Wake County,
North Carolina

North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

June 2009

Report

CDM

5400 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 300
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
tel: 919 787-5620
fax: 919 781-5730

June 23, 2009

Ms. Jaclynne Drummond
Compliance Hydrogeologist
North Carolina Department of Environment and
Natural Resources
Division of Waste Management
401 Oberlin Road
Suite 150
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-9603

Subject: Assessment of Corrective Measures Report
Closed North Wake Unlined Landfill
Facility Permit # - 92-09

Dear Ms. Drummond:

CDM, on behalf of Wake County, is submitting one electronic version of the above referenced Assessment of Corrective Measures report. This report was prepared in accordance with Solid Waste Management Rule 15 NCAC 13B .1635 and identifies potential corrective measures to meet the requirements set out in Rule .1636 at the Closed North Wake Unlined Landfill. Following Solid Waste Section review of this report, the results of the assessment of corrective measures will be presented in a public meeting with interested and affected parties. Following the public meeting, the selected remedy will be formally submitted to the SWS in a brief letter.

If you have any questions or require further explanation, do not hesitate to call me at 787-5620.

Very truly yours,

Mathew F. Colone, P.G.
Camp Dresser & McKee

NORTH CAROLINA LICENSED SEAL 1851 MATTHEW F. COLONE

6/23/2009

cc: Lee Squires, Wake County SWM
Johnny Beal, Wake County SWS
Brenan Buckley, CDM
Bob Brossoie, CDM

Executive Summary

CDM was retained by Wake County to prepare an Assessment of Corrective Measures Report. This report was prepared in accordance with the requirements in North Carolina Solid Waste Management Rule .1635 and identifies potential corrective measures to meet the requirements set out in Rule .1636 at the Closed North Wake Unlined Landfill.

As part of the Assessment of Corrective Measures, several remedies to groundwater contamination and migration were discussed. These remedies included: No action, monitored natural attenuation, groundwater pump and treat, and in-situ groundwater treatment. Each option was considered for effectiveness, implementability, and relative cost. By comparing all of these factors and using the information collected during the groundwater assessment in February 2000 and data collected during the semi-annual groundwater sampling events, CDM was able to identify the most cost-effective and easily implementable remedy. The selected remedy should also protect human health and the environment, attain the approved groundwater protection standards, control the source of the release, and comply with the standards for waste management.

Using all of the criteria described above, CDM recommended that Wake County pursue institutional controls and monitored natural attenuation paired with in-situ groundwater treatment by air sparging in the shallow fractured bedrock as the preferred remedy. The institutional controls are already in place and include fencing and restricted access. In addition, the County may pursue property acquisition to increase the compliance boundary. The monitored natural attenuation remedy is already in place and operational and the required additional semi-annual sample parameters have been collected at select wells since 2008. The in situ treatment system will be installed immediately following approval of the corrective action plan.

Following North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources review of this report, the results of the assessment of corrective measures will be presented in a public meeting with interested and affected parties. Following the public meeting, the selected remedy will be formally submitted to North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources in a brief letter along with a *North Carolina Solid Waste Groundwater Corrective Action Permit Modification Application* and related documents. Following approval of the selected remedy, a corrective action plan will be completed and submitted for Solid Waste Section review and approval. Assuming timely review and approval, the monitored natural attenuation with institutional controls remedy could be implemented immediately, as it has essentially been in use since 2000. Construction of the air sparging system will begin immediately following approval of the corrective action plan.

Contents

Section 1 - Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Background 1-1
1.2 Site Characterization 1-1
1.2.1 Geologic and Hydrogeologic Setting 1-2
1.2.1.1 Regional Geology 1-2
1.2.1.2 Regional Hydrogeology 1-3
1.2.1.3 Site Geology 1-4
1.2.1.4 Site Hydrogeology 1-4
1.2.2 Groundwater Quality 1-5
1.2.2.1 Required Appendix I and II Sampling 1-5
1.2.2.2 Monitored Natural Attenuation Sampling 1-6
1.2.3 Surface Water Quality 1-7

Section 2 – Corrective Action Objectives

2.1 Corrective Action Objectives 2-1
2.2 General Response Actions 2-1
2.3 Potential Exposure Pathways 2-2

Section 3 – Identification and Screening of Corrective Measure Alternatives

3.1 Identification of Potential Corrective Measures 3-1
3.1.1 Institutional Controls 3-1
3.1.2 Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) 3-1
3.1.3 Hydraulic Control/Remediation of Groundwater 3-1
3.1.3.1 Groundwater Barrier Wall 3-2
3.1.3.2 Groundwater Extraction 3-2
3.1.4 In situ Groundwater Treatment 3-2
3.1.5 Capping 3-2
3.1.6 Gas Recovery 3-2
3.2 Screening Criteria 3-3
3.3 Screening of Potential Corrective Measures 3-3
3.3.1 Institutional Controls 3-4
3.3.2 Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) 3-4
3.3.3 Groundwater Barrier Wall 3-5
3.3.4 Groundwater Extraction 3-6
3.3.5 In situ Groundwater Treatment 3-6
3.3.6 Capping 3-7
3.3.7 Gas Recovery 3-7
3.4 Corrective Measure Alternatives 3-8

Section 4 – Detailed Evaluation of Corrective Measure Alternatives

4.1 Detailed Evaluation Criteria 4-1

4.2 Alternative A 4-1
4.3 Alternative B 4-2
4.4 Alternative C 4-4
4.5 Alternative D 4-5

Section 5 – Comparative Evaluation of Corrective Measure Alternatives

5.1 Comparative Evaluation Criteria 5-1
5.2 Summary of Comparative Evaluation 5-2

Section 6 – Recommended Alternative

6.1 Recommended Alternative 6-1
6.2 Conclusion 6-1
6.3 Schedule for Remedy Implementation 6-2
6.4 References 6-2

Appendices

Appendix A – *Site Groundwater Data Tables*
Appendix B – *Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time Trend Figures for Select Wells*

Figures

1-1 Potentiometric Contour Map with Well Locations....1-8
1-2 Isocontour Map....1-9
3-1 Conceptual Plan....3-9
3-2 Conceptual Plan (Large Scale)....3-10

Tables

4-1 Capital and O&M Costs for Remediation Alternatives....4-7
5-1 Comparative Evaluation of Corrective Measure Alternatives....5-3

Section 1
Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Background

This report, required under the North Carolina Solid Waste Management Rule .1635, assesses the corrective measures that were initiated during the assessment monitoring program and identifies potential corrective measures to meet the requirements set out in Rule .1636 at the North Wake Unlined Landfill.

The *North Wake Unlined Landfill Groundwater Assessment Report* was submitted to the Solid Waste Section (SWS) in February 2000 in accordance with Rule .1634. This report detailed the installation and sampling of several compliance monitoring and assessment monitoring wells and discussed the history of groundwater monitoring and assessment at the site. The report also recommended the use of monitored natural attenuation (MNA) as a corrective action for the site. Since December 2000, MNA parameter samples have been collected from monitoring wells MW-5, -6, -8, -9, -10, -24, and TB-1a in addition to the required Appendix I and detected Appendix II constituents (dichlorodifluoromethane, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, mercury, and pesticides and herbicides).

1.2 Site Characterization

The North Wake Unlined Landfill is located off of Deponie Road in Raleigh, Wake County, North Carolina. A site map is provided on **Figure 1-1**.

In November 1996, Wake County began disposal of municipal solid waste in the lined portion of the North Wake Landfill. A low permeability cap was installed to close the unlined landfill. This cap included the installation of a geosynthetic liner on the top of the landfill to further reduce infiltration. A methane gas recovery system was installed in the waste, and has been operational since November 1997.

Due to landfill gas migration beyond the limits of waste, a permanent landfill gas migration control system was installed along the western, northern and eastern perimeter of the landfill, inside the landfill property boundary. Construction of the permanent migration control system was completed and the system considered fully operational by November 2003. Continued monitoring and adjustments in applied vacuum on the perimeter system have resulted in the successful control of gas migration around the perimeter of the landfill. Temporary vacuum systems installed along the west and north sides of the landfill, starting in January 2002, were also used to control gas migration at known exceedence locations prior to installation of the permanent gas migration control system.

The permanent gas migration control system consists of a series of 78 gas extraction wells spaced at 25 to 50 feet apart along the western and northern perimeter of the landfill, together with a 1000 foot long, HDPE lined, vacuum cut-off trench along the eastern perimeter of the landfill. The wells and cut-off trench are connected to a

buried 6-inch diameter HDPE vacuum header installed adjacent to each of the perimeter wells and cut-off trench along the west, north and east sides of the landfill. The vacuum gas recovery system in the waste, operated by DTE Biomass, serves as the vacuum source for the perimeter gas migration control system. Groundwater monitoring well and methane gas extraction well locations are provided on **Figure 1-1**.

At the request of the Solid Waste Section, additional groundwater assessment wells (MW-36/-36d) were installed to the north of MW-9 and MW-6/6d in order to assess for offsite contaminant migration. Both wells were installed in November 2008. Subsequent sampling determined that several VOC constituents were exceeding their respective NC2L values. In addition to the groundwater monitoring wells, two additional surface water sampling locations (SW-7 and SW-8) were added at Abbot's Creek, north of the landfill.

1.2.1 Geologic and Hydrogeologic Setting

1.2.1.1 Regional Geology

The geology of Wake County is made up of three different rock assemblages. The eastern portion of the county is underlain by a complex group of metamorphic rocks (gneiss, schists, and phyllites) of Late Precambrian or Paleozoic age, with mafic and granitic intrusions. Rocks of this group belong to the Raleigh Belt and the Carolina Slate Belt. The second group consists of stratified sedimentary rocks of Triassic age separated from the older rocks (Carolina Slate/Raleigh Belts) by the Jonesboro Fault. The third group consists of coastal plain sediments located primarily in the southeastern portion of the county (Parker, 1979). The North Wake Unlined Landfill is located within the Raleigh Belt of the metamorphic rock group.

The North Wake Unlined Landfill is situated over the Raleigh gneiss. West of the site and corresponding with the highest elevations in the vicinity, is the Falls Leucogneiss. Both of these rock occurrences demonstrate a southwest to northeast trend in outcrop. Immediately east of the site is the Gresham Lake pluton. During a January 1998 site reconnaissance, the Raleigh gneiss was observed to consist primarily of biotite gneiss and to a lesser degree hornblende gneiss. The gneiss demonstrates strong flow banding of compositional layers consisting of white, feldspar rich layers and quartz biotite layers. Granitoid orthogneiss was also observed within the north and south stream channels west of the site.

Although somewhat variable, the general strike of foliation in the Raleigh gneiss in the site vicinity is from southwest to northeast, as mapped by Horton and others (1992). The foliation dips to the east from approximately 35° to 80°.

Relatively small diabase dikes, several feet wide, have also been identified south of the site during construction of the North Wake Lined landfill. These dikes have been mapped and generally trend from south to north. Should these dikes extend into the

proximity of the site, they would be approximately parallel to the west boundary of the unlined landfill at a distance of approximately 400 feet.

Also noted during previous site reconnaissance was an extremely quartz rich gneiss in both the north and south streams. This rock appeared to be resistant to erosion/weathering relative to the surrounding rock and formed the creek channels into a series of small waterfalls for distances of approximately 75 feet. These two outcrops may potentially represent a continuous, resistant rock layer that forms a subsurface bedrock ridge aligned with the regional structure.

1.2.1.2 Regional Hydrogeology

Groundwater in the vicinity of the site occurs in the saprolite horizon and within fractures of the crystalline bedrock. The transition zone between saprolite and unweathered rock is generally the zone in which most lateral groundwater flow occurs. This zone typically contains a lower percentage of clay, derived from the complete weathering of micaceous minerals and feldspar, and is made more permeable by cracking associated with shrinking and swelling of minerals by hydration.

Groundwater in saprolite is derived directly from infiltrating precipitation. Welby (1994), reports that an estimated 10 to 15 percent of the annual average precipitation of about 45 inches reaches the water table. Groundwater reaching the water table then flows laterally, primarily in the transition zone above crystalline rock, until it ultimately discharges at springs or as diffuse flow into surface water features. Alternately, groundwater may encounter fractures in the crystalline rock and serve to recharge these fracture systems.

