

Subject: Re: Coble's Design Hydrogeo Report
From: Brian Wootton <Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net>
Date: Thu, 30 Aug 2007 10:07:25 -0400
To: Van Burbach <vburbach@joyceengineering.com>

Van,

Van for the Design Hydro-Report it is fine to refer to the Site Hydro Report. What would be helpful in the Design Hydro Report - is to cross-reference (refer to) actual sections numbers, actual page numbers, map drawing numbers and map titles, etc in the Site Study.

Thanks,
Brian

Van Burbach wrote:

Brian -

For the Design Hydrogeo Report for the Coble's Sandrock C&D Landfill, is it acceptable to summarize (in text, tables, and drawings) data that was presented in the Site Hydrogeo Report without including the detailed data in appendices, since that data has already been presented to DENR in the Site Hydrogeo Report? In other words, do you want the boring logs, laboratory reports for soil analyses, and slug test data that were included as appendices in the Site Hydrogeo Report also included as appendices in the Design Hydrogeo Report, or can we leave them out and just refer back to the Site Hydrogeo Report? Leaving them out will save a lot of paper.

- Van

Van Burbach, Ph.D., P.G.
Joyce Engineering, Inc.
2211 West Meadowview Rd., Ste. 101
Greensboro, NC 27407
(336) 323-0092

--

Brian Wootton, Hydrogeologist
Solid Waste Section
Division of Waste Management
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1646
401 Oberlin Road, Suite 150, 27605
tel: 919-508-8524, fax: 919-733-4810
Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net
<http://wastenotnc.org/swhome/>

Subject: Re: Coble's Sandrock Permit to Construct
From: Brian Wootton <Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net>
Date: Tue, 21 Aug 2007 12:47:28 -0400
To: Van Burbach <vburbach@joyceengineering.com>

Van,

You are correct;
the Design Hydrogeologic Report and the Construction Requirements are not mentioned under .0535 "Permit to Construct". I do not know why these requirements *are* not included under .0535, but are included elsewhere [.0538(b) - Design Hydrogeologic Report]; and [.0540 - Construction Requirements for C&DLF facilities]. It would probably be better to add both of these as Appendices and that follow the outline format for each rule requirement.
i.e. AppendixY...Design Hydrogeologic Report according to .0538(b)
i.e. AppendixZ...Construction Requirements for C&D Landfill Facilities according to .0540.

Note: If there is some repetition of statements, criteria, etc by using the format in the new rules ; then it's o.k. to use statements next to each rule that says something like "this criteria is covered under rule....xyz and on page....of the report", etc.

Thanks,
Brian

Van Burbach wrote:

Brian -

We need some minor clarification on what you are looking for in the formatting of our permit application. You requested that we organize the Permit to Construct in accordance with the organization in the new C&D Reg's. You also said you wanted the Design Hydrogeo Report to be a part of it. In section .0535.a.1 of the Reg's, an outline is given listing items A thru F that are to be included in the Permit to Construct. The Hydrogeo Report is not listed. Would you rather we stick to this A-F outline and add the hydrogeo report as an appendix, or would you prefer us to add the Hydrogeo into the list as Item "B", shifting the numbering of items B-F to become C-G? Also, we note that there is nothing in the A-F outline for the Construction Requirements in section .0540 of the Reg's. Do you want a separate section in our report corresponding to .0540 (further shifting the numbering), or shall we just be sure all the information in .0540 is covered in the other parts of our report?

- Van

Van Burbach, Ph.D., P.G.
Joyce Engineering, Inc.
2211 West Meadowview Rd., Ste. 101
Greensboro, NC 27407
(336) 323-0092

--
Brian Wootton, Hydrogeologist
Solid Waste Section
Division of Waste Management
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1646
401 Oberlin Road, Suite 150, 27605
tel: 919-508-8524, fax: 919-733-4810
Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net
<http://wastenotnc.org/swhome/>

Subject: RE: Coble sandrock - additional information request
From: "Van Burbach" <vburbach@joyceengineering.com>
Date: Mon, 13 Aug 2007 09:40:30 -0400
To: "Brian Wootton" <Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net>
CC: "Michelle Brown" <mbrown@joyceengineering.com>

Brian -

Michelle asked me about a recent email you sent to me requesting additional information for the Coble's Sandrock Hydrogeo Report. The last email I have from you was the July 3rd email (below) with the request for some revisions to the site drawings, etc.. I responded to this request on July 19 with the attached letter and copies of all the revised drawings (in triplicate). Michelle is under the impression from a recent conversation with you that there might have been a more recent email with an additional request for information. If there was, could you please send it to me again. I don't believe I have received it. Michelle would also like a copy so she can bring it to the meeting on Wednesday. Thanks.

