT	%			
25		25.0					Auger Refusal	25
30		30.0	R-1	48"	80	(30") 50	MOD. HARD, SLI WEATHERED, BRN, DK GRAY, WHITE, F. TO COARSE GRAINED, QZ-FELDSPAR BIOTITE GNEISS; W/ MOD. DEVELOPED, V. THIN, SHALLOW DIPPING FOLIATION, AND CLOSE TO V. CLOSE SPACED, SHALLOW AND STEEPLY DIPPING, FROW STAINED FRACTURES	
35		35.0	R-2	45"	75	(37") 62		
40		40.6	R-3	55"	92	(41") 68		

FIELD HARDNESS		BEDDING		ATTITUDE AND ANGLE		JOINTS / SHEAR / FRACTURE		WEATHERING	
V. HARD	-KNIFE CANT SCRATCH	V. THIN	<2°	HORIZONTAL (0-5)		V. CLOSE	<2°	FRESH	
HARD	-SCRATCHES DIFFICULTLY	THIN	2°-12°	SHALLOW OR LOW ANGLE (5-35)		CLOSE	2°-12°	V. SLIGHT	
MOD. HARD	-SCRATCHES EASILY	MEDIUM	12°-36°	MODERATELY DIPPING (35-55)		MOD CLOSE	12°-36°	SLIGHT	
SOFT	-GROVES	THICK	36°-120°	STEEP OR HIGH ANGLE (55-85)		WIDE	36°-120°	MODERATE	
V. SOFT	-CARVES	V. THICK	>120°	VERTICAL (85-90)		V. WIDE	>120°	MOD. SEVERE	
								V. SEVERE	
								COMPLETE	

**ORDER FOR CORE DESCRIPTION:** FIELD HARDNESS, WEATHERING, COLOR, GRAIN SIZE/TEXTURE, LITHOLOGY, FRACTURE CHARACTERISTICS, BEDDING AND FOLIATION, COMMENTS

Changes	WELL DESCRIPTION	
Rod on	SCREEN DEPTH =	STICKUP =
GS to TOR	SCREEN LENGTH =	TOP SAND =
Depth to bit	END CAP =	TOP BENT. =
Run	WELL TD =	BOT. CASING =

**CORE BORING REPORT**

BORING NO. BLE-9  
PAGE 2 OF 2

DEPTH IN FEET	DRILL RATE MIN/FT	CORE NO. DEPTH RANGE	SAMPLE NUMBER	RECOVERY		RQD	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL						
				FT	%									
45		45.0	R-4	58"	97	87	SOFT, MOD. WEATHERED, BRN, GRN, F. GRAINED BIOTITE SCHIST; W/ WELL DEVELOPED, V. THIN, SHALLOW DIPPING FOLIATION, SHALLOW DIPPING IRON STAINED FRACTURE.	44.0 45.0						
49		49.0	R-5				HARD, V. SL. WEATHERED, BRN, DK GRAY, WHITE, F. TO COARSE GRAINED, QTZ FELDSPAR - BIOTITE GNEISS; MOD. DEVELOPED FOLIATION, V. CLOSE SPACED FRACTURES  WELL SET	49.0						

FIELD HARDNESS	BEDDING	ATTITUDE AND ANGLE	JOINTS / SHEAR / FRACTURE	WEATHERING
V. HARD - KNIFE CANT SCRATCH	V. THIN <2"	HORIZONTAL (0-5)	V. CLOSE <2"	FRESH
HARD - SCRATCHES DIFFICULTLY	THIN 2"-12"	SHALLOW OR LOW ANGLE (5-35)	CLOSE 2"-12"	V. SLIGHT
MOD. HARD - SCRATCHES EASILY	MEDIUM 12"-36"	MODERATELY DIPPING (35-55)	MOD CLOSE 12"-36"	SLIGHT
SOFT - GROVES	THICK 36"-120"	STEEP OR HIGH ANGLE (55-85)	WIDE 36"-120"	MODERATE
V. SOFT - CARVES	V. THICK >120"	VERTICAL (85-90)	V. WIDE >120"	MOD. SEVERE
				V. SEVERE
				COMPLETE

ORDER FOR CORE DESCRIPTION: FIELD HARDNESS, WEATHERING, COLOR, GRAIN SIZE/TEXTURE, LITHOLOGY, FRACTURE CHARACTERISTICS, BEDDING AND FOLIATION, COMMENTS

Changes  
Rod on  
GS to TOR  
Depth to bit  
Run

WELL MATERIALS	
SAND =	GROUT =
BENTONITE =	WELL O.D. =
	CASING O.D. =
	WELL TYPE =

**TEST BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BLE-10

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LP  
**CLIENT:**  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** 4 1/2" HSA

**BLE JOB NO.** 1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 4  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 7/24/07  
**DATE FINISH:** 7/26/07  
**DRILLER:**  
**PREPARED BY:** JL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	70B	61.5			TYPE	HSA	Split Spoon
					SIZE ID/OD	8.25" OD	2" ID
					HAMMER WT	XXX	140 lb
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	30"
						XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5		4 6 6	SS-1	ML	STIFF, <sup>DK</sup> RED, LT. BR, F. SANDY SILT	2" PVC GRAB
		6 10 15	SS-2	SM	V. FIRM, LT. BR, Si, F-M SAND	
		6 11 14	SS-3	MH	V. STIFF, DK. RED, LT BR, FINE SANDY, CLAYEY SILT	
10		6 12 13	SS-4	MH		
15		10 12 15	SS-5	MH		
20		8 13 16	SS-6	MH		

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 3.0
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 66.7
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = 62.7
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 68.7 - 78.7
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 78.9

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. **BLB-10**

PAGE 2 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25				MH		2" PVC
			11			
			16		SS-7	
		15				
30				SM	V. Firm, RED, BR, GRAY, S F-M SAND	
			11			
			15			SS-8
		13				
35				ML	HARD, RED, BR, GRAY, F. SANDY SILT	
			9			
			16			SS-9
		20				
40				MLS	V. HARD TO HARD LT BR, GRAY, BLK, F-M SANDY SILT	
			11			
			20			SS-10
		37				
45				MLS		
			13			SS-11
		26				
		26				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-10

PAGE 3 OF 7

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
50		11 20 21	SS-12	MLS		GRAB 2" PVC	
55		10 12 20	SS-13	MLS			
60		6 14 27	SS-14	ML	V. STIFF, BR, WH, GRAY, F. SANDY SILT		
65		14 28 30	SS-15	ML	V. HARD, GRAY, BRN, WH, F. SANDY SILT		62.7
70		13 21 25	SS-16	ML	HARD TO V. HARD, GRAY, BR, MICACEOUS, MOIST, F. SANDY SILT		68.7
							BENTONITE
							SAND
							11111

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =



**TEST BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BLE-11

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LP  
**CLIENT:**  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** 4 1/2" HSA

**BLE JOB NO.** 1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 5  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 8/3/67  
**DATE FINISH:**  
**DRILLER:** K. THOMAS  
**PREPARED BY:** TL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOP	89.7			HSA	Split Spoon	XXX
	24	84.6			8.25" OD	2" ID	XXX
					HAMMER WT	140 lb	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	30"	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
5		3	SS-1	ML	STIFF TO V. STIFF, DK. RED, LT. BR, F. SANDY SILT	2" PVC GRAB	
		4					
		7					
			3	SS-2			ML
		6					
		9					
			4	SS-3			ML
		6					
		8					
			4	SS-4			ML
		1					
		10					
15		3	SS-5	ML	STIFF, DK RED, LT. BR, F. SANDY SILT		
		5					
		7					
20		4	SS-6	ML			
		5					
		7					

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 2.6
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 90.1
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = 71.0
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 92.6-102.6
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 102.8

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-1)

PAGE 2 OF 5

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25		3	SS-7	ML		2" PVC Grout
30		7 10	SS-8	ML	STIFF TO V. STIFF, RED, LT BR, BLK, F. SANDY SILT	
35		4 5 9	SS-9	ML		
40		7 9 12	SS-10	ML		
45		8 11 14	SS-11	ML	V. STIFF, RED, BR, GRAY, MIC., FINE SANDY SILT	

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-11

PAGE 3 OF 5

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50		3 9 12	SS-12	ML		2" PVC GROUT
55		5 10 10	SS-13	ML	V. STIFF, WHITE, LT. BR, FINE SANDY SILT	
60		16 22 24	SS-14	MH	HARD TO V. STIFF, RED, BR, CLAYEY, F. SANDY SILT	
65		6 10 14	SS-15	MH		
70		2 5 11	SS-16	ML	V. STIFF, GRAY, BR, F. SANDY SILT	

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	BENTONITE =
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	GROUT =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	WELL O.D. =
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	WELL TYPE =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-11

PAGE 4 OF 5

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
75		8 10 12	SS-17	ML		2" PVC BENTONITE
80		10 14 16	SS-18	ML	V. STIFF, GRAY, LT. BR, MIC, FINE SANDY SILT	
85		10 18 20	SS-19	MH	V. HARD, RED, GRY, LT BR, CLAYEY, F. SOY SILT	
90		14 16 18	SS-20	ML	V. STIFF TO HARD, GRY, BR, BLK, MIC. F. SANDY SILT	
95		8 10 12	SS-21	ML	MOIST	SANDS

71.0

90.1

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**TEST BORING REPORT**

BORING NO. 825-11

PAGE 5 OF 5

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
<u>100</u>				ML		SAND
			SS-22			
<u>105</u>					BORING TERM. @ 103.0	
			SS-23			
<u>110</u>						
			SS-24			
<u>115</u>						
			SS-25			
<u>120</u>						
			SS-26			

102.4  
102.8

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

### TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO. BLE-12**

PROJECT: WHITE OAK LF - Haywood Co.  
 CLIENT: \_\_\_\_\_  
 CONTRACTOR: \_\_\_\_\_  
 EQUIPMENT USED: \_\_\_\_\_

BLE JOB NO. 307-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of 4  
 LOCATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE START: \_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE FINISH: \_\_\_\_\_  
 DRILLER: TG-BE  
 PREPARED BY: TL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CASING			SAMPLER		CORE
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	TYPE	HSA	Split Spoon	XXX	BARREL	
	<u>TUB</u>	<u>75.9</u>			SIZE ID/OD	<u>8.25" OD</u>	<u>2" ID</u>	<u>XXX</u>		
	<u>24</u>	<u>75.9</u>			HAMMER WT	<u>XXX</u>	<u>140 lb</u>	<u>XXX</u>		
					HAMMER FALL	<u>XXX</u>	<u>30"</u>	<u>XXX</u>		

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5		<u>3</u>	SS-1	MH	STIFF, <del>RED</del> CLAYEY, SILT	2" PVC SCREEN
		<u>4</u>				
		<u>5</u>				
		<u>4</u>	SS-2	MH		
		<u>4</u>				
		<u>6</u>				
10		<u>4</u>	SS-3	ML	STIFF TO V. STIFF, RED, BRN, F. SANDY, SILT	
		<u>5</u>				
		<u>6</u>				
		<u>5</u>	SS-4	ML		
		<u>7</u>				
		<u>9</u>				
15		<u>5</u>	SS-5	ML	V. STIFF, RED & LT. BR, F. SANDY, SILT w/some CLAY	
		<u>7</u>				
		<u>8</u>				
		<u>5</u>	SS-6	ML		
		<u>8</u>				
		<u>8</u>				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 2.2
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 77.8
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = 68.3
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 90.0-80.6
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 90.2

**TEST BORING REPORT**

BORING NO. *BLB-12*

PAGE 2 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25				ML		2" PVC GROUT
		4				
		8	SS-7			
		11				
30				ML	V. STIF LT. BR + RED, F. SANDY, SILT	
		4				
		8	SS-8			
		11				
35				ML	V. STIFF, BR, RED, BLK, MIC., FINE SANDY, SILT	
		5				
		8	SS-9			
		11				
40				ML		
		10				
		10	SS-10			
		16				
45				ML	V. STIFF, BRW, GRAY, MIC., F. SANDY, SILT	
		5				
		8	SS-11			
		14				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-12

PAGE 3 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50		4 8 12	SS-12	ML		2" PUC GROUT
55		4 5 9	SS-13	ML	FIRM TO DENSE, BRN, RED, BLK, SILTY, FINE SAND	
60		6 10 23	SS-14	ML		
65		9 14 18	SS-15	ML	HARD, GRAY, BRN, BLK, MICY, F. SANDY, SILT	
70		10 22 29	SS-16	ML		

68.3

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-12

PAGE 4 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
75		10 20	SS-17	ML		2" PVC BENTONITE	
80		10 20 22	SS-18	ML			
85		8 12 20	SS-19	ML	HARD, GRAY, BR, MIC, F. SANDY SILT		SAND
90		10 14 22	SS-20	ML			
95			SS-21		BORING TERM. @ 90.2		

77.9  
80.0  
90.0  
90.2

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	BENTONITE =
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	GROUT =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	WELL O.D. =
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	WELL TYPE =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	

## TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-13

PROJECT: White Oak Landfill  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates  
 CONTRACTOR: Landprobe  
 EQUIPMENT USED: CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

BLE JOB NO. J07-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of  
 LOCATION:  
 ELEVATION:  
 DATE START: 7/22/07  
 DATE FINISH: 7/22/07  
 DRILLER: T. Gradwell  
 PREPARED BY: T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOB	69.0					
	24 Hr	67.5					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	XXX	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5		7	SS-1		STIFF, DK RED & BR, Si, CLAY	2" PVC
		7				
		7				
		13	SS-2			
		13				
		13				
10		5	SS-3		V. STIFF, DK RED, BR, Mic, F-M SA, SILT	2" PVC
		7				
		9				
		9	SS-4			
		14				
		15				
15		7	SS-5		V. STIFF, DK RED, Mic, CL, F-M SA, SILT	2" PVC
		10				
		15				
		7	SS-6			
		10				
		15				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 69.4
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT. = 66.8
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 71.4 - 81.4
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 81.6