Groundwater flow directions in saprolite and the transition zone are controlled primarily by topography with groundwater flow mirroring surface drainage patterns. However, the topography of the subsurface crystalline rock surface can cause deviations in the presumed groundwater flow directions as valleys and ridges on the rock surface can direct groundwater flow. Mineralogical heterogeneities in the saprolite/transition zone may result in contrasting permeability and influence groundwater flow directions. As seen at the North Wake lined landfill, diabase dikes can be less permeable than the surrounding materials and form groundwater flow barriers. Alternately, relic fractures in the saprolite/transition zones may represent preferential groundwater flow paths.

The occurrence and movement of groundwater in the unweathered bedrock is generally restricted to fractures as these materials have little primary porosity. The fractures are typically most numerous and have the largest openings near the top of the unweathered rock. The amount and location of groundwater in the crystalline rock varies greatly dependant on the depth, openness, and degree of connection between fractures. Large open fractures were not observed during the site reconnaissance. Upon inspection of fracture systems in the Benchmark quarry, all

fractures in the crystalline bedrock were either mineralized or intruded. Although the quarry is currently being mined to elevations well below the elevation of the Neuse River, quarry representatives indicated that groundwater flow into the quarry has not been observed and during dry weather the excavation remains dry.

1.2.1.3 Site Geology

Site geology is based on previous well installation activities from the original groundwater assessment and the landfill gas perimeter recovery well installations. The subsurface geology at the site was highly variable. Generally, the site is covered by 4 to 6 inches of detritus, topsoil and rootmat. Underlying the topsoil is a layer of reddish brown silt/clay. This silt/clay was most commonly encountered up to depths of 7 to 17 feet below land surface (bls). The silt/clay was usually underlain by a light brown, fine sandy silt saprolite with varying amounts of mica. Occasionally, zones of silty sand saprolite as pegmatite or granite were encountered below the silt/clay. Generally, the silty sand or sandy silt saprolite graded into a partially weathered rock as silty sand saprolite with depth to top of bedrock. The depth to bedrock ranged from 15 to greater than 65 feet bls.

In some areas, a 4 to 6-inch cover of topsoil and rootmat was underlain by light to medium brown sandy silt alluvial deposits. This overburden varied from 5 to 15 feet in thickness. Below the alluvial layer, a layer of light brown sandy silt saprolite with varying amounts of mica was encountered.

Cuttings obtained during air-rotary drilling of some bedrock wells revealed bedrock which varied from schistose to granitic. Generally, the bedrock was highly weathered and fractured for the first 20 to 30 feet below auger refusal, however, one boring revealed highly fractured bedrock to depths of 90 feet bls.

1.2.1.4 Site Hydrogeology

The groundwater monitor wells installed surrounding the unlined landfill are generally completed to depths corresponding with the top of rock and are considered representative of the saprolite/transition zone. **Figure 1-2** presents a potentiometric contour map based on water level data obtained from the monitor wells during the March 2009 semi-annual sampling event. Water table elevations are provided in Appendix A on **Table 1**. The water table elevation contours indicate an easterly groundwater flow direction with minor flow towards the small creeks to the north and south, coincident with the site topography prior to landfill activities. The small perennial streams to the north and south appear to act as groundwater discharge features.

It is probable that groundwater elevations beneath the landfill have been higher than those indicated by the figure because of a mounding effect almost invariably occurring in unlined landfills. As a result, radial groundwater flow away from the landfill has occurred. Typically, such radial flow patterns dissipate within relatively short distances, beyond which the groundwater assumes a more typical flow

direction. Installation of the impermeable cap, which occurred in late 1997, has likely caused a significant reduction in the mounding of groundwater within the landfill.

In situ horizontal hydraulic conductivity (slug) tests performed on monitoring wells MW-5, -6, -7, -8, -9, -10, -22, -23, and -24 indicated horizontal hydraulic conductivity ranges from 10.58 ft/day (3.73×10^{-3} cm/sec) in MW-6 to 0.07 ft/day (2.47×10^{-5} cm/sec) in MW-22. The average horizontal hydraulic conductivity for the wells across the site is approximately 2.38 ft/day (8.74×10^{-4} cm/sec).

Average linear velocity values are calculated for these wells during each semi-annual sampling event. Average linear velocity values for these wells from November 2005 to March 2009 are provided in Appendix A on **Table 2**. During the last groundwater sampling event in March 2009, linear velocity values ranged from 1.65 ft/day in MW-6 to 7.04×10^{-3} ft/day in MW-22.

1.2.2 Groundwater Quality

1.2.2.1 Required Appendix I and II Sampling

A summary of the site groundwater quality analytical results for Appendix II volatile organic compound (VOC) and metal constituents and other detected Appendix II constituents (bis(2-ethylhexyl)Phthalate, pesticides, herbicides) is provided in Appendix A on **Table 3**. In general, the occurrences of detected VOCs above respective North Carolina 2L Standards (NC2L) have been decreasing since beginning sampling activities.

Monitoring wells MW-5, -6, -7, -8, -9, and -10 have been sampled on a semi-annual basis since 1994. Monitoring wells MW-22, -23, and -24 have been sampled semi-annually since June 1997. Monitoring wells MW-23d, -27, -28, -28d, -29d, -30, -31, -31d, -32, -33, -34, -34d, -35, and TB-1a were installed as part of the groundwater assessment and have been sampled since May 1998. Some of these wells are sampled yearly (MW-27, -28, -28d, -33, -34, -34d, and -35), while the remaining wells are sampled semi-annually. Monitoring wells MW-6d, -8d, -10d, and TB-1a deep were installed in response to comments from the groundwater assessment and have been sampled semi-annually since June 2001. Monitoring well MW-27 was abandoned in November 2008. MW-27 had not been sampled since April 2004. Wells MW-36 and -36d were installed in November 2008 and will be sampled semi-annually. Well locations are provided on **Figure 1-1**. An isocontour map for total VOCs from the April 2009 sampling event is presented on **Figure 1-2**.

In almost all cases, groundwater quality has been improving since samples were first collected in 1994. VOCs in nearly all of the assessment monitoring wells have been below method detection limits. Only samples from TB-1a, MW-34, MW-34d, MW-36 and MW-36d have had detections above the laboratory reporting limits of VOCs other than chloroform since 1998. Recent sampling events have indicated that 1,4-dichlorobenzene, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, and vinyl

chloride have been detected in assessment wells MW-34, MW-36, and MW-36d at concentrations exceeding respective NC2L concentrations.

The monitoring wells in the south-west buffer area (MW-31, -31d, TB-1a, and TB-1a deep) have all had detections of chloroform above the North Carolina 2L Standard (NC2L). As chloroform has never been detected in the compliance monitoring wells, it is not likely that these chloroform detections are related to a release from the landfill.

Historical total VOC concentrations for select wells along the western and northern portions of the landfill are presented in Appendix B on **Figure 1** through **Figure 7**. Figures 1 through 7 show the historic VOC trends for MW-6, MW-9, MW-10, MW-23, MW-24, TB-1a, and MW-34 respectively. In some figures, there appears to be a decreasing trend in total detected VOC concentrations. However, these figures include the recent J value detections which were not reported before 2007. The recent increase of total detected VOC concentrations in TB-1a is due to chloroform detections, which are not likely related to a release from the landfill and have been declining in the most recent sampling events.

1.2.2.2 Monitored Natural Attenuation Sampling

In addition to VOC and metal analyses, samples from the site shallow background monitoring well (MW-11) and monitoring wells MW-5, -6, -8, -9, -10, -24, and TB-1a are also analyzed for MNA parameters, which include: Dissolved oxygen, BOD, COD, chloride, nitrate, sulfate, sulfide, total organic carbon (TOC), carbon dioxide, ferrous iron, methane/ethane/ethane, volatile fatty acids, and dissolved hydrogen. A summary of the MNA parameter analytical results since inception of the program in December 2000 is presented in Appendix A on **Table 4**.

Detected concentrations of MNA parameters have varied from event to event, but occurrences of key parameters from certain wells are fairly consistent. In general, it appears that based on the concentrations of geochemical parameters from the select wells, environments are present which favor reductive dechlorination; notably, the concentrations of nitrate, ferrous iron, sulfate, and carbon dioxide. The following evidence indicates that one or more mechanisms of natural attenuation and/or reductive dechlorination may be occurring at the site:

- VOC concentrations in contaminated wells continue to decline (Figures 3 through 7 of Appendix B).
- The ratio of parent /daughter compounds continues to decrease over time.
- Nitrate, ferrous iron, sulfate, and carbon dioxide continue to be detected at concentrations favoring reductive dechlorination.
- Concentrations of cis-1,2-DCE continue to be greater than other DCE isomers trans-1,2-DCE and 1,1-DCE (neither trans-1,2-DCE or 1,1-DCE have been detected since 1995).

1.2.3 Surface Water Quality

Surface water samples for Metal and VOC constituents have been collected from sample locations SW-2 and SW-3 since 1995. Locations SW-7 and SW-8 were added in November 2009. These locations are monitored as part of the semi-annual sampling performed at the landfill. Several "J" value detections of VOCs have been reported in samples from each location, but generally appear to be random and infrequent. Several metals including barium, chromium, copper, lead, silver, vanadium and zinc have been detected at low levels in the surface water samples, and are most likely attributed to naturally occurring conditions. A summary of the surface water sampling data is provided in Appendix A on **Table 3**.



REV. NO.	DATE	DRWN	CHKD	REMARKS

DESIGNED BY: A. WEISPFENNING
DRAWN BY: J. KILLINGSWORTH
SHEET CHK'D BY: M. COLONE
CROSS CHK'D BY:
APPROVED BY:
DATE: JUNE 2009

CDM Camp Dresser & McKee
environmental services
offices worldwide
5400 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 300
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
Tel: (919) 787-5620 Fax: (919) 781-5730

WAKE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA

NORTH WAKE UNLINED LANDFILL
ASSESSMENT OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES

POTENTIOMETRIC CONTOUR MAP

PROJECT NO. 6172-71371
FILE NAME: NW-ACM1-1.dwg

FIGURE
1-1

L:\CDM-GEO\NW-ACM1-1.dwg



REV. NO.	DATE	DRWN	CHKD	REMARKS

DESIGNED BY: D. FORBES
DRAWN BY: A. WEISPFENNING
SHEET CHK'D BY: M. COLONE
CROSS CHK'D BY:
APPROVED BY:
DATE: JUNE 2009

CDM Camp Dresser & McKee
environmental services
offices worldwide
5400 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 300
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
Tel: (919) 787-5620 Fax: (919) 781-5730

WAKE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA

NORTH WAKE UNLINED LANDFILL ASSESSMENT OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES

ISO CONTOUR MAP

PROJECT NO. 6172-71371
FILE NAME: NW-ACM1-2.dwg

FIGURE
1-2

L:\CDM-GEO\NW-ACM1-2.dwg

Section 2
Corrective Action Objectives

2.1 Corrective Action Objectives

Corrective action objectives have been developed for this site based on the requirements provided under the North Carolina Solid Waste Management Rule .1636; Selection of Remedy. This section, which pertains to remedy selection, states that at a minimum, potential remedies must:

1. Be protective of human health and the environment;

2. Attain the approved groundwater protection standards;

3. Control the source of release so as to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent practical, further releases of Appendix II constituents into the environment that may pose a threat to human health or the environment; and

4. Comply with standards for management wastes as specified in Rule .1637(d).

These corrective action objectives serve as the primary basis upon which the corrective action alternatives are developed and evaluated. Using the presumptive remedy approach, a limited number of media-specific remedial technologies are identified. These are then screened for site specific feasibility, technical implementability, and practicality (cost) based on readily available information from similar sites.

2.2 General Response Actions

General Response Actions are categories of activities which are applied toward remediation of contaminated sites. The corrective action objectives developed for a site dictate which general response actions should be undertaken. Within each general response action (other than No Action) there are several technology types and process options.

The general response actions identified for the North Wake Unlined Landfill that will meet the remedial action objectives or will provide a baseline against which actions may be compared consist of the following:

- No Further Action - A No Action response is always identified for the purpose of establishing a baseline with which to compare other general response actions. There are no preventative or corrective actions taken as a result of this general response action; however, monitoring of the contamination may be prescribed.