- Van

Van Burbach, Ph.D., P.G.
Joyce Engineering, Inc.
2211 West Meadowview Rd., Ste. 101
Greensboro, NC 27407
(336) 323-0092

-----Original Message-----

From: Brian Wootton [<mailto:Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2007 4:05 PM
To: Van Burbach; Evan Andrews
Cc: ED MUSSLER
Subject: Coble sandrock - additional information request

Mr. Burbach,
Mr. Andrews,

Attached is a letter requesting additional information, pertaining the review of the Coble's Sandrock C&D landfill expansion application (Site Suitability Report, Hydrogeologic Report).

Brian Wootton

--
Brian Wootton, Hydrogeologist
Solid Waste Section
Division of Waste Management
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1646
401 Oberlin Road, Suite 150, 27605
tel: 919-508-8524, fax: 919-733-4810
Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net
<http://wastenotnc.org/swhome/>

Coble's RTC Letter 7-19-07.pdf

Content-Description: Coble's RTC Letter 7-19-07.pdf
Content-Type: application/octet-stream
Content-Encoding: base64

Subject: RE: proposed revised Permit to Construct application - Coble Sandrock.
From: "Michelle Brown" <mbrown@joyceengineering.com>
Date: Wed, 15 Aug 2007 16:43:07 -0400
To: "Brian Wootton" <Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net>
CC: "ED MUSSLER" <ED.MUSSLER@ncmail.net>, "Van Burbach" <vburbach@joyceengineering.com>

Brian,

I was putting together a summary email to send as well, but you beat me to it! I really appreciate you and Ed taking the time to meet with us yesterday, it helps clarify some things much better in person. We will get to work on the revised Permit to Construct per the new C&D rules, and will contact you with any questions we come up with. I will have Van give you a call as well.

Thanks again for your time and patience,
Michelle

Michelle M. Brown
Joyce Engineering, Inc
2211 W. Meadowview Road, Ste 101
Greensboro, NC 27407
336/323-0092

-----Original Message-----

From: Brian Wootton [<mailto:Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 15, 2007 3:59 PM
To: Michelle Brown
Cc: ED MUSSLER
Subject: proposed revised Permit to Construct application - Coble Sandrock.

Michelle,

Thanks for meeting with Ed Mussler and me yesterday pertaining to Coble Sandrock Construction & Debris (C&D) landfill expansion. Ed Mussler and

I stated that a Site Suitability notification letter will be issued to Coble Sandrock, Inc. very shortly (most likely by the end of this week or early next week) in accordance to .0536 and older C&D rules .0503(1), .0504(1).

Per our conversation in-part yesterday, you agreed that a revised Permit to Construct (PTC) application for the (C&D) expansion will be rewritten according to the newer C&D rules (.0531 through .0546). Since we spoke in the meeting; due to my time restraints I do not have much time to perform a detailed review of the current PTC application (Volume II) to point out required criteria, etc. since it was written according to the older C&D (.0503 through .0505) rules. In order to assist in expediting my review time of the proposed revised PTC application, (and as we discussed in the meeting) could you submit the revised application so that is written and formatted in similar outline fashion that matches the new C&D rules. This will help me greatly.

As we also discussed in the meeting, the hydrogeologic information that was submitted in Volume 1, Section 2 (Hydrogeologic Report) meets the old C&D rules and also most of the new Site Suitability and Design Criteria under the new C&D rules [(0.0538(a)(b))]. I stated in the meeting

that the Design Hydro-Report (required to be included with the revised PTC application can consist of gleaned material from the Volume 1,

Section 2 (Hydrogeologic Report).

Also, could you have Van Burbach contact me pertaining to some hydrogeologic criteria clarification under the new C&D rules.

Please contact me if you have any questions (address, phone #, e-mail mentioned below).

Sincerely,
Brian

--

Brian Wootton, Hydrogeologist
Solid Waste Section
Division of Waste Management
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1646
401 Oberlin Road, Suite 150, 27605
tel: 919-508-8524, fax: 919-733-4810
Brian.Wootton@ncmail.net
<http://wastenotnc.org/swhome/>