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-13 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 2 OF

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
-25		<del>10</del>	UD			GRAB 2" PVC
-30		8 16 20	SS-7		HARD, DK RED, BR, Mic, F-M SA, SILT	
-35		17 20 24	SS-8			
-40		12 18 23	SS-9		HARD, BLK, BR, RED, Mic, Si, F-M SAND	
-45		8 15 20	SS-10		HARD, DK BR, Mic, F. SA, SILT	
BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS	
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =	
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH		
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =	
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB		
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =	
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =	
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =	

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-13 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 3 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50		8	SS-11		HARD, BR + LT BR, MIC, F.SA, SILT	
		16				
		26				
55		13	SS-12		HARD TO V. HARD, BLK, BR, GRAY, MOI, F. SA, SILT	
		22				
		22				
60		15	SS-13			
		20				
		23				
65		28	SS-14			
		30				
		33				
70		23	SS-15			
		32				
		48				

GROUT 2" PVC

BENT

66.8

69.4

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-130

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02

PAGE 4 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
75		26 45 50/3"	SS-16		PWR - GRAY + WHITE, S <sub>1</sub> , F-M SAND	71.4
80						81.4 81.6
85		50/3"	SS-17			86.1
90					Auger Refusal @ 86.0' BGS, GW At 69.0' @ TOB AND 67.5' AFTER 24 HRS.	
95						

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**TEST BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BCB-14

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK LF - Hayward Co.  
**CLIENT:**  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:**

**BLE JOB NO.** 307-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 4  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 9/4/07  
**DATE FINISH:**  
**DRILLER:** TG-BE  
**PREPARED BY:** T. LIVINGSTON

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	TOP	59.1			TYPE	HSA	XXX
	24	59.2			SIZE ID/OD	8.25" OD	2" ID
					HAMMER WT	XXX	140 lb
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	30"

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
5		3 7 6	SS-1	ML	STIFF TO V. STIFF, RED, Lt BRN, F. SANDY SILT w/ some CLAY	2" PVC GRAB	
		4 5 7	SS-2	ML			
		4 4 7	SS-3	ML			
		4 6 8	SS-4	ML			
	4 7 9	SS-5	ML				
10							
15							
20		6 9 11	SS-6	MLH	V. STIFF, RED, BRN, BK, MIC, FINE SANDY, CLAYEY, SILT		

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 4.0
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 60.3
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = 45.7
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 73.3-63.3
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 73.5

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-14

PAGE 2 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
25				MH		
		5 4 12	SS-7			
30				MH		
		6 8 12	SS-8			
35				ML	V. HARD TO STIFF, GRAY, BRN, MIC., F. SANDY, SILT	
		11 21 30	SS-9			
40				ML		
		17 28 33	SS-10			
45				ML		
		11 16 21	SS-11			

2" PVC  
GROUT

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-14

PAGE 3 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50		9 12 14	SS-12	ML		BENTONITE 2" PVC
55		12 13 16	SS-13	ML	V. STIFF TO HARD, BR, GRAY, MIC., MOIST, F. SANDY, SILT	
60		14 15 18	SS-14	ML		
65		13 14 17	SS-15	ML		
70		50/1"	SS-16	ML	V. HARD, GRAY, BLK, WHITE, SILTY, F.M SAND	

45.7

60.3

63.3

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. 2LE-14  
PAGE 4 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
75					BORING TERM @ 73.5 AUGER REFUSAL	SAND
			SS-17			
80			SS-18			
85			SS-19			
90			SS-20			
95			SS-21			

73.5  
73.5

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

## TEST BORING REPORT

**BORING NO.** BLE-15

PROJECT: White Oak Landfill  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates  
 CONTRACTOR: Landprobe  
 EQUIPMENT USED: CME 750 with 4-1/4 inch hollow stem auger

BLE JOB NO. J07-1957-02  
 PAGE NO. 1 of 4  
 LOCATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ELEVATION: \_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE START: 7/19/07  
 DATE FINISH: 7/20/07  
 DRILLER: T. Gradwell  
 PREPARED BY: T. Livingston

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE		
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL
	<u>TOB</u>	<u>57.25</u>					
	<u>24 Hrs</u>	<u>57.1</u>					
					TYPE		
					SIZE ID/OD		
					HAMMER WT	<u>XXX</u>	<u>XXX</u>
					HAMMER FALL	<u>XXX</u>	<u>XXX</u>

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5					<u>6" TOPSOIL</u>	2" PVC GRAVEL
					<u>STIFF TO V. STIFF, DK RED, Si, CLAY</u>	
			<u>5</u>	SS-1		
			<u>6</u>			
			<u>8</u>			
			<u>3</u>	SS-2		
			<u>5</u>			
			<u>8</u>			
			<u>5</u>	SS-3		
			<u>9</u>			
			<u>10</u>			
	10			SS-4		
			<u>3</u>			
			<u>6</u>			
				SS-5		
			<u>5</u>			
			<u>12</u>			
15					<u>V. STIFF TO HARD, BR &amp; PURPLE, MIC, F. SA, CL, SILT</u>	
			<u>15</u>			
20			SS-6			
				<u>9</u>		
				<u>18</u>		

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID		WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS	SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP	HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = <u>62.1</u>
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD	UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT. = <u>59.7</u>
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G	GRAB	SCREEN = <u>64.13-74.13</u>
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C	COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = <u>10</u>
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B	BAG	END CAP = <u>0.2</u>
		51+	VERY HARD	NR	NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = <u>74.33</u>

**TEST BORING REPORT**

BORING NO. BLE-15 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 2 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
25			SS-7		HARD, BR, F. SA, CL, SILT		
30			SS-8		V. STIFF, RED + BR, Si, CLAY		
35			SS-9		STIFF, LT. BR, F. SA, SILT		
40			SS-10		V. STIFF, GRAY + BR, Mic, F. SA, SILT		
45			SS-11				

Grout 2" PVC

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-15 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE 3 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50			SS-12			
		7				
		9				
		11				
55			SS-13		V. STIFF, BR + GRAY, MIC, F. SA, SILT W/ROCK FRAGMENTS	2" PVC
		7				
		8				
		13				
60			SS-14			59.7
		5				
		9				
		15				62.1
65			SS-15		V. FIRM, WHITE + LT, BR, MOIST, S <sub>1</sub> , F.M SAND	64.1E
		9				
		12				
		14				
70			SS-16		V. DENSE, GRAY + BR, S <sub>1</sub> , F.M SAND	SAND
		15				
		22				
		50/6"				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. **BLF-15** 0

White Oak Landfill

J07-1957-02 PAGE **4** OF **4**

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
75		14 26 50/4"	SS-17		<p>V. DENSE, OR, BR, S<sub>1</sub>, F-M SAND W/ROCK FRAGMENTS</p> <p>BORING TERM @ 75' BGS. GW ENCOUNTERED @ 57.75' @ TOB AND @ 57.1' AFTER 24 HOURS.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">SAND</p> <p style="text-align: right;">74.13 74.75 75.1</p>
80						
85						
90						
95						

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**TEST BORING REPORT**

**BORING NO.** BLE-10

**PROJECT:** WHITE OAK MSW LF  
**CLIENT:** MCGILL ASSO.  
**CONTRACTOR:**  
**EQUIPMENT USED:** 4 1/2" HSA

**BLE JOB NO.** J07-1957-02  
**PAGE NO.** 1 of 4  
**LOCATION:**  
**ELEVATION:**  
**DATE START:** 7/29/07  
**DATE FINISH:**  
**DRILLER:**  
**PREPARED BY:** TL

GROUND WATER		DEPTH TO:			CORE			
DATE	HRS AFT COMP	WATER	BOT. OF CASING	BOT. OF HOLE	CASING	SAMPLER	BARREL	
	TAB	68.5			TYPE	HSA	Split Spoon	XXX
					SIZE ID/OD	8.25" OD	2" ID	XXX
					HAMMER WT	XXX	140 lb	XXX
					HAMMER FALL	XXX	30"	XXX

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
5		14	SS-1	SM	V. FIRM WHITE, LT BR SILTY F.M SAND	2" PVC GRAB
		12				
		15				
		6	SS-2	SM		
		10				
		11				
10		7	SS-3	ML	V. STIFF LT. BR, RED, WH FINE SANDY SILT	
		7				
		9				
		9	SS-4	ML		
		10				
		11				
15		8	SS-5	ML	RED, DK RED, BLK FINE SANDY SILT V. STIFF TO HARD	
		12				
		15				
		7	SS-6	ML		
		14				
		15				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL DESCRIPTION
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	STICKUP = 4.0
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	TOP SAND = 67.6
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	TOP BENT = 64.7
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	SCREEN = 68.2 - 78.4
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	SCREEN LENGTH = 10
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	END CAP = 0.2
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TD = 78.4

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. **BLE-16**

PAGE 2 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL						
25				ML	SAME AS ABOVE	2" PVC GRAB						
		8 18 14	SS-7									
30				ML			SAME AS ABOVE	2" PVC GRAB				
		9 11 18	SS-8									
35				ML					SAME AS ABOVE	2" PVC GRAB		
		10 17 19	SS-9									
40				ML							SAME AS ABOVE	2" PVC GRAB
		10 12 27	SS-10									
45				SM	SAME AS ABOVE	2" PVC GRAB						
		12 20 27	SS-11									
							LT RED, LT BR, BLK SILTY FINE SAND					

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

# TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLE-12

PAGE 3 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL
50			SS-12	SM	V. DENSE LT. BR, GRAY SI F-M SAND	
		12				
		25 30				
55			SS-13	SM	V. DENSE BR, GRY, RED SI F-M SAND	
		12				
		15 25				
60			SS-14	ML	HARD, BR, GRAY FINE SAND SILT	
		9				
		16 24				
65			SS-15	ML		
		10				
		12 20				
70			SS-16	ML		
		10				
		14 28				

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

GRAB

2" PVC

BENTONITE

SAND

64.7

67.4

68.2

TEST BORING REPORT

BORING NO. BLB-16

PAGE 4 OF 4

DEPTH IN FEET	CASING BLOWS PER FT	SAMPLER BLOWS PER 6"	SAMPLE NUMBER	USCS	FIELD CLASSIFICATION AND REMARKS	WELL	
75						SAND	
			12 24 25	SS-17	SM		DENSE, GRAY, LT. BROWN, F-M SILTY SAND
80						SAND	
			8 18 32	SS-18	ML		HARD, GRAY, BRN, F. SANDY SILT
85						SAND	
				SS-19			
90						SAND	
				SS-20			
95						SAND	
				SS-21			

78.2  
78.4  
80.0

BORING TERM, @ 80.0

BLOWS/FT	DENSITY	BLOWS/FT	CONSISTANCY	SAMPLE ID	WELL MATERIALS
0-4	VERY LOOSE	0-2	VERY SOFT	SS SPLIT SPOON	SAND =
5-10	LOOSE	3-4	SOFT	HP HYDROPUNCH	
11-20	FIRM	5-8	FIRM	UD UNDISTURBED TUBE	BENTONITE =
21-30	VERY FIRM	9-15	STIFF	G GRAB	
31-50	DENSE	16-30	VERY STIFF	C COMPOSITE	GROUT =
51+	VERY DENSE	31-50	HARD	B BAG	WELL O.D. =
		51+	VERY HARD	NR NO RECOVERY	WELL TYPE =

**APPENDIX C**

**SOIL AND ROCK BORING RECORDS AND WELL DIAGRAMS**

## APPENDIX C

### SOIL AND ROCK BORINGS RECORDS AND WELL DIAGRAMS

Piezometers and monitoring wells have been installed at the site since 1990. The piezometers were installed as part of Site Hydrogeologic and Design Hydrogeologic investigations performed at the site in the past. The monitoring wells were installed as part of the water quality monitoring system for the constructed landfill cells.