- Institutional Controls - These controls utilize actions that control contact with the contamination rather than remediating the contamination itself. These

actions may be physical, such as fences or barriers, or legal such as property acquisition, deed restrictions, zoning changes, restrictions on water use, or security restricted access.

- Containment - As a general response action, containment prevents risk to human health and the environment by restricting contact to or migration of the contaminants via the soil, water or air pathways. A number of technologies and different materials are available for use in establishing migration barriers.

- Removal/Excavation - This response action physically removes or collects the existing contaminated media from the site. Other response actions are usually necessary in order to achieve remedial action goals and objectives for the removed and collected media. Collection and removal of solids/soils media is often associated with source control activities and eventually reduces contaminant concentrations in the surrounding surface water, groundwater, biota, and air media. Collection or removal actions in water and air media may not prevent continued migration of contaminants in those media, but typically intercept the most contaminated portions of those media. Removal or excavation is generally not an effective response action for low level contamination.

- Treatment - These actions involve removal of the contaminant from contaminated media, or alteration of the contaminant. The result is a reduction in mobility, volume, or toxicity of the contaminant.

- Disposal/Discharge - This general response action involves the transfer of contaminated media, concentrated contaminants, or treated materials to a site reserved for long term storage of such materials. Disposal sites are strictly regulated in operation and the types of materials that they may accept.

The general response actions presented above form the basis for identifying technology types and process options specific for the site, which are subsequently screened for effectiveness, implementability and practicality (cost).

2.3 Potential Exposure Pathways

Potential exposure pathways for the site include the following:

- direct human contact with waste,

- human exposure to contaminated surficial groundwater,

- human/ecological exposure to contaminated groundwater discharging to surface water, and

- human exposure to contaminated groundwater in deeper aquifers.

Site access controls (fences) and the landfill cap prevent direct human contact with buried waste, therefore exposure through this pathway is not likely.

Depth to surficial groundwater at the site is generally about 25 to 35 feet below land surface. There are no ditches or ponds that intersect the groundwater table on the site. Exposure of the public to potentially contaminated groundwater is not possible.

The surficial aquifer discharges locally to the small streams to the north and south of the site, and ultimately to the Neuse River to the east. The land immediately east of the landfill is owned by Tyco (formerly Mallinckrodt Chemical). "No Trespassing" signs and fencing have been placed along both the landfill property and Tyco property. All historic surface water sampling indicates that contamination is not present. While potential exposure to possibly contaminated discharging groundwater exists, because of the factors mentioned, potential exposure to discharging groundwater is unlikely.

All potable water in the adjacent Falls River subdivision is supplied by the City of Raleigh. Based on a review of Wake County's well permit database, no public or private supply or irrigation wells are known to exist in Falls River Subdivision. Therefore, direct contact with extracted groundwater from either the surficial or deeper aquifer is not possible in the adjacent residential area.

Section 3
Identification and Screening of Corrective Measure Alternatives

This section identifies the potentially applicable remedial technologies and presents the criteria used to screen them. The measures that pass the initial screening are grouped into corrective measure alternatives for further evaluation in Sections 4 and 5.

3.1 Identification of Potential Corrective Measures

Varieties of measures have been used to control, contain, collect, treat and generally remediate groundwater contamination. Based on historical patterns of remedy selection and EPA/state scientific and engineering evaluation of performance data on technology application, preferred technologies for remediation of groundwater contamination have been identified. By considering these technologies, or presumptive remedies, the assessment of corrective measures can be focused at an early stage on those technologies or actions that have been proven effective at other sites. The following sections discuss the technologies or actions that may apply to this site.

3.1.1 Institutional Controls

Institutional controls for landfills include access restrictions (perimeter fencing, locked access gates) to regulate site use, deed restrictions or land acquisition to regulate future development and groundwater use restrictions. Institutional controls are typically implemented to supplement engineering controls.

3.1.2 Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

MNA is a risk management strategy used to control exposure to hazards associated with subsurface contamination. MNA is ideal for instances where naturally occurring destructive and nondestructive mechanisms will reduce dissolved-phase contaminant concentrations to below groundwater protection standards before the contaminant plume reaches potential receptors. Destructive processes include biodegradation, abiotic oxidation and hydrolysis. Nondestructive attenuation mechanisms include sorption, dilution and volatilization. Typically, a detailed natural attenuation demonstration is required followed by routine groundwater monitoring to document the effectiveness of natural attenuation. Existing water quality data can often be used to provide a preliminary evaluation of the effectiveness of natural attenuation.

3.1.3 Hydraulic Control/Remediation of Groundwater

Several methods are routinely used at landfills to provide hydraulic control of groundwater and leachate at unlined landfills. These include perimeter ditches, groundwater barrier walls and extraction wells. Technologies potentially applicable to this site are identified below.

3.1.3.1 Groundwater Barrier Wall

Groundwater barrier walls prevent the migration of contaminated groundwater in the subsurface. Common types of barrier walls include slurry walls constructed of low-permeable materials, sheet piling, geomembrane, or in situ reactive barriers which channel flow through a reactive barrier which, depending on the contaminant present, may use a combination of physical, chemical or biological processes to treat the plume. This method may be limited based on the contaminants present, and is more expensive than traditional barriers.

3.1.3.2 Groundwater Extraction

Groundwater extraction, commonly called pump-and-treat, is one of the most widely used ground-water remediation technologies. Extraction wells are located at the leading edge and/or within the plume to collect groundwater and pump it to a treatment facility. Pump-and-treat may be applicable to this site to prevent further migration of leachate-contaminated groundwater. Collected groundwater would be diverted to a sanitary sewer line at the Subtitle D landfill and treated at the Neuse River Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP).

Based on existing groundwater quality data, no special handling or pretreatment of effluent would be necessary to make it acceptable to the WWTP. A more rigorous analysis would be required to determine flow rates of a groundwater extraction system, and to confirm that these rates would be acceptable to the WWTP.

3.1.4 In situ Groundwater Treatment

In situ groundwater treatments involve enhancing the natural remediation capability of the subsurface environment by biological, chemical, or mechanical methods. Biological methods include using products such as Hydrogen Releasing Compound (HRC), or enhanced remediation through products such as Emulsified Oil Substrate (EOS) or introduction of methane, oxygen, nitrogen, hydrogen, or phosphorus to promote natural bacterial activity. Chemical methods include introduction of an oxidizing agent such as persulfate, Fenton's Reagent or Oxygen Releasing Compound (ORC). Mechanical treatment would include methods such as air sparging, hot water/steam flushing, surfactants, or vacuum extraction.

3.1.5 Capping

Capping is performed to reduce infiltration of precipitation, thereby reducing leachate generation which may impact groundwater. A low permeability cap was installed as part of the landfill closure in 1997.

3.1.6 Gas Recovery

The generation of landfill gas within a capped, unvented landfill may speed up groundwater migration by increasing the pressure inside the landfill. Gas venting, either active (removed by a vacuum) or passive (vented to the air) reduces pressure by allowing gas to escape. Venting would also remove VOC contaminant mass from

the source material. The existing landfill currently utilizes both an active landfill vacuum system and a perimeter vacuum system. The perimeter vacuum system consists of both landfill gas extraction wells along the west and north perimeter and a horizontal collector trench along the east perimeter. The horizontal collector trench is installed to the top of bedrock and includes an HDPE liner along the outer wall. The vacuum systems reduce the pressure induced migration of contaminants in groundwater and serve to remove VOC contaminant mass from both the source material and the impacted groundwater.

3.2 Screening Criteria

This section describes the methodology employed for initial screening of potential corrective measures. The screening criteria are based on the evaluation criteria given in .1636. Technologies that pass the initial screening are grouped into corrective measure alternatives and further evaluated in Sections 4 and 5.

The screening criteria are as follows:

- Effectiveness – The evaluation focuses on the potential effectiveness of technologies in meeting the corrective measure objectives and how proven and reliable the process is with respect to the contaminants and conditions at the site.

- Implementability – The evaluation encompasses both the technical and administrative feasibility of the technology. It includes an evaluation of the treatment requirements, waste management, and the relative ease or difficulty in achieving the operation and maintenance requirements. Technologies that are clearly unworkable at the site are eliminated.

- Relative Cost – both capital and operation and maintenance costs are considered. The preliminary cost analysis is based upon engineering judgment, and each evaluation is evaluated as to whether costs are high, moderate, or low relative to the other options.

The screening focuses more on the effectiveness criterion, with less emphasis on the implementability and relative cost criteria. Technologies surviving the screening process are those that are expected to achieve the correction measure objectives for the site, either alone or in combination with others.

3.3 Screening of Potential Corrective Measures

The remedial technologies that are evaluated as part of the screening process are summarized as follows:

- Institutional Controls

- Monitored Natural Attenuation

- Groundwater Barrier Wall
- Groundwater Extraction and Treatment
- In situ Treatment
- Capping
- Gas Recovery

The potential site application of each is presented below, followed by a screening level evaluation of each technology.

3.3.1 Institutional Controls

Site Application

Existing institutional controls at the site include fencing to restrict site access and locking entrance gates to access the buffer areas to the east, west, and north. Existing institutional controls are being strengthened by placement of "No Trespassing" signs. Deed restrictions may be placed on County owned land and groundwater use restrictions could be implemented to ensure human contact with groundwater is prevented. In addition, the County may evaluate purchasing the buffer area between the current landfill boundary and the City of Raleigh greenway to the north. Property acquisition would increase the buffer between the source and the compliance boundary and thereby increase the amount of time for monitored natural attenuation.

Conclusion

The County already practices this method. Fencing encompasses the entire landfill. This alternative aimed at reducing or eliminating the pathways for exposure, is considered effective and already implemented in some instances. Costs associated with this technology are low, but would increase with property acquisition. It should be retained for further evaluation, and included in each of the corrective measure alternatives.

3.3.2 Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA)

Site Application

This technology would be used to address the low-level groundwater contamination. Natural destructive and non-destructive process would reduce contaminant levels, at a downgradient point-of compliance, to levels acceptable to state regulators. At a minimum, continued semi-annual groundwater sampling for Appendix I and detected Appendix II constituents would be conducted to track contaminant levels in the wells. Additional, select wells would be sampled annually to monitor contaminant migration. These include wells MW-28, MW-28d, MW-33, MW-34, MW-34d, MW-35, installed as part of the Groundwater Quality Assessment, and the recently installed MW-36, and MW-36d. Additionally, because of the historic detections of VOCs in samples from MW-5, MW-6, MW-8, MW-9, MW-10, MW-24, and TB-1a, these wells

would continue to be sampled semi-annually for the Appendix I list plus detected Appendix II parameters and some or all of the MNA indicator parameters including: Alkalinity, carbon dioxide, chloride, dissolved hydrogen, ferrous iron, nitrate, sulfate, sulfide, total organic carbon, BOD, COD, methane/ethane/ethene, low-level fatty acids, ORP, and dissolved oxygen.

Conclusion
MNA has been studied at the site since December 2000. Based on the MNA data obtained over the last several years and the generally low level detections of Appendix I and II constituents, MNA is considered effective in achieving the corrective measure goals and is readily implementable. Costs are limited to sampling and analysis, and therefore are minor. However, Solid Waste Section policy states that sites with fractured bedrock contamination and migration beyond the facility boundary may only MNA when paired with an active system as the remedial option.

This technology should be retained for further evaluation.

3.3.3 Groundwater Barrier Wall

Site Application
A groundwater barrier wall would be constructed downgradient of the northern landfill edge to prevent further migration of leachate-contaminated groundwater. The barrier wall would be approximately 1,000 feet in length and connect to the landfill gas cut-off trench on the eastern portion of the landfill perimeter. A generalized schematic of a conceptual groundwater barrier wall is provided on **Figures 3-1 and 3-2**. To be effective as a barrier to groundwater migration, a barrier wall would need to be tied into a confining unit. There are two types of barriers available; geomembrane barrier walls, which are only implementable to depths of approximately 20 feet, and slurry walls which are significantly more costly than other applicable technologies.

To maintain an inward hydraulic gradient and prevent mounding at the wall, extraction wells or other forms of leachate collection between the landfill and barrier wall would be necessary. Extracted groundwater would be pumped to the sewer at the Subtitle D landfill.

Conclusion
The hydrogeology of the site consists of a surficial aquifer in the partially weathered rock and a fractured bedrock aquifer. Although these two groundwater media act as a single unit, there is no confining unit to act as a barrier to vertical flow into the bedrock. Therefore, a barrier wall will only be effective in preventing horizontal groundwater movement above the fractured bedrock. In addition, the depth to groundwater is deep, in some cases greater than 40 feet, making excavation difficult and costly.

A planning level cost of construction of a moderate depth slurry wall is approximately $40 per square foot. Assuming a length of 1,000 feet, and an average

depth of 30 feet, a slurry wall would cost nearly $1,200,000 to construct. In this instance, a barrier wall would not be a cost-effective remedial option relative to other considerable options. The implementability is low due to the extensive excavation requirements to construct. Because of these factors, a barrier wall is not retained for further consideration. However, as part of the landfill gas cut-off trench, an HDPE liner was placed in the trench along the western landfill perimeter. The liner essentially acts as a groundwater barrier to shallow groundwater migration.

3.3.4 Groundwater Extraction

Site Application

A well-point system would be placed along the landfill perimeter to collect the leading edge of leachate-contaminated groundwater, or in the area to the north eliminate further offsite migration. Collected groundwater would be pumped to the sanitary sewer near the Subtitle D landfill and receive treatment at the Neuse River Wastewater Treatment Plant. A generalized schematic of a conceptual groundwater extraction system for this site is shown in **Figures 3-1 and 3-2**.

Conclusion

Pump-and-treat has been used at about three-quarters of the Superfund sites where groundwater is contaminated. Pump-and-treat is most effective in sand and gravel aquifers where hydraulic control and capture is most easily achieved. The effectiveness of groundwater extraction at this site would be reduced due to the hydrogeology of the site, including the fractured bedrock, low soil permeability and slow rate of groundwater movement.

High costs are associated with well installation and construction of a conveyance system to direct collected groundwater to the sanitary sewer. Operation of the system would be required for an indefinite period of time.

Although the effectiveness of pump-and-treat at this site is questionable, it is retained for further consideration because it is the only active form of groundwater remediation considered in this evaluation.

3.3.5 In situ Groundwater Treatment

Site Application

A series of injection points would be installed along the northern property line from the area near MW-6/6d to just west of MW-9 to be used as air sparging wells or to facilitate introduction of either biological or chemical agents to the impacted groundwater in the saprolite/PWR and shallow fractured bedrock. A generalized well-point system is shown on **Figures 3-1 and 3-2**.

Conclusion

Capital costs for in situ groundwater treatment methods are comparable to pump-and-treat well installation; however, long-term costs are lower. Additional site

characterization may be required to determine applicable treatment methods and rates.

In situ groundwater treatment technologies will be retained for further consideration.

3.3.6 Capping

Site Application
A low permeability cap would be installed to eliminate infiltration and reduce leachate generation.

Conclusion
These measures are already in place. A low permeability cap was installed as part of the landfill closure in 1997. Because the cap is already in place, it will not be considered as a separate corrective action alternative.

3.3.7 Gas Recovery

Site Application
Landfill gas extraction wells would be placed within the existing landfill to control gas buildup underneath the cap, and prevent possible pressure driven migration of leachate-contaminated groundwater.

Conclusion
The County already has an active landfill gas recovery system in place. The system is operated by DTE Biomass. In addition, the County has installed a perimeter landfill gas recovery system to capture gas migration beyond the limits of waste. The perimeter system consists of recovery wells along the western and northern perimeter of the landfill. The perimeter recovery wells are spaced at approximately 25 to 50 foot intervals and are under a constant vacuum. All of the perimeter gas recovery wells are installed to the top of bedrock, and in all cases intersect the groundwater surface.

A gas migration cut-off trench was installed along the eastern perimeter of the landfill to supplement the gas migration recovery system. The cut off trench was excavated to below the seasonal low groundwater surface and consists of a biopolymer constructed cut-off trench with a gravel filled vertical collection system under vacuum and a HDPE liner on the down-gradient side of the trench to eliminate offsite gas migration to the east.

The existing perimeter gas extraction system is considered to be an effective remediation option. Not only is gas pressure relieved, but because the extraction wells are under a vacuum, the extraction system also serves to remove VOCs from the waste material and the potentially contaminated groundwater. In addition, shallow groundwater contaminant migration offsite is impeded. Because an active system is already in place, gas recovery is retained for further evaluation in conjunction with other options.

3.4 Corrective Measure Alternatives

Based on the above screening, the following technologies or actions are retained for detailed evaluation in Section 4:

- Institutional Controls,
- Monitored Natural Attenuation,
- Groundwater Extraction and Treatment,
- In situ Groundwater Treatment,
- Gas Recovery.

To facilitate the evaluation of corrective measures and the selection of a comprehensive remedy, the technologies are grouped into the corrective measure alternatives listed below:

Alternative A
No Action

Alternative B
Institutional Controls, Monitored Natural Attenuation, Gas Recovery

Alternative C
Institutional Controls and Groundwater Extraction and Treatment

Alternative D
Institutional Controls and In situ Groundwater Treatment

The No Action alternative (Alternative A) is included as a basis for comparison, and is not intended as a valid corrective measure at this site, or any site undergoing such an assessment.

The alternatives listed above are subject to a detailed evaluation in Section 4.2 and a comparative evaluation in Section 5.1. The evaluation criteria are identified in Section 4.1.



REV. NO.	DATE	DRWN	CHKD	REMARKS

DESIGNED BY: A. WEISPFENNING
DRAWN BY: J. KILLINGSWORTH
SHEET CHK'D BY: M. COLONE
CROSS CHK'D BY:
APPROVED BY:
DATE: JUNE 2009

CDM Camp Dresser & McKee
environmental services
offices worldwide
5400 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 300
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
Tel: (919) 787-5620 Fax: (919) 781-5730

WAKE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA

NORTH WAKE UNLINED LANDFILL ASSESSMENT OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES

CONCEPTUAL PLAN

PROJECT NO. 6172-71371
FILE NAME: NW-ACM3-1.dwg

FIGURE
3-1

L:\CDM-GEO\NW-ACM3-1.dwg



REV. NO.	DATE	DRWN	CHKD	REMARKS

DESIGNED BY: A. WEISPFENNING
DRAWN BY: J. KILLINGSWORTH
SHEET CHK'D BY: M. COLONE
CROSS CHK'D BY:
APPROVED BY:
DATE: JUNE 2009

CDM Camp Dresser & McKee
environmental services
offices worldwide
5400 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 300
Raleigh, North Carolina 27612
Tel: (919) 787-5620 Fax: (919) 781-5730

WAKE COUNTY
NORTH CAROLINA
NORTH WAKE UNLINED LANDFILL
ASSESSMENT OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES

CONCEPTUAL PLAN

PROJECT NO. 6172-71371
FILE NAME: NW-ACM3-2.dwg

FIGURE
3-2

L:\CDM-GEO\NW-ACM3-2.dwg

Section 4
Detailed Evaluation of Corrective Measure Alternatives

Pursuant of the NCDENR Solid Waste rule .1636, the corrective measure alternatives identified in Section 3 are evaluated by considering their ability to meet a number of criteria and the objectives identified in Section 2. The evaluation criteria are provided in Section 4.1, followed by the detailed evaluation of the alternatives in Section 4.2.

4.1 Evaluation Criteria

As identified under .1636 (c), potential remedies should be evaluated based on their ability to meet the corrective measure objectives (identified in Section 2), addressing at least the following:

- *Performance* - Long-term and short-term effectiveness and protectiveness of the potential remedy(s), along with the degree of certainty that the remedy will prove successful;
- *Reliability* - The effectiveness of the remedy in controlling the source to reduce further releases;
- *Implementation* - Ease or difficulty of implementing a potential remedy;
- *Time/Costs* - Practical capability of the owner or operator, including a consideration of the technical and economic capability;
- *Safety, Cross-Media Impacts, Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination, and Community Factors* - The degree to which community concerns are addressed.

A preliminary evaluation of implementability, effectiveness (performance), and cost was presented in Section 3; however, these criteria will be further discussed in this section with regard to each alternative. The following discussion presents an evaluation of each alternative, based on the above criteria. A summary of each alternative's ability to meet the corrective measure objectives is provided at the end of each section.

4.2 Alternative A

Alternative A is the "No Action" alternative. No action would be taken to address the NC2L exceedances in groundwater.

Performance

The performance of this alternative is considered low, since no remediation or additional monitoring is specified.

Reliability
The reliability of this alternative is considered high since there are no components that would potentially fail.

Ease of Implementation
This alternative is considered easy to implement since no action is specified.

Time
No time would be required for implementation of this alternative. An indefinite amount of time would be required for groundwater to meet protection standards.

Costs
No costs are associated with this alternative.

Safety, Cross-Media Impacts, Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination, and Community Factors
No safety, cross media impacts or exposure control concerns are expected for this alternative since no remedial action is specified.

Ability to Meet the Corrective Measure Objectives
The no action alternative would be protective of human health and the environment in the near term, due to the depth of the groundwater. Potentially, leachate contaminated groundwater could discharge to the small streams to the north and south, however, historical data has shown no indication of impact to surface water. Attenuation mechanisms would likely result in discharge of groundwater below protection standards, given the low-level groundwater exceedances observed to date.

This alternative would not attain the groundwater protection standard as specified in .1636(b)(2), nor would it control the source release or prevent further releases of Appendix I or II constituents. This alternative would comply with relevant standards for management of waste since no waste would be generated.

4.3 Alternative B

Alternative B is already in place and includes the use of institutional controls, monitored natural attenuation, gas recovery, and capping. Institutional controls would consist of periodic (as-needed) fence maintenance, addition of warning signs to restrict site access along the Mallinckrodt property, and possible property acquisition. Groundwater would continue to be monitored for its ability to naturally attenuate below groundwater protection levels (NC2L) and the cap and landfill and perimeter gas recovery systems would continue to be utilized.

Performance
The performance of this alternative is considered high since existing data has shown that contaminant levels are effectively attenuating, the groundwater geochemical environment is favorable for natural attenuation, and the perimeter gas recovery system is already operational. Performance of this alternative will be regularly

monitored through the required semi-annual and current MNA sampling of the wells currently in place. The performance of this alternative has already been verified by the sampling for a variety of natural attenuation indicators since December 2000 and the decrease in detected Appendix I constituents since the perimeter gas recovery system installation. Property acquisition would increase the time for MNA between the source and the compliance boundary.

Reliability
The reliability of this alternative is considered high since there are no active components that would potentially fail; with the exception of the gas recovery system. The life span of the gas recovery system is not infinite, as gas production will decrease over time and the recovery system will no longer be needed. However, controls are already in place regarding operation and maintenance procedures for both the landfill and the perimeter gas recovery systems.

Ease of Implementation
This alternative is considered easy to implement, and has essentially been in place since December 2000.

Safety, Cross-Media Impacts, Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination, and Community Factors
No safety, cross media impacts or exposure control concerns are expected for this alternative since no intrusive work is specified.

Time
Because this alternative is already in place, no time would be required for implementation of this alternative. Semi-annual sampling, throughout the post-closure period would be required to monitor the ability of contaminants to naturally attenuate, and regular maintenance of the gas recovery system may be required.

Costs
Costs associated with this alternative include as-needed fence and sign maintenance, additional semi-annual sampling and analysis for MNA parameters for the facility back ground well MW-11 and MW-5, -6, -8, -9, -10, and TB-1a, any required maintenance to the gas recovery system, and property acquisition costs. Table 4-1, located at the end of this section, includes a summary of all costs for this alternative. Costs are broken into capital and operations and maintenance (O&M), and considered over a 30-year post-closure period. O&M costs provided in **Table 4-1** assume continued sampling and analysis of MNA parameters for the above wells and does not included required sampling for Appendix I or detected Appendix II constituents as part of the post-closure requirements.

No operation costs are involved with this alternative. The active perimeter gas recovery system is tied into the blower flare for the Subtitle D landfill.