# KEY TO SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS AND CONSISTENCY DESCRIPTIONS

BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.  
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

## Penetration Resistance\* Blows per Foot

SANDS  
0 to 4  
5 to 10  
11 to 20  
21 to 30  
31 to 50  
over 50

## Relative Density

Very Loose  
Loose  
Firm  
Very Firm  
Dense  
Very Dense

## Particle Size Identification

Boulder: Greater than 300 mm  
Cobble: 75 to 300 mm  
Gravel:  
Coarse - 19 to 75 mm  
Fine - 4.75 to 19 mm  
Sand:  
Coarse - 2 to 4.75 mm  
Medium - 0.425 to 2 mm  
Fine - 0.075 to 0.425 mm  
Silt & Clay: Less than 0.075 mm

## Penetration Resistance\* Blows per Foot

SILTS and CLAYS  
0 to 2  
3 to 4  
5 to 8  
9 to 15  
16 to 30  
31 to 50  
over 50

## Consistency

Very Soft  
Soft  
Firm  
Stiff  
Very Stiff  
Hard  
Very Hard

\*ASTM D 1586

## KEY TO DRILLING SYMBOLS



Grab Sample



Split Spoon Sample



Undisturbed Sample

NR = No reaction to HCL

NA = Not applicable

NS = No sample



Groundwater Table at Time of Drilling




Groundwater Table 24 Hours after Completion of Drilling

## KEY TO SOIL CLASSIFICATIONS




Well-graded Gravel  
GW



Low Plasticity Clay  
CL



Clayey Silt  
MH



Silty Sand  
SM



Poorly-graded Gravel  
GP



Sandy Clay  
CLS



Sandy Silt  
MLS



Topsoil  
TOPSOIL



Partially Weathered Rock  
BLDRCBBL



Silty Clay  
CL-ML



Sand  
SW



Trash  
MUCKPEAT



High Plasticity Clay  
CH



Silt  
ML



Clayey Sand  
SC




Fill  
FILL



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-1

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-18-07 END: 7-18-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2574.23  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 48 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 42.5 CAVING> ⊗




GEOT. WELL. 1957-02.GP.J 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-1

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-18-07 END: 7-18-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2574.23  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 48 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 42.5 CAVING > XXXX



GEOT. WELL. 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08




# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-2

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill  
CLIENT: McGill Associates  
LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina  
DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell  
DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL:  $\nabla$  17.1 AFTER 24 HOURS:  $\nabla$  12.45 CAVING >

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
START: 7-13-07 END: 7-13-07  
ELEVATION: 2525.68  
LOGGED BY: T. Livingston



GEO. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-2

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-13-07 END: 7-13-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2525.68  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ∇ 17.1 AFTER 24 HOURS: ∇ 12.45 CAVING> ☒

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT										MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50	70	90		
2485  42  44  2480  46  48  50 2475  52  54  2470  56  58  60 2465  62  64  2460  66  68  70 2455  72  74  2450  76  78	Boring terminated at 40 feet. Groundwater encountered at 17.1 feet at time of drilling and at 12.45 feet after 24 hours.												Total well depth, 39.2 feet	


GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-3

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: <u>White Oak MSW Landfill</u>	PROJECT NO.: <u>J07-1957-02</u>
CLIENT: <u>McGill Associates</u>	START: <u>7-12-07</u> END: <u>7-12-07</u>
LOCATION: <u>Haywood County, North Carolina</u>	ELEVATION: <u>2519.77</u>
DRILLER: <u>Landprobe, T. Gradwell</u>	LOGGED BY: <u>T. Livingston</u>
DRILLING METHOD: <u>8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger</u>	
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 19.5 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 18.44 CAVING>	



GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-3

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-12-07 END: 7-12-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2519.77  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 19.5 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 18.44 CAVING > ☒

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT										MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS		
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50	70	90				
42	Very firm, dark brown, micaceous, silty, fine to medium SAND with rock fragments															<p>screen, 38 to 48 feet</p> <p>2-inch diameter, 0.010-inch slotted Schedule 40 PVC well screen, 38 to 48 feet</p> <p>Pipe cap</p> <p>Total well depth, 48.2 feet</p>
2475	Dense, gray, micaceous, silty, fine to medium SAND with rock fragments		13 19 28													
2470	Very dense, white and brown, micaceous, silty, fine to medium SAND		12 26 31													
50	Boring terminated at 50 feet. Groundwater encountered at 19.5 feet at time of drilling and at 18.44 feet after 24 hours.															
52																
54																
2465																
56																
58																
2460																
60																
62																
2455																
64																
66																
68																
2450																
70																
72																
74																
2445																
76																
78																
2440																

GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# SOIL TEST BORING NO. BLE-4

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 9-6-07 END: 9-6-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2560.09  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ∇ AFTER 24 HOURS: ∇ CAVING> ☒


ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE
	6-inches of TOPSOIL	
2	No sample	
4	<p>Auger refusal at 2.5 feet on fill boulders. Four test pits advanced to depths of 10 to 11 feet below ground surface were performed by McGill Associates on 6-4-08. The test pits encountered fill soil with numerous boulders which were found to be responsible for the auger refusal in BLE-4. Bedrock was not encountered in any test pit. No ground water encountered at time of drilling.</p>	
2555		
6		
8		
10		
2550		
12		
14		
2545		
16		
18		
20		
2540		
22		
24		
2535		
26		
28		
30		
2530		
32		
34		
2525		
36		
38		

GEOI. NOWELLNB 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 9-6-07 END: 9-6-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2532.96  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 44.65 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 44.70 CAVING> ☒



GEO. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-6

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

CLIENT: McGill Associates

START: 9-6-07 END: 9-6-07

LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina

ELEVATION: 2532.96

DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell

LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger

DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 44.65 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 44.70 CAVING>

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT									MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS	
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50	70	90		
42	Very stiff, brown, micaceous, fine sandy SILT													<p>2-inch diameter, 0.010-inch slotted Schedule 40 PVC well screen, 36 to 46 feet</p> <p>Pipe cap</p> <p>Total well depth, 46.2 feet</p>
2490 44	Very stiff, gray and brown, micaceous, slightly moist, fine sandy SILT													
46	GNEISS rock fragments													
2485 48	Auger refusal at 46 feet. Groundwater encountered at 44.65 feet at time of drilling and at 44.70 feet after 24 hours.		6 8 11											
50														
52														
2480 54														
56														
2475 58														
60														
62														
2470 64														
66														
2465 68														
70														
72														
2460 74														
76														
2455 78														

GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08





# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-7D

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

CLIENT: McGill Associates

START: 9-11-07 END: 9-12-07

LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina


ELEVATION: 2491.92

DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell/BF

LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger and NQ rock coring

DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL:  $\nabla$  8.3 AFTER 24 HOURS:  $\nabla$  8.3 CAVING>



GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-7D

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

CLIENT: McGill Associates

START: 9-11-07 END: 9-12-07

LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina


ELEVATION: 2491.92

DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell/BF

LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger and NQ rock coring

DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ∇ 8.3 AFTER 24 HOURS: ∇ 8.3 CAVING> XXXX



GEOT. WELL. 1957-02.GPJ 7/1/08



# SOIL TEST BORING NO. BLE-8

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-18-07 END: 7-18-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2473.09  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ CAVING> ⊗

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE
	6-inches of TOPSOIL	
2		
2470		
4	Auger refusal at 3 feet. No groundwater encountered at time of drilling.	
6		
8		
2465		
10		
12		
2460		
14		
16		
18		
2455		
20		
22		
2450		
24		
26		
28		
2445		
30		
32		
2440		
34		
36		
2435		
38		


GEO\_T\_NOWELLNB 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-9

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 9-6-07 END: 9-7-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2552.54  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger and NQ rock coring  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 42.7 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 43.0 CAVING> ☒



GEOLOGICAL WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-9

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 9-6-07 END: 9-7-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2552.54  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger and NQ rock coring  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 42.7 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 43.0 CAVING> XXXX

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT							MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50	
2510	Moderately hard, slightly weathered, brown, dark gray and white, fine to coarse grained, QUARTZ FELDSPAR BIOTITE GNEISS; with moderately developed, very thin, shallow dipping foliation, and close to very closely spaced, shallow and steeply dipping, iron stained fractures R-1 recovery = 80%, RQD = 50% R-2 recovery = 75%, RQD = 62% R-3 recovery = 92%, RQD = 68% R-4 recovery = 97%, RQD = 87% Soft, moderately weathered, brown and gray, fine grained BIOTITE SCHIST; with well developed, very thin, shallow dipping foliation, shallow dipping, iron stained fracture Hard, very slightly weathered, brown, dark gray and white, fine to coarse grained, QUARTZ-FELDSPAR-BIOTITE GNEISS; moderately developed foliation, very closely spaced fractures R-5 Boring terminated at 49 feet. Groundwater encountered at 42.7 feet at time of drilling and at 43.0 feet after 24 hours.	R-4									2-inch diameter, 0.010-inch slotted Schedule 40 PVC well screen, 37.7 to 47.7 feet   Pipe cap Total well depth, 47.9 feet
42											
44											
46											
2505											
48											
50											
52											
2500											
54											
56											
2495											
58											
60											
2490											
62											
64											
66											
2485											
68											
70											
2480											
72											
74											
76											
2475											
78											

GEOT. WELL. 1957-02.GP.J 7/11/08




# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-10

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill  
CLIENT: McGill Associates  
LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina  
DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell  
DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL:  $\nabla$  61.5 AFTER 24 HOURS:  $\nabla$  \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
START: 7-24-07 END: 7-26-07  
ELEVATION: 2612.97  
LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

CAVING>



GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/1/08





# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-10

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-24-07 END: 7-26-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2612.97  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ∇ 61.5 AFTER 24 HOURS: ∇ CAVING> ☒

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT							MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS		
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50		70	90
	Groundwater encountered at 61.5 feet at time of drilling.												Total well depth, 78.9 feet
2530	82												
	84												
	86												
2525	88												
	90												
	92												
2520	94												
	96												
	98												
2515	100												
	102												
2510	104												
	106												
	108												
2505	110												
	112												
2500	114												
	116												
2495	118												

GEOT\_WELL\_1957-02.GPJ 7/1/08






# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-11

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 8-3-07 END: 8-3-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2630.61  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, K. Thomas LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 89.7 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 84.6 CAVING> ☒



Neat cement, 0 to 71.0 feet

Bentonite seal, 71.0 to 90.1 feet

GEOT\_WELL\_1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08




# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-11

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill  
CLIENT: McGill Associates  
LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina  
DRILLER: Landprobe, K. Thomas  
DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 89.7 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 84.6 CAVING>

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
START: 8-3-07 END: 8-3-07  
ELEVATION: 2630.61  
LOGGED BY: T. Livingston



GEOT. WELL - 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08






# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-12

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 8-30-07 END: 8-31-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2620.95  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: 75.9 AFTER 24 HOURS: 75.9 CAVING >



Neat cement, 0 to 68.3 feet

Bentonite seal, 68.3 to 77.8 feet

Filter pack, sand 77.8 to 90.2 feet

GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-12

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill  
CLIENT: McGill Associates  
LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina  
DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell  
DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ∇ 75.9 AFTER 24 HOURS: ∇ 75.9 CAVING> XXXX

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
START: 8-30-07 END: 8-31-07  
ELEVATION: 2620.95  
LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT									MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS			
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50	70	90				
2540	Hard to very hard, gray, brown and black, micaceous, fine sandy SILT															
82																
	Hard, gray and brown, micaceous, fine sandy SILT															
84																
2535																
86																
88																
2530	Boring terminated at 90.2 feet. Groundwater encountered at 75.9 feet at time of drilling and at 75.9 feet after 24 hours.															
90																
92																
2525																
96																
98																
2520																
100																
102																
2515																
104																
106																
2510																
108																
110																
2505																
112																
114																
2500																
116																
118																

GEOT. WELL. 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-13

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

CLIENT: McGill Associates

START: 7-22-07 END: 7-22-07

LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina


ELEVATION: 2609.39

DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell

LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger

DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL:  $\nabla$  69.0 AFTER 24 HOURS:  $\nabla$  67.5 CAVING  $\nabla$  XXXX



Neat cement, 0 to 66.8 feet


GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-13

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-22-07 END: 7-22-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2609.39  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 69.0 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 67.5 CAVING> ⊗



Neat cement, 0 to 66.8 feet

Bentonite seal, 66.8 to 69.4 feet

Filter pack, sand 69.4 to 81.6 feet

2-inch diameter, 0.010-inch slotted Schedule 40 PVC well screen, 71.4 to 81.4 feet

GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-13

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

CLIENT: McGill Associates

START: 7-22-07 END: 7-22-07

LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina

ELEVATION: 2609.39

DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell

LOGGED BY: T. Livingston

DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger

DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL:  $\nabla$  69.0 AFTER 24 HOURS:  $\nabla$  67.5 CAVING>

ELEVATION/ DEPTH (FT)	DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE	SAMPLES	STANDARD PENETRATION RESULTS BLOWS/FOOT										MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS		
				2	5	10	20	30	40	50	70	90				
2525	PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK which sampled as gray and white, silty, fine to medium SAND															 Pipe cap Total well depth, 81.6 feet
2520	Auger refusal at 86 feet. Groundwater encountered at 69.0 feet at time of drilling and at 67.5 feet after 24 hours.															
2515																
2510																
2505																
2500																
2495																
2490																


GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-14

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 9-4-07 END: 9-4-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2610.41  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 59.1 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 59.2 CAVING> ☒




GEO. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-14

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: <u>White Oak MSW Landfill</u>	PROJECT NO.: <u>J07-1957-02</u>
CLIENT: <u>McGill Associates</u>	START: <u>9-4-07</u> END: <u>9-4-07</u>
LOCATION: <u>Haywood County, North Carolina</u>	ELEVATION: <u>2610.41</u>
DRILLER: <u>Landprobe, T. Gradwell</u>	LOGGED BY: <u>T. Livingston</u>
DRILLING METHOD: <u>8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger</u>	
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ <u>59.1</u> AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ <u>59.2</u> CAVING>	



GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08






# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-15

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-19-07 END: 7-20-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2584.11  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 57.75 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 57.1 CAVING> XXXX



GEO. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/1/08




# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-16

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill  
CLIENT: McGill Associates  
LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina  
DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell  
DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL:  $\nabla$  68.5 AFTER 24 HOURS:  $\nabla$  \_\_\_\_\_ CAVING>

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
START: 7-29-07 END: 7-29-07  
ELEVATION: 2614.70  
LOGGED BY: T. Livingston



GEOT\_WELL\_1957-02.GPJ 7/1/08








# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-17

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: <u>White Oak MSW Landfill</u>	PROJECT NO.: <u>J07-1957-02</u>
CLIENT: <u>McGill Associates</u>	START: <u>7-20-07</u> END: <u>7-21-07</u>
LOCATION: <u>Haywood County, North Carolina</u>	ELEVATION: <u>2611.46</u>
DRILLER: <u>Landprobe, T. Gradwell</u>	LOGGED BY: <u>T. Livingston</u>
DRILLING METHOD: <u>8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger</u>	
DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: $\nabla$ <u>87.6</u> AFTER 24 HOURS: $\nabla$ <u>73.4</u> CAVING>	



Neat cement, 0 to 85 feet


GEO. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-17

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-20-07 END: 7-21-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2611.46  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 87.6 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 73.4 CAVING> XXXX



Neat cement, 0 to 85 feet


GEOT. WELL 1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL NO. BLE-17

**BUNNELL-LAMMONS  
ENGINEERING, INC.**  
GEOTECHNICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL  
CONSULTANTS

PROJECT: White Oak MSW Landfill PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 CLIENT: McGill Associates START: 7-20-07 END: 7-21-07  
 LOCATION: Haywood County, North Carolina ELEVATION: 2611.46  
 DRILLER: Landprobe, T. Gradwell LOGGED BY: T. Livingston  
 DRILLING METHOD: 8-1/4 inch O.D. hollow stem auger  
 DEPTH TO - WATER> INITIAL: ▽ 87.6 AFTER 24 HOURS: ▽ 73.4 CAVING> ☒



GEOI\_WELL\_1957-02.GPJ 7/11/08

DEPTH  
(FT.)