Ability to Meet the Corrective Measure Objectives
This alternative would be protective of human health and the environment due to the depth of groundwater and the fact that all existing monitoring wells will be monitored at least annually. This alternative would not immediately attain the groundwater protection standard as specified in .1636(b)(2). However, based on current data, conditions are present which favor natural attenuation and eventually will result in attainment of the groundwater protection standards across the site.

This alternative would not control the source release, preventing migration of leachate beyond the landfill perimeter; however, with the exception of the MW-36/36d area, existing data indicate that any offsite VOC migration is below the 2L standards.

This alternative would comply with relevant standards for management of waste since no waste would be generated.

4.3 Alternative C

Alternative C includes the use of institutional controls and groundwater extraction. Institutional controls would consist of periodic (as-needed) fence maintenance, addition of warning signs to restrict site access along the Mallinckrodt property and possible property acquisition. Groundwater would be extracted from the surficial and fractured bedrock aquifer through well-points located in the vicinity of MW-6, -9, and -10. These locations were chosen due to their historical Appendix I detections exceeding the NC2L standard. It is expected that a minimum of eight wells would be necessary to provide effective hydraulic control and capture of the leading edges of the plume. Further analysis would be required to evaluate system design and layout.

Collected groundwater would be conveyed to the sanitary sewer line at the Subtitle D landfill, and treated at the Neuse River WWTP.

Performance
The performance of this alternative is expected to be moderate, due to the hydrogeology of the site and the low-levels of contamination present.

Reliability
The reliability of this alternative is considered moderate since there are active components that could potentially fail. Pumps could break or fail and well screens may become blocked or fouled.

Ease of Implementation
This alternative is considered relatively easy to implement however, engineering requirements and construction of the groundwater recovery wells and associated piping would lower implementability.

Safety, Cross-Media Impacts, Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination, and Community Factors
No safety, cross media impacts or exposure control concerns are expected for this alternative, assuming the system is properly operated and maintained.

Time
Approximately six months would be required for implementation of this alternative. Normal required sampling of the existing monitoring wells would be required to monitor the effectiveness of the extraction system.

Costs
Capital costs associated with this alternative are for construction of the groundwater extraction system. O&M costs associated with this alternative include as-needed sign replacement and quarterly analysis of groundwater water discharging to the sewer. A permit for discharge to the sewer would likely be required for this alternative. **Table 4-1** includes a summary of all costs for this alternative. Costs are broken into capital and O&M, and considered over a 30-year period.

Ability to Meet the Corrective Measure Objectives
This alternative would be protective of human health and the environment since contaminated groundwater would be removed from the surficial and shallow fractured bedrock aquifer and no exposure points are expected. Over time, this alternative would likely attain the groundwater protection standard as required in .1636(b)(2). Considerations regarding pump noise and housing would need to be made so as not to disrupt the surrounding community, which has already voiced concern regarding aesthetics.

This alternative would control, but not eliminate, the source release preventing migration of leachate beyond the landfill perimeter. This alternative would comply with relevant standards for management of waste.

4.4 Alternative D

Alternative D includes the use of institutional controls and in-situ groundwater treatment. As in Alternative C, institutional controls would consist of periodic (as-needed) fence maintenance and addition of warning signs to restrict site access and possible property acquisition. Groundwater would be treated with in-situ methods, either mechanical (air sparging), biological (Emulsified Oil Substrate), or chemical (Fenton's Reagent or Oxygen Releasing Compound), injected through a series of well-points in the surficial and shallow fractured bedrock aquifer in the vicinity of MW-6 and –9. This area was chosen due to the recent detection of Appendix I VOC above the NC2L standard in offsite wells MW-36 and MW-36d. Further analysis would be required to evaluate system design and layout and potential effectiveness.

Performance
The performance of this alternative is expected to be moderate to high, due to the hydrogeology of the site and the low-levels of contamination present.

Reliability
The reliability of this alternative is considered moderate to high. However, extensive characterization may be required prior to implementation and because of the uncertainty of injection into bedrock zones, bedrock may need to be contained by installing extraction wells as described in Section 3.3.4.

Ease of Implementation
This alternative is considered moderate to implement due to site characterization, data analysis and modeling requirements.

Safety, Cross-Media Impacts, Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination, and Community Factors
No safety, cross media impacts or exposure control concerns are expected for this alternative.

Time
Approximately six months would be required for implementation of this alternative; however, if biological or chemical treatment is used, multiple treatments may be required. Normal required sampling of the existing monitoring wells and new effectiveness wells would be required to monitor the effectiveness of the treatments.

Costs
Capital costs associated with this alternative are moderate to high depending on methods and include design and installation of the injection well points, possible additional characterization and modeling, and any applicable permit fees. O&M costs associated with this alternative include as-needed fence repair, sign replacement, additional chemical injections or sparging system repair. **Table 4-1** includes a summary of all costs for this alternative. Costs are broken into capital and O&M, and considered over a 30-year period. These costs assume that the in-situ method chosen will effectively treat or contain the groundwater plume in shallow fractured bedrock.

Ability to Meet the Corrective Measure Objectives
This alternative would be protective of human health and the environment since contaminated groundwater would be treated in-situ and no exposure points are expected. Over time, this alternative would likely attain the groundwater protection standard as required in .1636(b)(2).

This alternative would control, but not eliminate, the source release preventing migration of leachate beyond the landfill perimeter and therefore may require long-term use. This alternative would comply with relevant standards for management of waste.

Table 4-1
Estimated Corrective Measures Costs

Capital Costs - Alternative B
Insitutional Controls, Monitored Natural Attenuation, Gas Recovery

Item	unit cost	quantity	cost
None - System Already Operational	$0	lump sum	$0
Property Acquisition	$60,000	5 acres	$300,000
Total Direct Capital Costs (+50%, -30%)			**$300,000**

Operations and Maintanence Costs - Alternative B

Item	unit cost	quantity	cost
Lab Analysis for MNA Constituents[1]	$800	14 samples[2]	$11,200
Annual Sampling Report	$2,000	lump sum	$2,000
Periodic Monitoring and Adjustment of Gas Recovery System	$2,500	quarterly	$10,000
Signs	$50	5 per year	$250
Annual Total (+50%, -30%)			**$23,500**
Present Worth (year 2009 Dollars)[3]			**$291,612**

[1] Semi-annual analysis to include: chloride, nitrate, sulfate, sulfide, TOC, CO2, ferrous iron, methane

[2] Based on 7 wells per event (MW-5, -6, -8, -9, -10, -11, TB-1a), 2 events per year

[3] 30 years at 7.00%

Capital Costs - Alternative C
Insitutional Controls and Groundwater Extraction

Item	unit cost	quantity	cost
Property Acquisition	$60,000	5 acres	$300,000
Install 6, 4-inch, HDPE extraction wells to 60 ft with Submersible Pumps	$100,000	lump sum	$100,000
HDPE Conveyance Pipe	$35	5,000 feet	$175,000
Miscellaneous Valves and Fittings	$6,000	lump sum	$6,000
Power Supply	$10,000	lump sum	$10,000
Subtotal			*$591,000*
Engineering Costs (15%)		lump sum	$43,650
Total Direct Capital Costs (+50%, -30%)			**$634,700**

Operations and Maintanence Costs - Alternative C

Item	unit cost	quantity	cost
Quarterly sampling of effluent	$75	2 hours/event	$600
Lab analysis for Appendix II Constituents[1]	$500	4 samples[2]	$2,000
Annual Sampling Report[3]	$2,000	lump sum	$2,000
Monthly GW Extraction System O&M	$95	8 hours/event	$9,120
Pump/Piping Replacement	$10,000	lump sum	$20,000
Replacement of Access Restricting Signs	$50	5 per year	$250
Annual Electrical Costs	$15,000	lump sum	$15,000
Annual Total (+50%, -30%)			**$49,000**
Present Worth (year 2009 Dollars)[3]			**$608,041**

[1] Analysis to include: Appendix I VOCs

[2] Based on 1 sample per event, 4 event per year

[3] 30 years at 7.00%

Table 4-1
Estimated Corrective Measures Costs

Capital Costs - Alternative D
Insitutional Controls/ In-Situ Groundwater Treatment
(Air Sparging)

Item	unit cost	quantity	cost
Property Acquisition	$60,000	5 acres	$300,000
Install Air Sparging Injection Wells (assume 25 ft ROI)	$2,000	27 wells	$54,000
Piping	$35	700 feet	$24,500
140 cfm compressor and housing	$30,000	lump sum	$30,000
Power supply	$10,000	lump sum	$10,000
Subtotal			*$418,500*
Engineering Costs (15%)		lump sum	$17,775
Total Direct Capital Costs (2008 Estimate)			**$436,300**

Operations and Maintanence Costs - Alternative D

Item	unit cost	quantity	cost
Monthly Air Sparging System O&M	$95	8 hours/event	$9,120
Electrical costs	$15,000	lump sum	$15,000
Air sparging system parts	$10,000	lump sum	$10,000
Replacement of Access Restricting Signs	$50	5 per year	$250
Annual Total (2008 Estimate)			**$34,400**
Present Worth (year 2009 Dollars)[3]			**$426,870**

[3] 30 years at 7.00%

The calculation of present worth costs for alternatives comparison does not account for inflation.

Section 5
Comparative Evaluation of Corrective Measure Alternatives

This section presents a comparative evaluation of the three corrective measure alternatives identified in Section 3. Each alternative is assessed, using the criteria introduced in Section 4.1, with respect to the other two alternatives. Their ability to meet the corrective measure objectives is also compared.

5.1 Comparative Evaluation of Alternatives

Performance

With respect to performance, Alternatives B and C are considered equally effective. Existing data has shown that contaminant levels are, in most cases, effectively attenuated below NC2Ls within 150 feet of the landfill boundary. Although some performance uncertainties exist with respect to the effectiveness of groundwater extraction system, it is still considered equally effective to natural attenuation. Both are expected to perform better than Alternative A, from an effectiveness standpoint. Alternative D is the most effective remedy; however there are inherent uncertainties to in-situ treatment effectiveness in the fractured bedrock.

Reliability

The reliability of Alternatives A and B is considered greater than Alternatives C and D. Alternatives C and D are considered to be most subject to failures and operational problems.

Ease of Implementation

Implementability is highest for Alternative A and lowest for Alternative C. Alternative B would fall in between the two, with regards to this criterion, however Alternative B is already in place. Alternative D would be similar to Alternative C, but would not require as much piping and there is no effluent to handle.

Safety, Cross-Media Impacts and Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination

Alternative A ranks the highest of the three with regards to this criterion, since no residual contamination would be generated and no systems would be installed. Alternative C would offer the greatest opportunity for exposure to residual contamination since leachate-contaminated groundwater would be extracted and piped to the sewer. Alternatives C and D may pose aesthetic and noise issues for the adjoining community.

Time

Implementation of Alternatives A and B require the least time, followed by Alternatives D and C. The time for groundwater in the contaminated areas to meet NC2L standards is expected to be the shortest for Alternative D, followed by Alternatives C, B, and A.

Costs
The cost of implementing and operating Alternatives C and D are both similar, and both are greater than Alternatives A and B. Alternative B is inherently more costly than Alternative A, the "No Action" alternative.

Ability to Meet the Corrective Measure Objectives
Each of the alternatives would be roughly equal with respect to protection of human health and the environment in the near term, due to the depth to groundwater and the lack of exposure points. Alternatives B, C and D are more protective of human health and the environment in the long term due to the required groundwater monitoring associated with each. Monitoring could help identify if the likelihood of exposure has increased (e.g. detected contaminants in surface water).

Each of the alternatives is likely to attain groundwater protection standards, however the extent and volume of groundwater above NC2Ls is expected to differ somewhat. Alternative D would likely provide the quickest and greatest reduction in the volume and extent of groundwater exceeding NC2Ls, followed by Alternatives C, B and A, however, because existing contaminant levels are already low, pump and treat effectiveness will be lowered.

Better control of the source release would be provided by Alternatives C and D, compared to Alternative A and B, since Alternative C includes collection of contaminated groundwater.

All alternatives would comply with relevant standards for management of waste since no waste would be generated.

5.2 Summary of Comparative Evaluation

Table 5-1 summarizes the comparative evaluation of alternatives. Alternatives are ranked with respect to each other and are assigned a "1", "2" or "3". A "1" designates that the alternative ranked the highest (e.g. best meets the particular criterion). When two or more alternatives ranked the same, a "t" was given to indicate that the alternatives are approximately equal for the particular criterion.

A quantitative ranking of the alternatives based on the qualitative information presented above suggests that Alternative B most meets the evaluation criteria. Alternative B had the lowest (best) total ranking (16) followed by Alternative D (20) and Alternatives A and C (21).

Table 5-1

Comparative Evaluation of Corrective Measure Alternatives

Criteria	***A*** No Action	***B*** Institutional Controls, Natural Attenuation, Capping, Gas Recovery, and Property Acquisition	***C*** Institutional Controls and Groundwater Extraction	***D*** Institutional Controls and In-Situ Groundwater Treatment (Air Sparging)
Performance	3	2(t)	2(t)	1
Reliability	1(t)	1(t)	3(t)	3(t)
Ease of Implementation	1	2	3(t)	3(t)
Safety, Cross Media Impacts, Control of Exposure to Residual Contamination, and Community Factors	1(t)	1(t)	3(t)	3(t)
Time …to Implement …to Meet Objectives	1(t) 3	1(t) 2(t)	3(t) 2(t)	3(t) 1(t)
Costs	1(t)	1(t)	3(t)	3(t)
Ability to Meet the Objective of Protecting Human Health and the Environment	3	1(t)	1(t)	1(t)
Ability to Meet the Objective of Attaining Groundwater Protection Standards	3	2	1(t)	1(t)
Ability to Meet the Objective of Source Control	3	3	1(t)	1(t)
Ability to Meet the Objective of Complying with Standards for the Management of Waste	1(t)	1(t)	1(t)	1(t)
Total	**21**	**16**	**21**	**20**

Section 6
Recommended Alternative

6.1 Recommended Alternative

Based on the results of the detailed and comparative analysis and current Solid Waste Section rules, a combination of Alternative B and D is the recommended corrective measure for addressing groundwater contamination at the North Wake Unlined landfill. Alternative B is somewhat already in place and operational and includes the following components:

Institutional Controls – Wake County has already implemented a variety of controls designed to prevent exposure to contaminants. Site access is controlled using chain-link fencing around the landfill boundary to block entrance. As a component of the corrective measure, "No Trespassing" signs would be placed along the fence line and replaced on an as needed basis.

Future institutional controls could include property acquisition. In addition, deed restrictions on County owned property and groundwater use restrictions may also be instituted, although these measures are not recommended at this time.

Monitored Natural Attenuation - Groundwater at the site would be monitored for its ability to naturally attenuate. Current MNA parameter monitoring frequency and analysis will be continued at MW-5, -6, -8, -9, -10, -11, and TB-1a, in addition to the required annual and semi-annual monitoring of the monitoring wells for Appendix I and detected Appendix II constituents.

Landfill Gas Recovery – The low permeability cap and landfill gas recovery system were installed as part of the landfill closure in 1996 and are regularly maintained. The perimeter landfill gas recovery system surrounding the western, northern, and eastern portions of the landfill is regularly monitored and maintained. Because the active perimeter gas recovery system intersects the groundwater table, it contributes to the removal of VOCs from the potentially contaminated groundwater and the cut-off trench to the east provides a shallow groundwater barrier.

6.2 Conclusion

With the exception of the proposed in-situ remediation system, all of the above controls are already in place. The contaminated groundwater is not currently or expected to be a source of drinking water and is not hydraulically connected with waters which the hazardous constituents are migrating or are likely to migrate in concentrations that would exceed surface water contamination levels. The MNA parameters collected to date indicate that factors are present which favor natural attenuation and natural attenuation is occurring across the site, as shown in the Figures in Appendix B. An air sparging program will be used to remediate localized shallow fractured bedrock aquifer contamination and minimize offsite migration of concentrations exceeding the NC2L standards.

6.3 Schedule for Remedy Implementation

Part of the remedy is already in place and operational. The results of the corrective measure assessment will be presented in a public meeting with interested and affected parties, following NCDENR review of this report. Following the public meeting, the selected remedy will be formally submitted to the NCDENR in a brief letter, along with the North Carolina Solid Waste Groundwater Corrective Action Permit Modification Application and related documents. Assuming timely NCDENR review and approval, the MNA with Institutional Controls remedy could be implemented immediately, as it has essentially been in use since 2000. Construction of the air sparging system will begin immediately following approval of the Corrective Action Plan. The next semi-annual sampling of the wells is scheduled for Fall 2009, at which time, the additional MNA parameters will be collected from MW-5, -6, -8, -9, -10, -11, and TB-1a.

6.4 References

Camp Dresser and McKee, January 2000. North Wake Unlined Landfill. Groundwater Assessment Report.

Camp Dresser and McKee, April 2009. North Wake Unlined Landfill. Semi-Annual Monitoring Sampling Report (March 2009 Sampling Event).

Appendix A

Site Groundwater Data Tables

Table 1
Groundwater Elevations - March 2009
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

	Groundwater Elevations		
Monitoring Well I.D.	**TOC Elevation (feet AMSL)**	**Depth to Groundwater (feet)**	**Groundwater Elevation (feet AMSL)**
MW-5R	225.28	15.02	210.26
MW-6	219.80	12.55	207.25
MW-6d	218.61	12.81	205.80
MW-7	234.15	11.75	222.40
MW-8	226.38	10.07	216.31
MW-8d	226.16	11.31	214.85
MW-9	242.69	29.90	212.79
MW-10	274.76	44.18	230.58
MW-10d	274.54	47.40	227.14
MW-11	343.63	44.31	299.32
MW-11d	343.27	44.51	298.76
MW-22	254.62	34.92	219.70
MW-23	274.83	45.50	229.33
MW-23d	274.89	45.73	229.16
MW-24	266.64	44.94	221.70
MW-27	**ABANDONED**		
MW-28	237.89	17.98	219.91
MW-28d	238.44	17.71	220.73
MW-29d	275.06	42.23	232.83
MW-30	282.32	51.22	231.10
MW-31	261.67	32.53	229.14
MW-31d	260.92	31.95	228.97
MW-32	242.99	15.73	227.26
TB-1a	255.05	27.54	227.51
TB-1a deep	256.01	29.82	226.19
MW-33	222.01	10.87	211.14
MW-34	221.96	13.12	208.84
MW-34d	222.16	13.57	208.59
MW-35	223.48	16.71	206.77
MW-36	237.40	26.69	210.71
MW-36d	237.68	26.91	210.77

NOTES:
(1) AMSL - Above Mean Sea Level
(2) TOC - Top of Casing
(3) MW-27 was abandoned on November 24, 2008 in accordance with 15A NCAC 2C .0113

Table 2
Summary of Calculated Groundwater Flow Velocities
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

	Sampling Date:		11/11/2005		4/13/2006		11/9/2006		4/17/2007		11/9/2007	
Monitoring Well Designation	Hydraulic Conductivity ft/day (cm/s)	Effective Porosity	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)
MW-5R	0.33 1.16E-04	0.18	0.0179	3.28E-02	0.0417	7.65E-02	0.0399	7.32E-02	0.0125	2.29E-02	0.0119	2.18E-02
MW-6	10.58 3.73E-03	0.13	0.0172	1.40E+00	0.0172	1.40E+00	0.0172	1.40E+00	0.0132	1.07E+00	0.0125	1.02E+00
MW-7	1.15 4.06E-04	0.18	0.0172	1.10E-01	0.0172	1.10E-01	0.0172	1.10E-01	0.0208	1.33E-01	0.0278	1.78E-01
MW-8	3.61 1.27E-03	0.18	0.0217	4.35E-01	0.0313	6.28E-01	0.0313	6.28E-01	0.0135	2.71E-01	0.0125	2.51E-01
MW-9	1.22 4.30E-04	0.30	0.0152	6.18E-02	0.0152	6.18E-02	0.0152	6.18E-02	0.0200	8.13E-02	0.0172	6.99E-02
MW-10	0.509 1.80E-04	0.05	0.0250	2.55E-01	0.0250	2.55E-01	0.0250	2.55E-01	0.0417	4.25E-01	0.0556	5.66E-01
MW-11	5.66 2.00E-03	0.13	0.0200	8.71E-01	0.0204	8.88E-01	0.0204	8.88E-01	0.0213	9.27E-01	0.0213	9.27E-01
MW-22	0.07 2.47E-05	0.18	0.0278	1.08E-02	0.0385	1.50E-02	0.0385	1.50E-02	0.0400	1.56E-02	0.0278	1.08E-02
MW-23	3.59 1.27E-03	0.30	0.0208	2.49E-01	0.0227	2.72E-01	0.0227	2.72E-01	0.0208	2.49E-01	0.0196	2.35E-01
MW-24	0.34 1.20E-04	0.30	0.0161	1.82E-02	0.0156	1.77E-02	0.0156	1.77E-02	0.0313	3.55E-02	0.0417	4.73E-02
MW-36	17.56 6.19E-03	0.30										
MW-36D	0.78 2.75E-04	0.10										

Notes:
MW-36/36D installed November 2008

Table 2
Summary of Calculated Groundwater Flow Velocities
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

	Sampling Date:		5/15-21/2008		11/5-08/2008		3/10-13/2009	
Monitoring Well Designation	Hydraulic Conductivity ft/day (cm/s)	Effective Porosity	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)	Gradient	Average Linear Velocity (ft/day)
MW-5R	0.33 1.16E-04	0.18	0.0230	4.21E-02	0.0232	4.26E-02	0.0229	4.20E-02
MW-6	10.58 3.73E-03	0.13	0.0202	1.65E+00	0.0203	1.65E+00	0.0203	1.65E+00
MW-7	1.15 4.06E-04	0.18	0.0250	1.60E-01	0.0251	1.61E-01	0.0251	1.60E-01
MW-8	3.61 1.27E-03	0.18	0.0243	4.87E-01	0.0242	4.85E-01	0.0241	4.84E-01
MW-9	1.22 4.30E-04	0.30	0.0197	8.00E-02	0.0198	8.05E-02	0.0197	8.02E-02
MW-10	0.509 1.80E-04	0.05	0.0168	1.71E-01	0.0171	1.74E-01	0.0170	1.73E-01
MW-11	5.66 2.00E-03	0.13	0.0212	9.23E-01	0.0213	9.29E-01	0.0211	9.17E-01
MW-22	0.07 2.47E-05	0.18	0.0181	7.03E-03	0.0181	7.04E-03	0.0181	7.04E-03
MW-23	3.59 1.27E-03	0.30	0.0194	2.33E-01	0.0196	2.34E-01	0.0196	2.34E-01
MW-24	0.34 1.20E-04	0.30	0.0244	2.76E-02	0.0246	2.78E-02	0.0245	2.78E-02
MW-36	17.56 6.19E-03	0.30					0.0194	1.14E+00
MW-36D	0.78 2.75E-04	0.10					0.0193	1.50E-01