DESCRIPTION

ELEVATION  
(FT.)

● PENETRATION - BLOWS/FOOT

0 10 20 30 40 60 80 100

0.0

FILL, consists of stiff to very stiff moist brown fine sandy micaceous silt (ML).

12.0

Firm moist red-brown silty micaceous fine to coarse SAND (SM).

15.0

Stiff moist brown and orange-brown fine sandy micaceous SILT (ML).

26.0

PARTIALLY WEATHERED ROCK (PWR), sampled as dry yellow, gray, and orange-brown silty micaceous fine to coarse sand, with trace rock fragments.

37.2

Auger refusal encountered at 37.2 feet. Boring terminated.

23

14

18

13

11

50/4"

50/2"

50/0"

**REMARKS:**

Borehole dry at completion of drilling. Drillers: W. Whichard and G. Copland. Drill Rig: CME-550 ATV. Boring Type: 8" HSA.

**TEST BORING RECORD**

**BORING NUMBER** GWM-5  
**DATE DRILLED** September 15, 1993  
**PROJECT NUMBER** 472-07913-03  
**PROJECT** White Oak Sanitary Landfill  
**PAGE 1 OF 1**

SEE KEY SHEET FOR EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS USED ABOVE

**LAW ENGINEERING**



## TYPE II MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION RECORD

JOB NAME White Oak Landfill JOB NUMBER 472-07913-03

WELL NUMBER GWM-5A INSTALLATION DATE 09/25/93

LOCATION West Drainage Feature (See Record Drawings)

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION \_\_\_\_\_ REFERENCE POINT ELEVATION \_\_\_\_\_

GRANULAR BACKFILL MATERIAL NC #2 sand SLOT SIZE 0.010"

SCREEN MATERIAL PVC SCREEN DIAMETER 2"


RISER MATERIAL PVC RISER DIAMETER 2"

DRILLING TECHNIQUE HSA DRILLING CONTRACTOR Law Engineering

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 8" LAW ENGINEERING  
FIELD REPRESENTATIVE Hoda Kablawi

LOCK BRAND Master SIZE/MODEL #3

KEY CODE/COMBINATION 0536



LAW ENGINEERING TESTING  
COMPANY

TYPE II MONITORING WELL  
INSTALLATION RECORD


FIGURE 2





**TYPE II MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION RECORD**

JOB NAME White Oak Landfill JOB NUMBER 472-07913-03  
 WELL NUMBER GWM-5D INSTALLATION DATE 9/29/93 & 9/30/93  
 LOCATION West Drainage Feature (See Record Drawings)  
 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION \_\_\_\_\_ REFERENCE POINT ELEVATION \_\_\_\_\_  
 GRANULAR BACKFILL MATERIAL NC #2 Sand SLOT SIZE 0.010"  
 SCREEN MATERIAL PVC SCREEN DIAMETER 2"  
 RISER MATERIAL PVC RISER DIAMETER 2"  
 DRILLING TECHNIQUE Air-Rotary DRILLING CONTRACTOR Caldwell  
 BOREHOLE DIAMETER 6 1/4" LAW ENGINEERING FIELD REPRESENTATIVE T. Schipporeit  
 LOCK BRAND Master SIZE/MODEL #3  
 KEY CODE/COMBINATION 0536



**LAW ENGINEERING TESTING COMPANY**

**TYPE II MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION RECORD**

**FIGURE 2**




# LOG OF BORING: P-4

Project: Haywood County  
 Project No. 698010.5  
 HSA SS NQ

Drilling Contractor: Graham & Currie  
 Registration Number: 537

Surface Elevation: 2571.66ft  
 Top of Casing: 2573.73ft



Completion Depth: 81ft  
 DATE: 5/9/98

Depth to Water: 62 ft WD


MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING SERVICES COMPANY, P.A.

# LOG OF BORING: P-4

Project: Haywood County  
 Project No. G98010.5  
 Date: HSA SS NQ

Drilling Contractor: Graham & Currie  
 Registration Number: 537

Surface Elevation: 2571.66ft  
 Top of Casing: 2573.73ft



Completion Depth: 81ft  
 DATE: 5/9/98

Depth to Water: 62 ft WD

# LOG OF BORING: P-5

Project: Haywood County

Drilling Contractor: Graham & Currie


Surface Elevation: 2485.54ft

Project No. G98010.5

Registration Number: 537

Top of Casing: 2488.38ft

HSA SS AH



Completion Depth: 52.3ft  
DATE: 5/25/98

Depth to Water: 25 ft WD

MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING SERVICES COMPANY, P.A.

# LOG OF BORING: P-5

Project: Haywood County  
 Project No. G98010.5

Drilling Contractor: Graham & Currie  
 Registration Number: 537

Surface Elevation: 2485.54ft  
 Top of Casing: 2488.38ft

HSA SS AH

DEPTH (ft)	BLOWS PER FOOT	SYMBOL	SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL	PIEZOMETER DIAGRAM
45					<p style="text-align: center;">gravel pack</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2" sch40 PVC .010 slotted screen</p>
50					
55				End of Boring at 52.3 feet	
60					
65					
70					
75					
80					

Completion Depth: 52.3ft  
 DATE: 5/25/98

Depth to Water: 25 ft WD


MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING SERVICES COMPANY, P.A.

# LOG OF BORING: P-6

Project: Haywood County  
 Project No. G98010.5  
 HSA SS AH

Drilling Contractor: Graham & Currie  
 Registration Number: 537

Surface Elevation: 2594.19ft  
 Top of Casing: 2597.24ft



Completion Depth: 67.5ft  
 DATE: 5/25/98

Depth to Water: 59 ft WD


MUNICIPAL ENGINEERING SERVICES COMPANY, P.A.

# LOG OF BORING: P-6

Project: Haywood County  
 Project No. 698010.5  
 HSA SS AH

Drilling Contractor: Graham & Currie  
 Registration Number: 537

Surface Elevation: 2594.19ft  
 Top of Casing: 2597.24ft



Depth to Water: 59 ft WD

Completion Depth: 67.5ft  
 DATE: 5/25/98

**APPENDIX D**

**PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION PROCEDURES**

## APPENDIX D

### PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION PROCEDURES

Groundwater piezometers were installed in the boreholes resulting from the drilling process. Approximate well locations are shown on the attached Piezometer/Boring Location Plan (Figure 3).

The piezometer consists of 2-inch diameter PVC pipe (Schedule 40 with flush-threaded joints) inserted into a 8.25-inch diameter augured borehole. The bottom 5 to 10-foot section of each piezometer was a manufactured screen with 0.010-inch slots. Washed sand backfill was placed around the outside of the pipe to at least 1 to 2 feet above the top of the well screen. A bentonite seal (minimum 2-foot thick) was installed on top of the sand backfill. A cement-bentonite grout mixture was tremied from the top the bentonite seal up to the ground surface. A PVC cap was placed over the PVC well stickup on each piezometer. Piezometer construction records are attached in Appendix C.

**APPENDIX E**

**PRECIPITATION AND GROUNDWATER LEVEL DATA AND CHARTS**

**MONTHLY PRECIPITATION DATA - 1992 TO 2008**


North Carolina Division 1  
 White Oak MSW Landfill  
 Haywood Co., North Carolina  
 BLE Job Number J07-1957-02

MONTH	Year																	Monthly Avg.
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
January	3.62	5.55	6.08	7.93	8.98	5.41	10.99	6.61	4.3	3.62	4.97	2.18	2.08	2.67	5.27	4.8	3.68	5.22
February	4.43	3.23	5.49	5.12	3.88	5.43	7.6	4.68	2.67	3.49	1.65	6.03	4.61	3.8	2.35	1.81	3.47	4.10
March	4.65	7.26	9.13	3.79	5.19	7.87	4.74	3.79	4.57	5.28	5.86	5.15	2.66	4.65	1.78	4.12	5.18	5.04
April	3.83	3.9	5	1.68	3.91	5.96	8.09	3.82	6.26	1.73	2.32	6.32	3.52	4.47	4.7	2.61	3.04	4.19
May	5.96	3.96	2.71	4.57	4.09	3.69	3.25	3.9	3.17	3.46	4.7	9.88	4.78	2.87	2.71	1.48		4.07
June	6.17	2.19	7.57	7.26	4.66	6.85	5.55	5.58	3.99	5.07	3.62	5.74	6.7	8.59	5.37	4.03		5.56
July	2.9	2.79	6.47	3.64	4.77	4.05	2.69	4.44	4.01	6.13	3.46	8.43	6.88	8.7	4.04	4.56		4.87
August	7.45	4.17	7.91	7.96	6.56	0.93	2.56	2.2	3.47	3.83	3.45	6.33	4.43	7.28	5.27	2.17		4.75
September	4.4	3.57	3.9	3.3	6.55	6.51	1.98	2.57	4.19	4.9	7.66	4.64	16	0.9	7.39	2.85		5.08
October	4.75	1.64	4.59	7.35	1.45	4.4	2.82	3.55	0.06	1.48	4.15	2.25	1.83	3.1	4.35	3.21		3.19
November	7.89	4.14	3.56	4.82	5.07	2.22	3.19	4.73	4.85	1.76	4.95	5.82	6.13	4.77	4.65	2.23		4.42
December	5.53	4.73	2.99	2.19	5.58	3.7	4.78	2.44	2.73	3.04	6.47	4.17	4.64	4.57	4.18	4.67		4.15
SEASON																		Seasonal Avg.
Winter	12.7	16.04	20.7	16.84	18.05	18.71	23.33	15.08	11.54	12.39	12.48	13.36	9.35	11.12	9.4	10.73	12.33	14.36
Spring	15.96	10.05	15.28	13.51	12.66	16.5	16.89	13.3	13.42	10.26	10.64	21.94	15	15.93	12.78	8.12		13.89
Summer	14.75	10.53	18.28	14.9	17.88	11.49	7.23	9.21	11.67	14.86	14.57	19.4	27.31	16.88	16.7	9.58		14.70
Fall	18.17	10.51	11.14	14.36	12.1	10.32	10.79	10.72	7.64	6.28	15.57	12.24	12.6	12.44	13.18	10.11		11.76
Yearly Totals	61.58	47.13	65.40	59.61	60.69	57.02	58.24	48.31	44.27	43.79	53.26	66.94	64.26	56.37	52.06	38.54		Yearly Avg. 54.64

Data Source: NOAA, public information - Updated through March 2008.

# PALMER DROUGHT SEVERITY INDEX

North Carolina Division 1  
White Oak MSW Landfill  
Haywood County, NC  
BLE Project Number J07-1957-02



**APPENDIX F**

**SLUG TEST PROCEDURES AND RESULTS**

## APPENDIX F

### SLUG TEST PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

Slug tests were performed in the field to estimate the average hydraulic conductivity of the upper formation material. Hydraulic conductivity is a constant of proportionality relating to the ease with which a fluid passes through a porous medium. These data were used to estimate the groundwater flow velocities of groundwater beneath the site. The field procedure was as follows:

- Measure the static groundwater elevation in the well to be tested.
- Affect an instantaneous change to the static water level in the well by removing a known volume of water.
- Measure the rate at which shown on the attached sheets the water level recovers to its original level.


The resulting slug test data (time versus water level) was reduced and hydraulic conductivity values were calculated using the Bouwer and Rice Method for partially-penetrating wells in an unconfined aquifer.

# Rising Head Slug Test 9/26/07

White Oak Landfill Haywood County, NC

# Bouwer and Rice Graph

BLE-3



Project Number J07-1957-02 for McGill Associates  
Analysis by Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc.


$H_o$  is 2.598 feet at 79.98 seconds

# Rising Head Slug Test 9/26/07

White Oak Landfill Haywood County, NC

# Bouwer and Rice Graph

BLE-7



Project Number J07-1957-02 for McGill Associates  
Analysis by Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc.


$H_o$  is 12.01 feet at 100. seconds

# Falling Head Slug Test 9/26/07

White Oak Landfill Haywood County, NC

# Bouwer and Rice Graph

BLE-7D



Project Number J07-1957-02 for McGill Associates  
Analysis by Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc.


$H_o$  is 4.141 feet at 70.02 seconds

# Rising Head Slug Test 9/26/07

White Oak Landfill Haywood County, NC

# Bouwer and Rice Graph

BLE-9



Project Number J07-1957-02 for McGill Associates  
Analysis by Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc.


$H_o$  is 1.871 feet at 40.98 seconds

# Rising Head Slug Test 9/26/07

White Oak Landfill Haywood County, NC

# Bouwer and Rice Graph

BLE-11




Project Number J07-1957-02 for McGill Associates  
Analysis by Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc.

# Falling Head Slug Test 9/26/07

White Oak Landfill Haywood County, NC

# Bouwer and Rice Graph

BLE-14



Project Number J07-1957-02 for McGill Associates  
Analysis by Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc.

$H_o$  is 5.481 feet at 110. seconds

**APPENDIX G**

**SOIL LABORATORY TEST PROCEDURES**

## APPENDIX G

### SOIL LABORATORY TEST PROCEDURES

#### MOISTURE CONTENT AND UNIT WEIGHT

An undisturbed sample is trimmed in the laboratory into a right circular cylinder approximately three to six inches long. The dimensions and weight of the specimen are determined and the total unit weight calculated. Moisture contents are determined from representative portions of the specimen. The soil is dried to a constant weight in an oven at 100 degrees C and the loss of moisture during the drying process is measured. From this data, the moisture content and dry unit weight are computed.