Notes:
MW-36/36D installed November 2008

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-11	15-Apr-94		221				23	54	14							**50**	88
MW-11	11-May-94		146				19	55	14							**50**	83
MW-11	10-Jun-94		191				27	111	7.6		20					**90**	114
MW-11	3-Oct-94		143			16	26	74	8							**60**	85
MW-11	13-Jun-95																
MW-11	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-11	31-Oct-95	11	842	**8**		24	**71**	331	**26**		87					**117**	314
MW-11	22-Mar-96						15			**1.8**						**51**	70
MW-11	29-Nov-96	35															
MW-11	22-Apr-97																130
MW-11	31-Oct-97																66
MW-11	11-May-98																
MW-11	8-Dec-98				**2.1**												
MW-11	14-Apr-99																
MW-11	30-Nov-99																
MW-11	5-Apr-00				1.2												
MW-11	18-Dec-00																
MW-11	18-Apr-01																
MW-11	27-Nov-01		311					16.5									29.7
MW-11	5-Apr-02																
MW-11	5-Nov-02																
MW-11	15-Apr-03																
MW-11	29-Oct-03																
MW-11	18-Nov-04																
MW-11	21-Apr-05																
MW-11	11-Nov-05																83
MW-11	14-Apr-06																
MW-11	10-Nov-06																82
MW-11	20-Apr-07																21
MW-11	8-Nov-07		332	2.1				39	12							**58**	113
MW-11	15-May-08		77.8J			5.4J		10.5	3.92J				4.48J		**5.63**	2.9J	16.1
MW-11	10-Nov-08		53.1J	3.03	0.35JB	2.73JB		5.94JB	9.78JB				4.02JB			1.14J	8.18J
MW-11	11-Mar-09		99.1JB	**6.29**	0.24J	4.39JB	6.51JB	13.6B	5.84JB				6.34JB			**20.3JB**	20.7
MW-11d	31-Oct-95				**2**												
MW-11d	22-Mar-96				1												
MW-11d	29-Nov-96		26														
MW-11d	22-Apr-97																54
MW-11d	31-Oct-97																26
MW-11d	11-May-98																69
MW-11d	8-Dec-98				**1.9**												
MW-11d	14-Apr-99																
MW-11d	30-Nov-99																
MW-11d	5-Apr-00																
MW-11d	18-Dec-00																
MW-11d	18-Apr-01																
MW-11d	27-Nov-01																
MW-11d	5-Apr-02									0.3							
MW-11d	5-Nov-02																
MW-11d	15-Apr-03																
MW-11d	29-Oct-03																
MW-11d	18-Nov-04																
MW-11d	21-Apr-05																
MW-11d	11-Nov-05																
MW-11d	14-Apr-06																
MW-11d	10-Nov-06																
MW-11d	20-Apr-07																
MW-11d	8-Nov-07																16
MW-11d	15-May-08		59.7J	3.07		6.41J		8.21J	4.59J				3.98J		**6.91**	0.97J	9.82J
MW-11d	10-Nov-08		37.6J		0.36JB	1.94JB		5.8JB	8.5JB				4.37JB			**4.09J**	4.14J
MW-11d	16-Mar-09	4.11J	87.7JB	**6.11B**	0.41J	7.14JB		15.3B	6.94JB				1.22JB			**20.1**	21.9

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-5	6-Mar-94		76														110
MW-5	15-Apr-94		60		0.9								**62**				47
MW-5	11-May-94		58		0.5			11									28
MW-5	31-May-94		66				11				17						63
MW-5	10-Jun-94		54						2.5								20
MW-5	30-Sep-94		48										7.3				10
MW-5	13-Jun-95																
MW-5	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-5	31-Oct-95				1												
MW-5 (dup)	31-Oct-95				1												
MW-5	30-Jan-96																237
MW-5	29-Nov-96	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-5	22-Apr-97	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-5	1-Nov-97	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-5	6-May-98	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-5	6-Aug-98			2.2	**4.9**	32	24									68	190
MW-5	8-Dec-98				1.5												
MW-5	16-Apr-99				1.5		28.3										
MW-5	2-Dec-99				1		49.8										
MW-5	11-Apr-00						34.8										
MW-5	20-Dec-00						26.9										
MW-5	16-Apr-01						32.1										
MW-5	3-Dec-01						19.3										
MW-5	12-Apr-02						34.9										70.5
MW-5	5-Nov-02						37.7										
MW-5	17-Apr-03						55.1										
MW-5	4-Nov-03		533		**3.06**		**113**										
MW-5 (dup)	4-Nov-03		590		**3.48**		**123**										
MW-5	14-Apr-04				1.52		55.3										
MW-5	18-Nov-04						**166**										57
MW-5	21-Apr-05						18										
MW-5	11-Nov-05						**139**										57
MW-5	14-Apr-06						**90**										
MW-5	9-Nov-06						23										
MW-5	19-Apr-07		125				16										
MW-5	9-Nov-07		164														
MW-5R	21-Apr-04	12.4															
MW-5R	21-May-08		94J	1.42J		2.36J		4.54J									44.1
MW-5R	10-Nov-08		106		0.53JB	2.74JB	5.65J	4.61JB	6.76JB		9.87J		4.41JB			2.76J	8.23J
MW-5R	11-Mar-09		116B	0.63J		4.97JB	10.5B	5.48JB	4.30JB		5.44J		7.03JB			**4.16JB**	9.72J

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-6	6-Mar-94		190			32	10	33	**18**		33					**63**	51
MW-6	15-Apr-94		144			20	12	20	11				7.3			**50**	27
MW-6	11-May-94		175			30	13	33	9.3				7.3			**70**	35
MW-6	1-Jun-94		260	**5.4**		49	16	28	14		13					**100**	72
MW-6	25-Aug-94		68										7.3				
MW-6	29-Sep-94		110			19		19	6.9				7.3			**40**	16
MW-6	13-Jun-95			2		22				**2.6**						**41**	
MW-6	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.5	NS	NS	NS			NS	NS
MW-6	31-Oct-95									**2.5**							
MW-6	30-Jan-96			**7**		44	12		13	**1.8**						**65**	61
MW-6	29-Nov-96		150	2		20	6	21	6	0.83						**52**	40
MW-6	22-Apr-97					12				**1.1**							67
MW-6	2-Nov-98					14				0.54							28
MW-6	6-May-98									0.65							
MW-6 (dup)	6-May-98																
MW-6	8-Dec-98				1.4					0.81							
MW-6	16-Apr-99									0.7							
MW-6	2-Dec-99									0.9							
MW-6	11-Apr-00									**1.1**							
MW-6	19-Dec-00									**1.8**							
MW-6	16-Apr-01									**1.9**							
MW-6	3-Dec-01									0.6							
MW-6	12-Apr-02																
MW-6	5-Nov-02									0.9							
MW-6	17-Apr-03						14.4			0.7							
MW-6	4-Nov-03						30.1			0.464							
MW-6	14-Apr-04	20.6					48.1			0.283							
MW-6	18-Nov-04				2	47	**88**			0.53						**41**	
MW-6	21-Apr-05						33										
MW-6	11-Nov-05				1	19	50										
MW-6	14-Apr-06				2	10	69										
MW-6	9-Nov-06					10	65										
MW-6	19-Apr-07		461				**89**										13
MW-6	9-Nov-07		701				61										
MW-6	20-May-08		547	3.34	0.57J	4.84J	**92.3**	14.5	5.31J	0.167J	16.3J		1.6J		**14.8**		32.9
MW-6	11-Nov-08		759B	3.61B	0.25J	7.98JB	**74.4**	20.2B	5.74J		19.1J		10.0B		**15.0**	**7.56JB**	6.90JB
MW-6	11-Mar-09		477B		0.58J	5.14JB	**72.1B**	10.4B	7.43JB	0.143J	14J		8.39JB		**7.8**	**3.78JB**	4.50J
MW-6d	8-Jun-01																
MW-6d	4-Dec-01																
MW-6d	9-Apr-02																
MW-6d	7-Nov-02																
MW-6d	16-Apr-03																
MW-6d	5-Nov-03																
MW-6d	21-Apr-04					17.3											
MW-6d	18-Nov-04				1												
MW-6d	21-Apr-05				1												
MW-6d	11-Nov-05				1												
MW-6d	11-Apr-06				1												
MW-6d	9-Nov-06																
MW-6d	20-Apr-07																11
MW-6d	8-Nov-07																
MW-6d	20-May-08		34.2J	0.98J		5.56J		6.79J								0.61J	
MW-6d	6-Nov-08		80.3JB	1.96B		16.3B	4.52J	15.9B	9.04JB		6.77J		8.74JB		**6.3**	**17.8JB**	13.2
MW-6d (dup)	6-Nov-08	2.57J	77.0JB	**11.6B**		13.5B	4.63J	14.0B	9.24JB		5.22J		8.35JB			**17.9JB**	12
MW-6d	12-Mar-09		69.7J			2.30J		5.24JB							**5.51**	**7.89JB**	6.82J

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-7	6-Mar-94		190			48	17	110	**22**		21					**67**	82
MW-7	15-Apr-94		136			30	11	53	**25**		20					**40**	56
MW-7	11-May-94		51			30	11	24	6.8							**40**	29
MW-7	31-May-94		350	**5.4**		**89**	30	180	**34**		40					**140**	150
MW-7	25-Aug-94		44					25	2.7								19
MW-7	29-Sep-94		49			15		26	4.7							**20**	20
MW-7	13-Jun-95																
MW-7	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS			NS	NS
MW-7	31-Oct-95																
MW-7	30-Jan-96			2		35			10								
MW-7	29-Nov-96		17														
MW-7	21-Apr-97									0.38							
MW-7 (dup)	21-Apr-97									0.22							
MW-7	1-Nov-97									0.58							48
MW-7	6-May-98									0.24							
MW-7	8-Dec-98				**2.6**	15				0.25							
MW-7	16-Apr-99																
MW-7	2-Dec-99									0.2							
MW-7	11-Apr-00									0.2							
MW-7 (dup)	11-Apr-00									0.2							
MW-7	19-Dec-00									0.3							
MW-7 (dup)	19-Dec-00									0.3							
MW-7	16-Apr-01																
MW-7	4-Dec-01																
MW-7	9-Apr-02						10.1										
MW-7	6-Nov-02																
MW-7	16-Apr-03						20.3										
MW-7	5-Nov-03						19.2										
MW-7	21-Apr-04						29.5										
MW-7 (dup)	21-Apr-04	12.1					24										
MW-7	18-Nov-04						44										
MW-7	21-Apr-05						44										
MW-7	11-Nov-05						15										
MW-7	11-Apr-06						26										
MW-7	9-Nov-06				**2**	36	29			0.63							56
MW-7	20-Apr-07						16										18
MW-7	8-Nov-07				1	11	14	13									20
MW-7	15-May-08	2.16J	43.4J			10.8	19.3	12.6	4.28J		9.94J	10.4	5.99J		**21.1**		9.72J
MW-7	5-Nov-08	2.68J	38.3JB	**6.84B**		3.42JB		6.81JB	9.68JB				8.61JB	38JB		3.03JB	6.38J
MW-7	10-Mar-09		10.7J			8.23J	19.3	8.17J	4.72J		6.35J		3.42JB			**9.49J**	

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-8	6-Mar-94		120			17		26			13					**35**	49
MW-8	15-Apr-94		97					22	4.2		20					**30**	35
MW-8	11-May-94		89					20	4.3							**20**	26
MW-8	31-May-94		330	**5.4**		**81**	34	90	**16**		39					**150**	150
MW-8	25-Aug-94		76					13	2.7								21
MW-8	30-Sep-94		82			20		14	5.4							**20**	19
MW-8	13-Jun-95					38	11									**87**	
MW-8	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS
MW-8	31-Oct-95																
MW-8	30-Jan-96			3		35	12									**64**	63
MW-8 (dup)	30-Jan-96			3		36	12									**63**	76
MW-8	29-Nov-96		120			14	5	21								**31**	54
MW-8 (dup)	29-Nov-96		82														19
MW-8	21-Apr-97					19											
MW-8	2-Nov-97																
MW-8	6-May-98																
MW-8	8-Dec-98				1.2												
MW-8	16-Apr-99																
MW-8 (dup)	16-Apr-99																
MW-8	2-Dec-99	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS
MW-8	11-Apr-00				**2.1**												
MW-8	20-Dec-00				1.5		17.1										
MW-8	16-Apr-01																
MW-8	3-Dec-01																
MW-8	12-Apr-02																
MW-8	5-Nov-02				1.2	15.3											
MW-8	17-Apr-03																
MW-8	4-Nov-03																
MW-8	14-Apr-04					19.8											
MW-8	18-Nov-04					**198**	**72**		13		**114**					**281**	161
MW-8	21-Apr-05																
MW-8	11-Nov-05			3		31			14								116
MW-8	14-Apr-06			3	**2**	30			14							**50**	111
MW-8	9-Nov-06			2		34			11								99
MW-8	20-Apr-07																
MW-8	9-Nov-07																15
MW-8	20-May-08		26.4J			4.72J		3.99J		0.091J							6.16J
MW-8	10-Nov-08		25.6J	2.49	0.33JB	3.27JB		4.37JB	9.17JB				4.03JB				
MW-8	11-Mar-09		37.1JB	2.75		4.38JB	4.48JB	5.02JB		0.045J	4.91J		7.06JB			**3.71JB**	
MW-8d	8-Jun-01											12.7					
MW-8d	4-Dec-01																
MW-8d	9-Apr-02																
MW-8d (dup)	9-Apr-02																
MW-8d	7-Nov-02																
MW-8d	16-Apr-03																
MW-8d	4-Nov-03																
MW-8d	21-Apr-04																
MW-8d	18-Nov-04																
MW-8d	21-Apr-05																
MW-8d	11-Nov-05																
MW-8d	14-Apr-06																
MW-8d	10-Nov-06																
MW-8d	20-Apr-07																
MW-8d	8-Nov-07																11
MW-8d	15-May-08		19.1J			6.86J		3.98J	4.10J				4.16J		**8.59**		4.53J
MW-8d	6-Nov-08	2.49J	25.2JB	3.61B		7.57JB		6.96JB	5.20JB				8.71JB			**6.56JB**	4.8J
MW-8d	16-Mar-09		44.5JB	**9.80B**		6.95JB		6.72JB	6.18JB				2.01JB			**9.36JB**	9.52J