#### ATTERBERG LIMITS

The Atterberg Limits Tests, Liquid Limit (LL), and Plastic Limit (PL), are performed to aid in the classification of soils and to determine the plasticity and volume change characteristics of the materials. The Liquid Limit is the minimum moisture content at which a soil will flow as a heavy viscous fluid. The Plastic Limit is the minimum moisture content at which the solid behaves as a plastic material. The Plasticity Index (PI) is the numeric difference of Liquid Limit and the Plastic Limit and indicated the range of moisture content over which a soil remains plastic. These tests are performed in accordance with ASTM D 4318.

#### PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

The distribution of soils coarser than the No. 200 (75-um) sieve is determined by passing a representative specimen through a standard set of nested sieves. The weight of material retained on each sieve is determined and the percentage retained (or passing) is calculated. A specimen may be washed through only the No. 200 sieve, if the full range of particle sizes is not required. The percentage of material passing the No. 200 sieve is reported. The distribution of materials finer than No. 200 sieve is determined by use of the hydrometer. The particle sizes and distribution are computed from the time rate of settlement of the different size particles while suspended in water. These tests are performed in accordance with ASTM D 421, D 422, and D 1140.

#### HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY

The ease with which water flows through a soil is characterized by its hydraulic conductivity. Two general test methods are employed depending on the soil type.

The **Constant Head** method is used for coarse-grained materials (sands and gravels). The sample is confined in permeameter chamber while water is allowed to flow through it from a constant head level. The quantity of water flowing through the specimen in a given time period is used to calculate the hydraulic conductivity. See ASTM D 2434 for a complete description of this test.

Fine-grained materials (silts and clays) require the use of a **Flexible Wall Permeameter**. The sample is prepared in a similar manner as in the triaxial compression test. It is encased in a rubber membrane and placed inside a permeameter chamber. The specimen is back-pressure saturated and allowed to consolidate under a specified effective stress. Water is then forced through the specimen under a controlled hydraulic gradient. The quantity of water flowing into the sample in a

given time period is used to calculate the hydraulic conductivity. This test is performed in general accordance with ASTM D 5084.

## **COMPACTION**

Bulk samples of potential borrow soils from the project site were collected and transported to the laboratory for compaction testing. A standard Proctor compaction test (ASTM D 698) was performed on each sample to determine compaction characteristics, including the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content. Test results are presented on the attached Compaction Test sheet.

## **CONSOLIDATION**

A single section of the undisturbed sample was extruded from its sampling tube for consolidation testing. The sample was then trimmed into a disc 2.4 inches in diameter and 1 inch thick. The disc was confined in a stainless steel ring and sandwiched between porous plates. It was then subjected to incrementally increasing vertical loads and the resulting deformations measured with a micrometer dial gauge. The test results are presented in the form of a pressure versus percent strain curve on the accompanying Consolidation Test sheet.

## **TRIAXIAL SHEAR**

Multi-stage consolidated undrained triaxial compression tests were conducted on relatively undisturbed soil samples. Each sample was trimmed and the initial moisture content and unit weight was determined. The trimmed sample was placed into a waterproof membrane and loaded into the test cell. The sample was subjected to an assigned confining pressure and allowed to consolidate. The sample was then subjected to an axial compressive load, which was gradually increased until incipient failure, at which point the confining pressure was increased and the process repeated. Pore pressures were measured during the test to permit determination of the total stress and effective stress parameters. The test results are used to estimate the strength parameters of the soil (angle of internal friction and cohesion). The test results are presented in the form of Stress-Strain Curves and Mohr Diagrams on the accompanying Triaxial Shear Test sheets.

**APPENDIX H**  
**SOIL LABORATORY TEST RESULTS**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

**WHITE OAK LANDFILL**

PROJECT:           HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC            
 PROJECT NO.:           J07-1957-02            
 DATE RECEIVED:           11-2-07          

TESTED BY:           JOHN MATHEW            
 CHECKED BY:           PAUL YARBER          

SAMPLE NO. <u>          BLE-1          </u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>          3.5-5.5'          </u>
TYPE <u>          UNDISTURBED          </u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>          TAN FI. SANDY CLAYEY SILT          </u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.902	7.371	2.989	7.592
Sample Diameter	2.853	7.247	2.872	7.295
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.02		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 158.2    DW= 119.8	32.1	WW= 231.5    DW= 174.1	33.0
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	569.9		593.0	
Wet Density (pcf)	117.0		116.7	
Dry Density (pcf)	88.6		87.7	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.722	95	96	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi) <b>64.2</b>				Influent Pressure (psi) <b>60.2</b>				Effluent Pressure (psi) <b>60</b>						
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
11-9-07	5:00:0	5:00:34	34	1.0	23.0	3.0	21.0	40.092	35.361	21	5	2.8E-04	0.976	2.7E-04
11-9-07	5:00:34	5:01:15	41	3.0	21.0	5.0	19.0	35.361	30.629	21	4	2.6E-04	0.976	2.6E-04
11-9-07	5:01:15	5:02:03	48	5.0	19.0	7.0	17.0	30.629	25.897	21	4	2.6E-04	0.976	2.6E-04
11-9-07	5:02:03	5:03:00	57	7.0	17.0	9.0	15.0	25.897	21.166	21	3	2.7E-04	0.976	2.6E-04
		Pipet Length, cm		28.390	28.390									
		Pipet Volume, cc		24	24									
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>		0.8454	0.8454									

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)                      2.6E-04    cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

**WHITE OAK LANDFILL**

PROJECT:           HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC            
 PROJECT NO.:           J07-1957-02            
 DATE RECEIVED:           11-2-07          

TESTED BY:           JOHN MATHEW            
 CHECKED BY:           PAUL YARBER          

SAMPLE NO. <u>          BLE-3          </u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>          6.0-8.0'          </u>
TYPE <u>          UNDISTURBED          </u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>          BROWN SILTY FI.-CO. SAND          </u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.898	7.361	2.902	7.371
Sample Diameter	2.850	7.239	2.874	7.300
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.02		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 184.7    DW= 153.4	20.4	WW= 264.0    DW= 212.4	24.3
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	598.3		621.7	
Wet Density (pcf)	123.3		125.8	
Dry Density (pcf)	102.4		101.2	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.71	85	98	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi)    66.2			Influent Pressure (psi)    60.2				Effluent Pressure (psi)    60							
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
11-9-07	3:37:00	3:37:24	24	1.0	23.0	2.0	22.0	40.092	37.726	21	5	1.9E-04	0.976	1.9E-04
11-9-07	3:37:24	3:37:49	25	2.0	22.0	3.0	21.0	37.726	35.361	21	5	2.0E-04	0.976	1.9E-04
11-9-07	3:37:47	3:38:16	29	3.0	21.0	4.0	20.0	35.361	32.995	21	5	1.8E-04	0.976	1.8E-04
11-9-07	3:38:16	3:38:46	30	4.0	20.0	5.0	19.0	32.995	30.629	21	4	1.9E-04	0.976	1.8E-04
		Pipet Length, cm		28.390	28.390									
		Pipet Volume, cc		24	24									
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>		0.8454	0.8454									

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)                    1.8E-04    cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

**WHITE OAK LANDFILL**

PROJECT: HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC  
PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
DATE RECEIVED: 11-2-07

TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW  
CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER

SAMPLE NO. <u>BLE-3</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>23.5-25.0'</u>
TYPE <u>UNDISTURBED</u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>LIGHT GREY &amp; WHITE SILTY FL-MED. SAND</u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.957	7.511	2.920	7.417
Sample Diameter	2.856	7.254	2.860	7.264
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.04		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 175.5    DW= 133.4	31.6	WW= 204.9    DW= 161.7	26.7
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	588.7		592.3	
Wet Density (pcf)	118.4		120.3	
Dry Density (pcf)	90.0		94.9	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.689    98		94	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi) <b>78.2</b>			Influent Pressure (psi) <b>60.2</b>				Effluent Pressure (psi) <b>60</b>							
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
11-9-07	4:13:00	4:15:06	126	in	out	in	out	40.092	37.726	21	5	3.7E-05	0.976	3.6E-05
11-9-07	4:15:06	4:17:22	136	2.0	22.0	3.0	21.0	37.726	35.361	21	5	3.7E-05	0.976	3.6E-05
11-9-07	4:17:22	4:19:50	148	3.0	21.0	4.0	20.0	35.361	32.995	21	5	3.6E-05	0.976	3.5E-05
11-9-07	4:19:50	4:22:31	161	4.0	20.0	5.0	19.0	32.995	30.629	21	4	3.5E-05	0.976	3.5E-05
		Pipet Length, cm		28.390	28.390									
		Pipet Volume, cc		24	24									
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>		0.8454	0.8454									

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)                      3.5E-05    cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

PROJECT: WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW  
 PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02 CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER  
 DATE RECEIVED: 11-2-07

SAMPLE NO.	<u>BLE-7</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION:	<u>1.0-3.0'</u>
TYPE	<u>UNDISTURBED</u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:	<u>LIGHT BROWN FL-MED. SANDY CLAYEY SILT</u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.978	7.564	2.952	7.498
Sample Diameter	2.854	7.249	2.858	7.259
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.04		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 146.9 DW= 108.5	35.4	WW= 264.9 DW= 186.2	42.3
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	563.1		580.1	
Wet Density (pcf)	112.6		116.7	
Dry Density (pcf)	83.2		82.0	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.708 93		108	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 (PERMOMETER)

Confining Pressure (psi)		72		Influent Pressure (psi)		70		Effluent Pressure (psi)		70	
Reset (Y/N)	Date	Clock Time	Elapsed Time	HA <sub>OUT</sub> (cm)	HA <sub>IN</sub> (cm)	Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)	
Y	11-8-07	4:18:06		4.8	2.20	21	5				
	11-8-07	4:18:32	0:00:26	3.5	2.25	21	3	1.2E-05	0.976	1.1E-05	
	11-8-07	4:18:40	0:00:34	3.3	2.26	21	2	1.1E-05	0.976	1.1E-05	
	11-8-07	4:18:55	0:00:49	3.0	2.27	21	2	1.1E-05	0.976	1.0E-05	
	11-8-07	4:19:10	0:01:04	2.8	2.28	21	1	1.0E-05	0.976	1.0E-05	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k) 1.1E-05 cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

**WHITE OAK LANDFILL**

PROJECT: HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC  
 PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02  
 DATE RECEIVED: 11-2-07

TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW  
 CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER

SAMPLE NO. <u>BLE-9</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>13.5-15.5'</u>
TYPE <u>UNDISTURBED</u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>GREY &amp; BROWN SILTY FL-MED. SAND</u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.952	7.498	2.956	7.508
Sample Diameter	2.850	7.239	2.846	7.229
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.04		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 179.7    DW= 145.1	23.8	WW= 248.1    DW= 186.0	33.4
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	521.5		569.5	
Wet Density (pcf)	105.5		115.4	
Dry Density (pcf)	85.2		86.5	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.752    65		93	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi)    82.2			Influent Pressure (psi)    70.2						Effluent Pressure (psi)    70					
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
			in	out	in	out								
11-9-07	3:50:10	3:50:31	21	1.0	23.0	2.0	22.0	40.092	37.726	21	5	2.2E-04	0.976	2.2E-04
11-9-07	3:50:31	3:50:53	22	2.0	22.0	3.0	21.0	37.726	35.361	21	5	2.3E-04	0.976	2.2E-04
11-9-07	3:50:53	3:51:17	24	3.0	21.0	4.0	20.0	35.361	32.995	21	5	2.2E-04	0.976	2.2E-04
11-9-07	3:51:17	3:51:44	27	4.0	20.0	5.0	19.0	32.995	30.629	21	4	2.1E-04	0.976	2.1E-04
		Pipet Length, cm	28.390	28.390										
		Pipet Volume, cc	24	24										
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>	0.8454	0.8454										

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)                      2.2E-04    cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

**WHITE OAK LANDFILL**

PROJECT:           HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC            
 PROJECT NO.:           J07-1957-02            
 DATE RECEIVED:           11-2-07          

TESTED BY:           JOHN MATHEW            
 CHECKED BY:           PAUL YARBER          

SAMPLE NO. <u>          BLE-10          </u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>          1.0-3.0'          </u>
TYPE <u>          UNDISTURBED          </u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>          RED &amp; BROWN SILTY FL.-MED. SAND          </u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.321	5.895	2.330	5.918
Sample Diameter	2.864	7.275	2.882	7.320
Length/Diameter Ratio		0.81		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 175.6    DW= 146.0	20.3	WW= 210.8    DW= 158.3	33.2
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	430.4		466.8	
Wet Density (pcf)	109.7		117.0	
Dry Density (pcf)	91.2		87.9	
Saturation (%)	65		98	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi) <u>          72.2          </u>			Influent Pressure (psi) <u>          70.2          </u>				Effluent Pressure (psi) <u>          70          </u>							
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
11-8-07	5:14:00	5:16:17	137	1.0	23.0	3.0	21.0	40.092	35.361	21	6	5.5E-05	0.976	5.4E-05
11-8-07	5:16:17	5:18:53	156	3.0	21.0	5.0	19.0	35.361	30.629	21	6	5.5E-05	0.976	5.4E-05
11-8-07	5:18:53	5:21:55	182	5.0	19.0	7.0	17.0	30.629	25.897	21	5	5.5E-05	0.976	5.4E-05
11-8-07	5:21:55	5:25:36	221	7.0	17.0	9.0	15.0	25.897	21.166	21	4	5.5E-05	0.976	5.3E-05
		Pipet Length, cm		28.390	28.390									
		Pipet Volume, cc		24	24									
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>		0.8454	0.8454									

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)           5.4E-05           cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

**WHITE OAK LANDFILL**

PROJECT:           **HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC**            
 PROJECT NO.:           **J07-1957-02**            
 DATE RECEIVED:           **11-2-07**          

TESTED BY:           **JOHN MATHEW**            
 CHECKED BY:           **PAUL YARBER**          

SAMPLE NO. <u>          <b>BLE-10</b>          </u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>          <b>9.5-11.5'</b>          </u>
TYPE <u>          <b>UNDISTURBED</b>          </u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>          <b>RED &amp; BROWN FL-MED. SANDY SILT</b>          </u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	2.937	7.460	2.999	7.617
Sample Diameter	2.846	7.229	2.894	7.351
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.03		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 174.6    DW= 140.6	24.2	WW= 271.8    DW= 207.2	31.2
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	552.3		608.9	
Wet Density (pcf)	112.6		117.6	
Dry Density (pcf)	90.7		89.6	
Saturation (%)	76		95	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi)    69.2			Influent Pressure (psi)    60.2				Effluent Pressure (psi)    60							
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
11-9-07	3:17:00	3:20:06	186	1.0	23.0	2.0	22.0	40.092	37.726	21	5	2.5E-05	0.976	2.5E-05
11-9-07	3:20:06	3:23:29	203	2.0	22.0	3.0	21.0	37.726	35.361	21	5	2.5E-05	0.976	2.4E-05
11-9-07	3:23:29	3:27:09	220	3.0	21.0	4.0	20.0	35.361	32.995	21	5	2.4E-05	0.976	2.4E-05
11-9-07	3:27:09	3:31:11	242	4.0	20.0	5.0	19.0	32.995	30.629	21	4	2.4E-05	0.976	2.3E-05
		Pipet Length, cm		28.390	28.390									
		Pipet Volume, cc		24	24									
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>		0.8454	0.8454									

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)                      2.4E-05    cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

PROJECT: WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

DATE RECEIVED: 11-2-07

TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW

CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER

SAMPLE NO. <u>BLE-13</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>23.5-25.0'</u>
TYPE <u>UNDISTURBED</u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>RED &amp; BROWN FL-MED. SANDY SILT</u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	3.019	7.668	2.930	7.442
Sample Diameter	2.859	7.262	2.860	7.264
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.06		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 187.7    DW= 154.9	21.2	WW= 245.3    DW= 186.0	31.9
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	578.2		581.9	
Wet Density (pcf)	113.6		117.8	
Dry Density (pcf)	93.8		89.3	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.73	71	96	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 FALLING HEAD TEST

Confining Pressure (psi) 80.2			Influent Pressure (psi) 60.2						Effluent Pressure (psi) 60					
Date	Clock Time		Elapsed Time seconds	Pipet Readings				Head		Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
	Start	End		Initial		Final		Initial cm	Final cm					
11-9-07	2:58:00	3:00:19	139	1.0	23.0	3.0	21.0	40.092	35.361	21	5	7.1E-05	0.976	6.9E-05
11-9-07	3:00:19	3:02:58	159	3.0	21.0	5.0	19.0	35.361	30.629	21	4	7.1E-05	0.976	6.9E-05
11-9-07	3:02:58	3:06:03	185	5.0	19.0	7.0	17.0	30.629	25.897	21	4	7.1E-05	0.976	6.9E-05
11-9-07	3:06:03	3:09:46	223	7.0	17.0	9.0	15.0	25.897	21.166	21	3	7.1E-05	0.976	6.9E-05
		Pipet Length, cm		28.390	28.390									
		Pipet Volume, cc		24	24									
		Cross-sectional Area of Pipet, cm <sup>2</sup>		0.8454	0.8454									

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)                      6.9E-05    cm/sec**

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

PROJECT: WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

DATE RECEIVED: 10-24-07

TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW

CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER

SAMPLE NO. <u>BLE-11</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>DEPTH 15 TO 18 FEET</u>
TYPE <u>REMOLDED</u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>BROWN FL. SANDY CLAYEY SILT</u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	3.000	7.620	2.961	7.521
Sample Diameter	2.850	7.239	2.845	7.226
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.05		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 156.6    DW= 122.3	28.0	WW= 289.9    DW= 225.2	28.7
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	598.3		599.4	
Wet Density (pcf)	119.1		121.3	
Dry Density (pcf)	93.0		94.2	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.693    94		99	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 (PERMOMETER)

Cell Pressure (psi)    85		Influent Pressure (psi)    70				Effluent Pressure (psi)    70				
Reset (Y/N)	Date	Clock Time	Elapsed Time	HA <sub>OUT</sub> (cm)	HA <sub>IN</sub> (cm)	Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
Y	10-26-07	4:19:45		7.5	2.09	21	10			
	10-26-07	4:35:47	0:16:02	4.9	2.19	21	5	3.0E-07	0.976	2.9E-07
	10-26-07	4:36:30	0:16:45	4.8	2.20	21	5	3.0E-07	0.976	2.9E-07
	10-26-07	4:37:28	0:17:43	4.7	2.20	21	5	3.0E-07	0.976	2.9E-07
	10-26-07	4:38:33	0:18:48	4.6	2.21	21	5	3.0E-07	0.976	2.9E-07

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)    2.9E-07    cm/sec**

% COMPACTION OF STD. PROCTOR MAX. DRY DENSITY (ASTM D 698):    95.0  
 % WETTER THAN OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT (ASTM D 698):    +5.6

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

PROJECT: WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

DATE RECEIVED: 10-24-07

TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW

CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER

SAMPLE NO. BLE-14 SAMPLE LOCATION: DEPTH 16 TO 18 FEET

TYPE REMOLEDDED SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: DARK BROWN FL. SANDY CLAYEY SILT

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	3.000	7.620	3.004	7.630
Sample Diameter	2.850	7.239	2.859	7.262
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.05		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 125.6 DW= 95.9	31.0	WW= 279.1 DW= 208.9	33.6
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	592.5		603.1	
Wet Density (pcf)	117.9		119.1	
Dry Density (pcf)	90.1		89.2	
Saturation (%)	ASSUMED SG= 2.688 97		103	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 (PERMOMETER)

Cell Pressure (psi)		Influent Pressure (psi)		Effluent Pressure (psi)							
85		70		70							
Reset (Y/N)	Date	Clock Time	Elapsed Time	HA <sub>OUT</sub> (cm)	HA <sub>IN</sub> (cm)	Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)	
Y	10-26-07	4:11:23		7.5	2.09	21	10				
	10-26-07	4:16:06	0:04:43	6.0	2.15	21	7	5.0E-07	0.976	4.8E-07	
	10-26-07	4:16:30	0:05:07	5.9	2.15	21	7	4.9E-07	0.976	4.8E-07	
	10-26-07	4:16:55	0:05:32	5.8	2.16	21	7	4.9E-07	0.976	4.8E-07	
	10-26-07	4:17:21	0:05:58	5.7	2.16	21	7	4.9E-07	0.976	4.8E-07	

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k) 4.8E-07 cm/sec**

% COMPACTION OF STD. PROCTOR MAX. DRY DENSITY (ASTM D 698): 95.1  
 % WETTER THAN OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT (ASTM D 698): +7.2

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TEST REPORT**  
 CONSTANT VOLUME APPARATUS (ASTM D 5084)

PROJECT: WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NC

PROJECT NO.: J07-1957-02

DATE RECEIVED: 10-24-07

TESTED BY: JOHN MATHEW

CHECKED BY: PAUL YARBER

SAMPLE NO. <u>BLE-15</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION: <u>DEPTH 1 TO 8 FEET</u>
TYPE <u>REMOLDED</u>	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: <u>BROWN FL. SANDY CLAYEY SILT</u>

**SAMPLE DIMENSIONS AND PROPERTIES**

ITEM	INITIAL		FINAL	
	inches	centimeters	inches	centimeters
Sample Length	3.000	7.620	2.959	7.516
Sample Diameter	2.850	7.239	2.848	7.234
Length/Diameter Ratio		1.05		
Moisture Content (%)	WW= 101.6    DW= 77.5	31.1	WW= 254.5    DW= 193.4	31.6
Sample Wet Weight (grams)	589.8		592.9	
Wet Density (pcf)	117.4		119.8	
Dry Density (pcf)	89.6		91.1	
Saturation (%)	94		99	


**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY TESTING MEASUREMENT**  
 (PERMOMETER)

Cell Pressure (psi) <b>85</b>		Influent Pressure (psi) <b>70</b>				Effluent Pressure (psi) <b>70</b>				
Reset (Y/N)	Date	Clock Time	Elapsed Time	HA <sub>OUT</sub> (cm)	HA <sub>IN</sub> (cm)	Temp °C	Gradient	K (cm/sec)	Temp Correction	K <sub>20</sub> (cm/sec)
Y	10-26-07	4:10:40		7.1	1.69	21	10			
	10-26-07	4:19:56	0:09:16	6.5	1.71	21	9	9.1E-08	0.976	8.9E-08
	10-26-07	4:21:33	0:10:53	6.4	1.72	21	9	9.1E-08	0.976	8.9E-08
	10-26-07	4:23:20	0:12:40	6.3	1.72	21	9	9.1E-08	0.976	8.8E-08
	10-26-07	4:25:10	0:14:30	6.2	1.72	21	8	9.0E-08	0.976	8.8E-08

**HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (k)      8.8E-08      cm/sec**

% COMPACTION OF STD. PROCTOR MAX. DRY DENSITY (ASTM D 698):      95.0  
 % WETTER THAN OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT (ASTM D 698):      +5.4

# MOISTURE/DENSITY RELATIONSHIP




Test specification: ASTM D 698-00a Method B Standard

Elev/ Depth	Classification		Nat. Moist.	Sp.G.	LL	PI	% > 3/8 in.	% < No.200
	USCS	AASHTO						
15.5-18.0	MH			2.693	59	22	0.0	66.7

TEST RESULTS	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
Maximum dry density = 97.9 pcf Optimum moisture = 22.4 %	Brown fi. sandy clayey SILT
<b>Project No.</b> J07-1957-02 <b>Client:</b> McGill <b>Project:</b> White Oak Landfill  ● <b>Source:</b> Boring <b>Sample No.:</b> BLE-11 <b>Elev./Depth:</b> 15.5-18.0	<b>Remarks:</b>
<b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b> <b>Greenville, SC</b>	

Plate

# MOISTURE/DENSITY RELATIONSHIP




Test specification: ASTM D 698-00a Method B Standard

Elev/ Depth	Classification		Nat. Moist.	Sp.G.	LL	PI	% > 3/8 in.	% < No.200
	USCS	AASHTO						
16.0-18.0	MH			2.688	63	23	0.0	76.0

TEST RESULTS	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
Maximum dry density = 94.7 pcf Optimum moisture = 23.8 %	Dark brown fi. sandy clayey SILT
Project No. J07-1957-02 Client: McGill Project: White Oak Landfill  ● Source: Boring Sample No.: BLE-14 Elev./Depth: 16.0-18.0  <b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b> <b>Greenville, SC</b>	Remarks:     <div style="text-align: right;">Plate</div>

# MOISTURE/DENSITY RELATIONSHIP




Test specification: ASTM D 698-00a Method B Standard

Elev/ Depth	Classification		Nat. Moist.	Sp.G.	LL	Pl	% > 3/8 in.	% < No.200
	USCS	AASHTO						
1.0-8.0	MH			2.722	63	28	0.0	84.0

TEST RESULTS	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
Maximum dry density = 94.3 pcf Optimum moisture = 25.7 %	Brown fi. sandy clayey SILT
Project No. J07-1957-02 Client: McGill Project: White Oak Landfill  ● Source: Boring Sample No.: BLE-15 Elev./Depth: 1.0-8.0	Remarks:
<b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b> <b>Greenville, SC</b>	Plate

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT



SOIL DATA								
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	Boring	BLE-1	3.5-5.5	32.1	46	59	13	MH
■	Boring	BLE-1	63.5-65.0	12.6	26	29	3	SM
▲	Boring	BLE-2	23.5-25.0	24.8	38	42	4	SM
◆	Boring	BLE-3	6.0-8.0	20.4	29	42	13	SM
▼	Boring	BLE-3	8.5-10.0	15.4	34	46	12	SM

LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT

**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**

**Greenville, SC**


**Client:** McGill

**Project:** White Oak Landfill

**Project No.:** J07-1957-02

**Plate**

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT




SOIL DATA								
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	Boring	BLE-3	23.5-25.0	31.6	35	44	9	SM
■	Boring	BLE-6	6.0-7.5	14.4	29	34	5	SM
▲	Boring	BLE-7	1.0-3.0	35.4	43	56	13	MH
◆	Boring	BLE-7	13.5-15.0	27.4	36	42	6	SM
▼	Boring	BLE-9	13.5-15.5	23.8	33	37	4	SM

LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT  
**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**  
 Greenville, SC

Client: McGill  
 Project: White Oak Landfill  
 Project No.: J07-1957-02

Plate

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT




SOIL DATA								
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	Boring	BLE-10	1.0-3.0	20.3	49	55	6	SM
■	Boring	BLE-10	9.5-11.5	24.2	38	45	7	ML
▲	Boring	BLE-11	6.0-7.5	20.2	38	52	14	MH
◆	Boring	BLE-11	15.5-18.0		37	59	22	MH
▼	Boring	BLE-13	23.5-25.0	21.2	35	42	7	ML

LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT  
**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**  
 Greenville, SC

Client: McGill  
 Project: White Oak Landfill  
 Project No.: J07-1957-02

Plate

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT



## SOIL DATA

SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
●	Boring	BLE-13	43.5-45.0	15.5	34	45	11	SM
■	Boring	BLE-14	16.0-18.0		40	63	23	MH
▲	Boring	BLE-15	1.0-8.0		35	63	28	MH
◆	Boring	BLE-15	8.5-10.0	27.9	34	52	18	MH
▼	Boring	BLE-16	73.5-75.0	22.2	32	37	5	SM

LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT

**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**

**Greenville, SC**


**Client:** McGill

**Project:** White Oak Landfill

**Project No.:** J07-1957-02

**Plate**

# LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT




SOIL DATA								
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	NATURAL WATER CONTENT (%)	PLASTIC LIMIT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	USCS
•	Boring	BLE-17	98.5-100.0	13.7	26	30	4	SM

LIQUID AND PLASTIC LIMITS TEST REPORT  
**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**  
 Greenville, SC

Client: McGill  
 Project: White Oak Landfill  
 Project No.: J07-1957-02

Plate

# Particle Size Distribution Report




% COBBLES	% GRAVEL		% SAND			% FINES	
	CRS.	FINE	CRS.	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT	CLAY
○	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	29.0	57.2	6.2
□	0.0	0.8	13.1	25.7	32.0	26.8	1.6
△	0.0	14.7	9.5	27.7	19.2	19.0	2.1
◇	0.0	4.6	6.7	20.8	18.6	27.7	21.6
▽	0.0	2.9	11.9	30.0	18.9	23.4	12.9

SOIL DATA					
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	DESCRIPTION	USCS
○	Boring	BLE-1	3.5-5.5	Tan fi. sandy clayey. SILT	MH
□	Boring	BLE-1	63.5-65.0	Grey & brown silty fi.-co. SAND	SM
△	Boring	BLE-2	23.5-25.0	Dark grey silty fi.-co. SAND w/gravel	SM
◇	Boring	BLE-3	6.0-8.0	Brown silty fi.-co. SAND	SM
▽	Boring	BLE-3	8.5-10.0	Light brown silty fi.-co. SAND	SM

Particle Size Distribution Report <b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b> Greenville, SC	<b>Client:</b> McGill <b>Project:</b> White Oak Landfill <b>Project No.:</b> J07-1957-02
<b>Plate</b>	

# Particle Size Distribution Report




% COBBLES	% GRAVEL		% SAND			% FINES	
	CRS.	FINE	CRS.	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT	CLAY
○	0.0	0.0	2.6	26.5	26.1	38.2	6.6
□	0.0	11.6	11.9	26.7	18.3	20.7	6.9
△	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	30.6	42.0	14.2
◇	0.0	0.0	2.7	35.0	30.1	28.8	3.3
▽	0.0	0.0	0.1	16.2	44.1	33.0	6.6

SOIL DATA					
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	DESCRIPTION	USCS
○	Boring	BLE-3	23.5-25.0	Light grey & white silty fi.-med. SAND	SM
□	Boring	BLE-6	6.0-7.5	Brown silty fi.-co. SAND w/gravel	SM
△	Boring	BLE-7	1.0-3.0	Light brown fi.-med. sandy clayey SILT	MH
◇	Boring	BLE-7	13.5-15.0	Grey & brown silty fi.-med. SAND	SM
▽	Boring	BLE-9	13.5-15.5	Grey & brown silty fi.-med. SAND	SM

Particle Size Distribution Report <b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b> Greenville, SC	Client: McGill Project: White Oak Landfill Project No.: J07-1957-02
Plate	

# Particle Size Distribution Report



	% COBBLES	% GRAVEL		% SAND			% FINES	
		CRS.	FINE	CRS.	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT	CLAY
○	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	13.7	51.2	30.3	4.1
□	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	9.4	32.4	51.3	6.4
△	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	41.2	43.6	8.6
◇	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	3.8	29.0	37.5	29.2
▽	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	30.8	55.8	3.0


SOIL DATA					
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	DESCRIPTION	USCS
○	Boring	BLE-10	1.0-3.0	Red & brown silty fi.-med. SAND	SM
□	Boring	BLE-10	9.5-11.5	Red & brown fi.-med. sandy SILT	ML
△	Boring	BLE-11	6.0-7.5	Light red & brown fi. sandy clayey SILT	MH
◇	Boring	BLE-11	15.5-18.0	Brown fi. sandy clayey SILT	MH
▽	Boring	BLE-13	23.5-25.0	Red & brown fi.-med. sandy SILT	ML

Particle Size Distribution Report  
**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**  
 Greenville, SC

Client: McGill  
 Project: White Oak Landfill  
 Project No.: J07-1957-02

Plate


# Particle Size Distribution Report




	% COBBLES	% GRAVEL		% SAND			% FINES	
		CRS.	FINE	CRS.	MEDIUM	FINE	SILT	CLAY
○	0.0	0.0	9.2	11.3	18.1	25.5	26.4	9.5
□	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	19.0	46.7	29.3
△	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.0	13.0	25.6	58.4
◇	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.5	4.5	20.6	35.8	37.2
▽	0.0	0.0	0.4	2.2	17.9	31.2	41.6	6.7

SOIL DATA					
SYMBOL	SOURCE	SAMPLE NO.	DEPTH (ft.)	DESCRIPTION	USCS
○	Boring	BLE-13	43.5-45.0	Grey & brown silty fi.-co. SAND	SM
□	Boring	BLE-14	16.0-18.0	Dark brown fi. sandy clayey SILT	MH
△	Boring	BLE-15	1.0-8.0	Brown fi. sandy clayey SILT	MH
◇	Boring	BLE-15	8.5-10.0	Red & brown fi. sandy clayey SILT	MH
▽	Boring	BLE-16	73.5-75.0	Grey & brown silty fi.-med. SAND	SM

# Particle Size Distribution Report



# TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT



**Type of Test:** CU with Pore Pressures






**Sample Type:** Undisturbed

No.	Fluid Press. psi		Fail. Stress, ksf		Ult. Stress, ksf		Principal Stresses at Failure ksf	
	Cell	Back	Deviator	Total Pore Pressure	Deviator	Total Pore Pressure	$\bar{\sigma}_1$	$\bar{\sigma}_3$
1	73.900	60.000	1.963	10.066	2.238	9.994	2.539	0.576
2	87.800	60.000	3.866	11.434	4.087	11.232	5.075	1.210
3	102.700	60.000	9.252	11.765	9.840	10.728	12.276	3.024

No.	Consolidated Sample Parameters						
	% Water Content	Dry Dens. pcf	Saturation	Void Ratio	Diameter in.	Height in.	Strain Rate in/min.
1	33.1	85.6	92.2%	0.9692	2.828	5.953	0.019
2	29.1	93.8	98.7%	0.7969	2.812	5.892	0.019
3	30.3	93.2	101.2%	0.8092	2.817	5.871	0.019

Mohr-Coulomb Strength Parameters	Material Description												
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;"></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Total</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Effective</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Strength intercept, c =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.063 ksf</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.064 ksf</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Friction angle, <math>\phi</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18.80 deg</td> <td style="text-align: center;">36.73 deg</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tangent, <math>\phi</math> =</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.34</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.75</td> </tr> </table>		Total	Effective	Strength intercept, c =	0.063 ksf	0.064 ksf	Friction angle, $\phi$ =	18.80 deg	36.73 deg	Tangent, $\phi$ =	0.34	0.75	Red & brown fi.-med. sandy SILT (BLE-9[1&2], 13.5-15.0; BLE-10[3], 9.5-11.5)
	Total	Effective											
Strength intercept, c =	0.063 ksf	0.064 ksf											
Friction angle, $\phi$ =	18.80 deg	36.73 deg											
Tangent, $\phi$ =	0.34	0.75											

<p><b>Client:</b> McGill</p> <p><b>Project:</b> White Oak Landfill</p> <p><b>Source of Sample:</b> Boring</p> <p><b>Sample Number:</b> BLE-9/10</p>	<p><b>Date Sampled:</b></p> <p><b>File:</b> WHITEO<sub>1</sub></p> <p><b>Remarks:</b></p>
<p>TRIAXIAL SHEAR TEST REPORT</p> <p><b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b></p>	
<p><b>Proj. No.:</b> J07-1957-02</p> <p><b>Plate 1</b></p>	



**Client:** McGill

**Project:** White Oak Landfill

**Source of Sample:** Boring


**Project No.:** J07-1957-02

**Sample Number:** BLE-9/10

**Plate 2**

**Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.**

# CONSOLIDATION TEST REPORT



Natural		Dry Dens. (pcf)	LL	PI	Sp. Gr.	P <sub>c</sub> (ksf)	C <sub>c</sub>	Initial Void Ratio
Saturation	Moisture							
63.5 %	18.2 %	94.0	55	6	2.65	8.06	0.12	0.760

<b>MATERIAL DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>USCS</b>	<b>AASHTO</b>
Red & brown silty fi.-med. SAND		SM	

<b>Project No.</b> J07-1957-02 <b>Client:</b> McGill <b>Project:</b> White Oak Landfill  <b>Source:</b> Boring <b>Sample No.:</b> BLE-10 <b>Elev./Depth:</b> 1.0-3.0	<b>Remarks:</b>          <div style="text-align: right;"><b>Plate</b></div>
<b>Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.</b> <b>Greenville, SC</b>	





# Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: J07-1957-02  
 Project: White Oak Landfill

Source: Boring

Sample No.: BLE-10

Elev./Depth: 1.0-3.0



Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.  
 Greenville, SC

Plate





# Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: J07-1957-02  
 Project: White Oak Landfill

Source: Boring

Sample No.: BLE-10

Elev./Depth: 1.0-3.0



Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.  
 Greenville, SC

Plate



# Dial Reading vs. Time

Project No.: J07-1957-02  
Project: White Oak Landfill

Source: Boring

Sample No.: BLE-10

Elev./Depth: 1.0-3.0



Bunnell Lammons Engineering, Inc.  
Greenville, SC

Plate




FIGURE 4.11 FROM FETTER, C.W., 1994, APPLIED HYDROGEOLOGY  
 TEXTURAL CLASSIFICATION TRIANGLE FOR UNCONSOLIDATED MATERIALS SHOWING THE  
 RELATION BETWEEN PARTICULAR SIZE AND SPECIFIC YIELD. SOURCE: A.J. JOHNSON, U.S. GEOLOGICAL  
 SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1662-D, 1967.

ID#	BORING	DEPTH (FT)
2	BLE-1	63.5 - 65.0
3	BLE-2	23.5 - 25.0
5	BLE-3	8.5 - 10.0
7	BLE-6	6.0 - 7.5
9	BLE-7	13.5 - 15.0
13	BLE-11	6.0 - 7.5
15	BLE-13	43.5 - 45.0
16	BLE-15	8.5 - 10.0
17	BLE-16	73.5 - 75.0
18	BLE-17	98.5 - 100.0

DRAWN: MSP	DATE: 06-02-08	<b>IBLE</b> <b>BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.</b> 6004 PONDERS COURT GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615 PHONE: (864)288-1285 FAX: (864)288-4430	EFFECTIVE POROSITY ESTIMATION WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	FIGURE
CHECKED:	CAD: HCWOLF02-EFF POROS pg1		<b>H-1</b>	
APPROVED:	JOB NO: J07-1957-02			





FIGURE 4.11 FROM FETTER, C.W., 1994, APPLIED HYDROGEOLOGY  
TEXTURAL CLASSIFICATION TRIANGLE FOR UNCONSOLIDATED MATERIALS SHOWING THE  
RELATION BETWEEN PARTICLE SIZE AND SPECIFIC YIELD. SOURCE: A.I. JOHNSON, U.S. GEOLOGICAL  
SURVEY WATER-SUPPLY PAPER 1662-D, 1967.

ID#	BORING	DEPTH (FT)
1	BLE-1	3.5 - 5.5
4	BLE-3	6.0 - 8.0
6	BLE-3	23.5 - 25.0
8	BLE-7	1.0 - 3.0
10	BLE-9	13.5 - 15.5
11	BLE-10	1.0 - 3.0
12	BLE-10	9.5 - 11.5
14	BLE-13	23.5 - 25.0

DRAWN: MSP	DATE: 06-02-08	<b>IBLE</b> <small>INC.</small> <b>BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.</b> 6004 PONDERS COURT GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615 PHONE: (864)288-1285 FAX: (864)288-4430	EFFECTIVE POROSITY ESTIMATION WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	FIGURE
CHECKED:	CAD: HCWOLF02-EFF POROS pg2		<b>H-2</b>	<b>H-2</b>
APPROVED:	JOB NO: J07-1957-02			



White Oak MSW Landfill - Phase 3 & 4 DHR  
 Haywood County, North Carolina  
 BLE Project Number J07-1957-02

(Modified from Rawls and Brankensiek, 1989)

ID#	Boring	Depth (ft)
1	BLE-1	3.5 - 5.5
2	BLE-1	63.5 - 65.0
3	BLE-2	23.5 - 25.0
4	BLE-3	6.0 - 8.0
5	BLE-3	8.5 - 10.0
6	BLE-3	23.5 - 25.0
7	BLE-6	6.0 - 7.5
8	BLE-7	1.0 - 3.0
9	BLE-7	13.5 - 15.0
10	BLE-9	13.5 - 15.5
11	BLE-10	1.0 - 3.0
12	BLE-10	9.5 - 11.5
13	BLE-11	6.0 - 7.5
14	BLE-13	23.5 - 25.0
15	BLE-13	43.5 - 45.0
16	BLE-15	8.5 - 10.0
17	BLE-16	73.5 - 75.0
18	BLE-17	98.5 - 100.0

**APPENDIX I**  
**GEO TECHNICAL CALCULATIONS**

## APPENDIX I

### **GEOTECHNICAL CALCULATIONS METHODOLOGY WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA BLE Project No. J07-1957-02**

#### **SETTLEMENT CONSIDERATIONS:**

Site and subsurface data obtained was evaluated to determine subgrade settlement. Settlements were evaluated utilizing data obtained from soil test borings, test pits, laboratory testing of soil samples, field observations by a geotechnical engineer and our experience with settlement monitoring of sites in the Piedmont Region.

Site grading plans for construction of Phases 3 and 4, prepared by McGill Associates, indicate a combination of earthwork cut and fill will be made to establish the cell areas. The analysis considered potential future landfill expansion which would result in a landfill final cap maximum elevation of 2687 feet msl. Foundation support conditions for the landfill liner system will consist of either residual soils over weathered rock or engineered fill overlying residual soils. The rock and partially weathered rock underlying the site are relatively incompressible and will not realize appreciable settlements under the anticipated landfill loading. The residual soils are typically firm to very firm sandy clayey silts grading coarser with depth into dense silty sands with some gravel. Modest settlements will be realized from compression of the upper zones of residual soils and the anticipated fills.

Soil elastic modulus values for settlement analyses were selected based on previously developed correlations with standard penetration resistance values in similar soils. The analyses conservatively assumed the stress increase within the soil layers was equal to the full surcharge pressure of the waste mound. The surcharge pressures were estimated based on an assumed unit weight of 70 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) of waste. Settlements were estimated for the borings within the proposed cells. Some variations in structural fill height and individual soil layer thickness occur from location to location; however, due to the general uniformity of the subsurface conditions and the broad load application, the subgrade settlement will vary primarily with the height of the waste. The magnitude of settlement is well within tolerances of a conventional base liner system.

#### **SLOPE STABILITY CONSIDERATIONS:**

The planned landfill structural fill, base liner, and closure cap slopes were analyzed for static, seismic, and interface stability. The initial and final grading plans prepared by McGill Associates, P.A., indicate base liner slopes of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical or flatter and closure cap slopes of 4 horizontal to 1 vertical. A perimeter fill slope will be constructed at 2 horizontal to 1 vertical.

According to the definition of seismic impact zones in 15A NCAC 13B.1622 (5), this site is in a seismic impact zone. The maximum horizontal acceleration expressed as a percentage of the earth's gravity (g) in rock is 0.176g with a 2% probability of being exceeded in 50 years (equal to 10% probability in 250 years; NC Building Code, 2006 IBC). The slope configurations were analyzed using the maximum horizontal acceleration of 0.176g. The analysis of the structural fill and waste mound slopes was performed using the computer program Slope/W by Geoslope International. The analysis results are attached and indicate the designed slopes are stable when subjected to both static and seismic conditions.

## ROCK AND GROUNDWATER SEPARATION FROM CLAY LINER SUBGRADE

GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS - PHASES 3 & 4  
WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
BLE Project No. J07-1957-02  
June 2008

Boring Number	Calculated Settlement Feet	Cap Elev. minus FML Elev. Feet	Clay Subgrade Elevation (FML-2 Feet) Feet	Groundwater Elevation Seasonal High Feet	Groundwater Elevation Separation <sup>1</sup> Feet	Rock or Auger Refusal Elevation Feet	Rock Elevation Separation <sup>2</sup> Feet
BLE-1	0.58	104	2566	2531.12	34.30	2508.23	57.19
BLE-2	1.25	118	2523	2513.76	7.99	< 2485.7	> 35.1
BLE-3	0.59	97	2511	2501.87	8.54	< 2469.8	> 40.6
BLE-5	0.36	58	2503	2493.33	9.31	2471.10	31.54
BLE-6	0.00	1	2523	2488.93	34.07	2486.96	36.04
BLE-7D	0.41	12	2506	2485.24	20.35	2459.92	45.67
BLE-9	0.00	4	2532	2509.28	22.72	2527.54	4.46
BLE-13	0.00	56	2552	2542.44	9.56	2523.39	28.61
BLE-14	0.04	88	2559	2551.21	7.75	2536.91	22.05
BLE-16	0.00	48	2563	2555.63	7.37	< 2534.7	> 28.3
BLE-17	0.14	60	2581	2537.59	43.27	2510.46	70.40
P-6	0.00	45	2543	2536.05	6.95	2536.1	6.90

References: McGill Associates, PA drawing dated June 2008.

BLE Seasonal High Water Table Map (9/20/07 to 2/14/08); Figure 7.

- Notes: 1 Separation between post-settlement bottom of clay liner and seasonal high groundwater.  
2 Separation between post-settlement bottom of clay liner and top of rock (refusal).



# SETTLEMENT CALCULATIONS

WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
 Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc. Project No. J07-1957-02

June 2008

Subsurface Layer  (feet - feet)	Soil Type	Standard Penetration Resistance N-Value (bpf)	Layer Thickness (feet)	Total Soil Unit Weight (pcf)	Effective Soil Unit Weight (pcf)	Surcharge Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psf)	Soil Modulus (ksf)	Layer Settlement (inches)
<b>Boring BLE-2</b>								
2525-2520	Soft sandy SILT	4	5	120	120	8,305	200	2.5
2520-2512	Firm silty SAND	14	8	120	120	8,305	470	1.7
2512-2502	Soft sandy SILT	3	10	120	58	8,305	170	5.9
2502-2471	Very Firm silty SAND	22	31	120	58	8,305	630	4.9
Total Thickness of Compressible Material			54 Feet					
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT (inches)</b>								<b>15.0</b>
<b>Boring BLE-3</b>								
2513-2506	Loose silty SAND	9	8	110	110	6,950	350	1.9
2506-2501	Very Firm silty SAND	25	5	120	120	6,950	690	0.6
2501-2491	Loose silty SAND	9	10	110	48	6,950	350	2.4
2491-2471	Dense silty SAND	31	20	130	68	6,950	790	2.1
2471-2470	Very Dense silty SAND	57	1	130	68	6,950	1180	0.1
Total Thickness of Compressible Material			44 Feet					
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT (inches)</b>								<b>7.1</b>

<sup>1</sup> Surcharge pressure assumes weight of solid waste of 70 pcf

# SETTLEMENT CALCULATIONS

WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
 Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc. Project No. J07-1957-02

June 2008

Subsurface Layer (feet - feet)	Soil Type	Standard Penetration Resistance N-Value (bpf)	Layer Thickness (feet)	Total Soil Unit Weight (pcf)	Effective Soil Unit Weight (pcf)	Surcharge Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psf)	Soil Modulus (ksf)	Layer Settlement (inches)
<b>Boring BLE-5</b>								
2505-2497	Fill	NA	8	120	120	4,220	300	1.4
2497-2489	Firm clayey SILT	5	8	120	58	4,220	240	1.7
2489-2479	Firm silty SAND	18	10	120	58	4,220	550	0.9
2479-2474	PWR	150	5	140	78	4,220	2240	0.1
2474-2471	Dense silty SAND	39	3	130	68	4,220	920	0.2
Total Thickness of Compressible Material			34	Feet				
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT (inches)</b>								<b>4.3</b>
<b>Boring BLE-7D</b>								
2508-2492	Fill	NA	15	120	120	2,840	300	1.7
2492-2486	Firm clayey SILT	7	6	120	120	2,840	300	0.7
2486-2479	Loose silty SAND	10	7	120	58	2,840	370	0.6
2479-2474	Loose silty SAND	5	5	120	58	2,840	240	0.7
2474-2460	Firm silty SAND	11	14	120	58	2,840	400	1.2
Total Thickness of Compressible Material			47	Feet				
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT (inches)</b>								<b>4.9</b>

<sup>1</sup> Surcharge pressure assumes weight of solid waste of 70 pcf

# SETTLEMENT CALCULATIONS

WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
 HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
 Bunnell-Lammons Engineering, Inc. Project No. J07-1957-02

June 2008

Subsurface Layer (feet - feet)	Soil Type	Standard Penetration Resistance N-Value (bpf)	Layer Thickness (feet)	Total Soil Unit Weight (pcf)	Effective Soil Unit Weight (pcf)	Surcharge Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psf)	Soil Modulus (ksf)	Layer Settlement (inches)
<b>Boring BLE-14</b>								
2561-2544	Very Stiff to Hard sandy SILT	30	17	120	58	685	300	0.5
2544-2537	PWR	200	7	140	78	685	2710	0.0
Total Thickness of Compressible Material			24	Feet				
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT (inches)</b>								<b>0.5</b>
<b>Boring BLE-17</b>								
2583-2568	Very Stiff sandy SILT	28	15	120	120	1,140	300	0.7
2568-2563	Hard sandy SILT	34	5	120	120	1,140	840	0.1
2563-2538	Very Firm silty SAND	29	25	120	120	1,140	760	0.5
2538-2514	Very Stiff to Hard sandy SILT	31	24	120	58	1,140	790	0.4
2514-2511	PWR	100	3	140	78	1,140	1710	0.0
Total Thickness of Compressible Material			72	Feet				
<b>TOTAL ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT (inches)</b>								<b>1.7</b>

<sup>1</sup> Surcharge pressure assumes weight of solid waste of 70 pcf

# SUMMARY OF GLOBAL STABILITY ANALYSIS

WHITE OAK LANDFILL  
PHASES 3 & 4  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA  
June 2008

BLE Project No. J07-1957-02

Stability Analysis	Static Conditions		Seismic Conditions <sup>(1)</sup>	
	Result	Recommended Minimum <sup>(2)</sup>	Result	Recommended Minimum <sup>(2)</sup>
Waste Slope (4H : 1V) & Foundation	3.1	1.5	1.6	1.0
Fill Slope (2H : 1V)	1.6	1.5	1.1	1.0

Notes:


<sup>(1)</sup> Seismic horizontal acceleration at bedrock level = 0.176g

<sup>(2)</sup> Recommended minimum factors of safety: Static Conditions: 1.5  
Seismic Conditions: 1.0

2700  
2650  
2600  
2550  
2500  
2450  
2400

ELEVATION = 2687

	UNIT WEIGHT PCF	TOTAL $\phi$ DEGREES	STRESS C PSF	EFFECTIVE $\phi$ DEGREES	STRESS C' PSF
WASTE	70	30	200	30	200
FILL	120	26	100	32	100
RESIDUUM	120	18	0	36	0



4X VERTICAL  
EXAGGERATION



DRAWN: AEH  
CHECKED: GLW  
APPROVED:


DATE: 06-16-08  
CAD: HCWOFL-02SECT  
JOB NO: J08-1957-02

**IBLE**  
BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.  
8004 PONDERS COURT  
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29615  
PHONE: (864)288-1265 FAX: (864)288-4430


SECTION  
WHITE OAK MSW LANDFILL  
HAYWOOD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

FIGURE  
**X**


Title: White Oak Landfill - Haywood County, NC  
 Comments: BLE Project No. J07-1957-02  
 Directory: C:\Public PC033\Slope Stability\White Oak Landfill\Effective Stress - Static - Autolocate.gsz  
 Date: 6/5/2008  
 Time: 2:49:46 PM  
 Horz Seismic Load: 0



Title: White Oak Landfill - Haywood County, NC  
 Comments: BLE Project No. J07-1957-02  
 Directory: C:\Public PC033\Slope Stability\White Oak Landfill\Effective Stress - Seismic - Autolocate.gsz  
 Date: 7/2/2008  
 Time: 10:28:14 AM  
 Horz Seismic Load: 0.176



Title: White Oak Landfill - Haywood County, NC  
 Comments: BLE Project No. J07-1957-02  
 Directory: C:\Public PC033\Slope Stability\White Oak Landfill\Effective Stress - Static - Circular - Fill Slope.gsz  
 Date: 6/5/2008  
 Time: 3:01:37 PM  
 Horz Seismic Load: 0



Description: Waste  
 Wt: 70  
 Cohesion: 200  
 Phi: 30

Description: Fill  
 Wt: 120  
 Cohesion: 100  
 Phi: 32

Description: Residuum  
 Wt: 120  
 Cohesion: 0  
 Phi: 36

Description: Bedrock

Title: White Oak Landfill - Haywood County, NC


Comments: BLE Project No. J07-1957-02

Directory: C:\Public PC033\Slope Stability\White Oak Landfill\Effective Stress - Seismic - Circular - Fill Slope DBB Change.gsz

Date: 6/30/2008

Time: 3:57:07 PM

Horz Seismic Load: 0.176



## Stability of Final Cover Against Sliding

Reference: Matasovic N (1991) "Selection of Method for Seismic Slope Stability Analysis," Proceedings of Second International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering & Soil Dynamics, St. Louis, Volume 2, pages 1057-1062.

$$FS = \frac{C / (\gamma z \cos^2 \beta) + \tan \phi [1 - \gamma_w (z - d_w) / (\gamma z)] - K_s \tan \beta \tan \phi}{K_s + \tan \beta}$$

FS = Factor of Safety, (minimum: 1.5 static; 1.0 Dynamic)

C = Cohesion of Cover Layer

$\gamma$  = Unit Weight of Cover Layer

z = Depth to Failure Surface

$\beta$  = Slope Angle (4H:1V or  $\beta = 14^\circ$ )

$\phi$  = Interface Friction Angle of assumed failure surface

$\gamma_w$  = Unit Weight of Water

$d_w$  = Depth to Seepage Surface

$K_s$  = seismic coefficient



**BUNNELL-LAMMONS ENGINEERING, INC.**  
 GEOTECHNICAL, ENVIRONMENTAL AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS CONSULTANTS  
 GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA • ASHEVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

JOB NO. J07-1957 SHEET 2 OF 2  
 PHASE 02 TASK \_\_\_\_\_  
 JOB NAME White Oak MSW Landfill  
 BY G. Weekley DATE 6-16-08  
 CHECKED BY Haver DATE 6-19-08

### Stability of Final Cover

$$\gamma = 110 \text{ pcf}$$

$$K_s = 0.176$$

$$\gamma_w = 62.4 \text{ pcf}$$

$$c = 25 \text{ psf}$$

$$\phi = 20^\circ$$

$$z = 2 \text{ Feet}$$

$$d_w = 1.0 \text{ Feet}$$

### Static

$$FS = \frac{50}{(110 \times 2 \times \cos^2 14^\circ) + \tan 20^\circ [1 - 62.4(2-1)/(110 \times 2)]}$$

$$FS = \frac{0.241 + 0.261}{0.249} = 2.02 > 1.5 \text{ ok against sliding}$$

### Dynamic

$$FS = \frac{50}{(110 \times 2 \times \cos^2 14^\circ) + \tan 20^\circ [1 - 62.4(2-1)/(110 \times 2)] - 0.176 \tan 14^\circ \tan 20^\circ}$$

$$FS = \frac{0.241 + 0.261 - 0.011}{0.176 + 0.249} = \frac{0.486}{0.425} = 1.14 > 1.0$$

ok against sliding  
 during seismic event