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-9	6-Mar-94		210														
MW-9	15-Apr-94		199				11										
MW-9	11-May-94		193				10		2.7								14
MW-9	31-May-94		270			24	14	25	21							**23**	120
MW-9	25-Aug-94		135														14
MW-9	29-Sep-94		123						4.2								12
MW-9	13-Jun-95						14										
MW-9	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-9	31-Oct-95																
MW-9	30-Jan-96			**4**	1	20	16		**17**								65
MW-9	29-Nov-96		140														21
MW-9	22-Apr-97																
MW-9	2-Nov-97						11										37
MW-9	6-May-98																
MW-9	8-Dec-98				1.5												
MW-9	16-Apr-99																
MW-9	2-Dec-99																
MW-9	11-Apr-00																
MW-9	20-Dec-00																
MW-9	16-Apr-01																
MW-9	3-Dec-01	11	166														
MW-9 (dup)	3-Dec-01	12.2	160														
MW-9	12-Apr-02														**10.8**		
MW-9	5-Nov-02						10.1										
MW-9	17-Apr-03						10.6										
MW-9	4-Nov-03						11.3										
MW-9	14-Apr-04	20.6					11.8										
MW-9	18-Nov-04				**7**		10										
MW-9	21-Apr-05																
MW-9	11-Nov-05																
MW-9	14-Apr-06				**3**												
MW-9	9-Nov-06																
MW-9	19-Apr-07		289	2	1	17		12	**21**								122
MW-9	9-Nov-07		186														16
MW-9	20-May-08		190	2.9		27		5.8J	10.6		11.3J	8.29J	2.84J		**10.4**		5.58J
MW-9 (dup)	20-May-08		190			22.7	5.15J	5.87J	8.38J				3.16J		**11.1**		6.10J
MW-9	11-Nov-08		218B	0.3JB		12.4B	6.99J	9.59JB	13.5			8.93J	11.1B		**16.9**	**8.49JB**	14.4B
MW-9	11-Mar-09		178B			7.63JB	9.53JB	3.17JB	10.3B				8.89JB			**4.57JB**	
MW-9 (MW-18 dup)	11-Mar-09		179B			9.27JB	9.33JB	3.39JB	6.28JB		6.45J		8.78JB		**7.3**	**10.8JB**	9.56J

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-10	31-Oct-95																
MW-10	30-Jan-96																
MW-10	28-Nov-96		71				14										
MW-10	22-Apr-97					31	23									**43**	98
MW-10	1-Nov-97	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-10	5-May-98				1.3				13	0.34							720
MW-10	18-Aug-98				**4.9**												150
MW-10	10-Sep-98																
MW-10	6-Oct-98				1.2												
MW-10	8-Dec-98				2												
MW-10	11-Jan-99																
MW-10	8-Feb-99																
MW-10 (dup)	8-Feb-99																
MW-10	16-Apr-99																
MW-10	2-Dec-99									0.3							
MW-10	12-Apr-00																
MW-10	20-Dec-00																
MW-10	17-Apr-01																
MW-10	3-Dec-01																
MW-10	12-Apr-02																
MW-10	5-Nov-02									0.5							
MW-10 (dup)	5-Nov-02									0.4							
MW-10	17-Apr-03									0.4							
MW-10	4-Nov-03																
MW-10	14-Apr-04																
MW-10	18-Nov-04				**2**												
MW-10	21-Apr-05																
MW-10	11-Nov-05									**1.1**							
MW-10	14-Apr-06																
MW-10	9-Nov-06																
MW-10	19-Apr-07									0.38							
MW-10	9-Nov-07		399														
MW-10	20-May-08		24.1J		0.21J	2.08J		6.28J		0.374					**6.74**		8.03J
MW-10	11-Nov-08	5.71JB	174B	**12.2B**	0.84J	9.86JB	4.73J	32.9B	**25.2**	**7.53**	8.21J		9.75JB			**24.9JB**	59.3B
MW-10	11-Mar-09		29.3JB		0.20J	2.27JB	5.65JB	3.07JB	7.77JB	0.247J	5.41J		7.13JB			**4.32JB**	7.14J
MW-10d	8-Jun-01							30		0.3							
MW-10d	4-Dec-01																
MW-10d	9-Apr-02																
MW-10d	5-Nov-02																
MW-10d	17-Apr-03	10.9			1.1	10.1											
MW-10d	4-Nov-03																
MW-10d	26-Apr-04																
MW-10d	18-Nov-04																
MW-10d	21-Apr-05				**5**												
MW-10d	11-Nov-05				**5**												
MW-10d	14-Apr-06				**4**												
MW-10d	10-Nov-06																
MW-10d	20-Apr-07																
MW-10d	8-Nov-07				1												
MW-10d	20-May-08	9.06J	57.9J	0.5J		33		31.8	**21.9**	0.123J	18.7J		3.92J		**5.93**	**18.9J**	28.6
MW-10d	6-Nov-08	5.94J	40.7JB	**5.27B**		**149B**	5.12J	22.9B	**15.1B**		80.3		8.15JB			**16.7JB**	22
MW-10d	12-Mar-09			0.75J		4.38J		3.46JB	4.98J							**9.69JB**	8.62J

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-22	9-Jun-97				**2.9**		**130**				66						
MW-22	18-Aug-97	11.9			**4.4**		**229**		14.3		**164**						68.6
MW-22	2-Nov-97				1.5		**210**		12		**130**						230
MW-22	6-May-98						**110**				51						80
MW-22	18-Aug-98				**9.6**		**110**										210
MW-22	10-Sep-98						**100**										
MW-22	6-Oct-98						**99**										
MW-22	8-Dec-98				**1.9**		**130**										
MW-22	11-Jan-99				1.5		**202**				55						
MW-22	8-Feb-99				**2**		**168**										
MW-22	16-Apr-99				**4.1**	10.1	**261**		**21**		70.9						
MW-22	2-Dec-99				**7.4**		**160**										
MW-22	12-Apr-00				**6.1**		**98.1**										
MW-22	20-Dec-00				**2.4**		60.7										
MW-22	17-Apr-01				1.2		31.4										
MW-22	5-Dec-01						26.9										
MW-22	9-Apr-02				**2.1**		63.5										
MW-22	6-Nov-02				1.2		26.5										
MW-22	17-Apr-03																
MW-22	5-Nov-03				1.19	11.1	30.1		12.9								
MW-22	26-Apr-04																
MW-22	18-Nov-04				**3**		15										
MW-22	22-Apr-05			3	**3**	17	48		**62**								196
MW-22	11-Nov-05				**2**		13										
MW-22	14-Apr-06				**2**		12										
MW-22	10-Nov-06				**2**		15										
MW-22	20-Apr-07				**2**												20
MW-22	8-Nov-07				**2.8**		24		13								32
MW-22	15-May-08		45J		**4.66**	8.9J	25.1	7.09J	10.3		16.2J	7.95J	5.69J		**7.37**	1.3J	16.3
MW-22	6-Nov-08	4.58J	75.5JB	2.45B	**6.68**	9.28JB	44.9	9.38JB	**15.4B**		27.5J	10.5	10.6B			**20.7JB**	32.6
MW-22	12-Mar-09		21.5J		**10.3**	2.39J	26.9	1.92JB	4.02J		11.6J		1.79J		**6.65**	**35.1B**	10.3

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-23	9-Jun-97																
MW-23	18-Aug-97	10.3			**2.3**		14.2		**18.8**		59.1						
MW-23	2-Nov-97		600	**4.7**	**2.6**	**54**	35		**56**		**110**					**170**	260
MW-23	5-May-98																
MW-23	18-Aug-98				**5.4**	24	10		**17**							**50**	150
MW-23	10-Sep-98																
MW-23	6-Oct-98				1.6					0.26							
MW-23	8-Dec-98				1.7												
MW-23 (dup)	8-Dec-98				**2.3**												
MW-23	11-Jan-99									0.20							
MW-23	8-Feb-99									0.20							
MW-23	16-Apr-99					11.2			**23.8**	0.7							
MW-23	2-Dec-99									0.2							
MW-23 (dup)	2-Dec-99																
MW-23	12-Apr-00									**1.1**							
MW-23	20-Dec-00																
MW-23	17-Apr-01																
MW-23	4-Dec-01																
MW-23	11-Apr-02																
MW-23	6-Nov-02																
MW-23	17-Apr-03																
MW-23 (dup)	17-Apr-03																
MW-23	5-Nov-03																
MW-23	26-Apr-04																
MW-23	18-Nov-04																
MW-23	21-Apr-05																
MW-23	11-Nov-05																
MW-23	14-Apr-06																
MW-23	9-Nov-06					13		39									133
MW-23	20-Apr-07		133	2		10	23	29								**28**	102
MW-23	8-Nov-07		123	1			21	21								**27**	92
MW-23	15-May-08	3.35J	90.7J	6.57		18.8	4.50J	32.7	11.7		10.3J		4.56J			**30**	49.2
MW-23	6-Nov-08	4.07J	65.4JB	1.96B		10.6B		18.3B	13.2B		8.28J		8.41JB			**17.5JB**	15.9
MW-23	12-Mar-09		62.1J	2.99		11.9	3.31J	22.1B	13		7.02J					**36.7B**	35

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-23d	5-May-98																80
MW-23d	18-Aug-98				4												63
MW-23d	10-Sep-98				1.6				11								
MW-23d	6-Oct-98				**2.0**					0.22							60
MW-23d	8-Dec-98				**1.8**												83
MW-23d	11-Jan-99																
MW-23d	8-Feb-99																
MW-23d	16-Apr-99																
MW-23d	2-Dec-99																
MW-23d	12-Apr-00																
MW-23d	20-Dec-00									0.8							
MW-23d	17-Apr-01																
MW-23d	4-Dec-01																
MW-23d	11-Apr-02																
MW-23d	6-Nov-02																
MW-23d	17-Apr-03																
MW-23d	5-Nov-03																
MW-23d	26-Apr-04																
MW-23d	18-Nov-04																
MW-23d	21-Apr-05				**2**				12								55
MW-23d	11-Nov-05				1												
MW-23d	14-Apr-06																
MW-23d	9-Nov-06																
MW-23d	20-Apr-07																
MW-23d	8-Nov-07																10
MW-23d	15-May-08		36.5J			5.10J		3.39J					3.39J				
MW-23d	6-Nov-08		48.8JB	**7.34B**		6.57JB		5.63JB	6.76JB				8.81JB			**8.38JB**	4.77J
MW-23d	12-Mar-09		24.4J			1.71J		3.23JB								**9.35JB**	12.6
MW-24	9-Jun-97																
MW-24	18-Aug-97				1.7		11.2										52.8
MW-24	1-Nov-97																66
MW-24 (dup)	1-Nov-97								12	0.25							91
MW-24	5-May-98																
MW-24	18-Aug-98				**4.7**				**16**								180
MW-24 (dup)	18-Aug-98	11		2.1	**8.9**	12	11		**22**								290
MW-24	10-Sep-98									0.44							
MW-24	6-Oct-98									0.98							
MW-24	8-Dec-98									0.62							
MW-24	8-Dec-98									0.62							
MW-24	11-Jan-99									0.50							
MW-24	16-Apr-99								13.7	0.4							53.1
MW-24	2-Dec-99																
MW-24	12-Apr-00									0.3							
MW-24	20-Dec-00																
MW-24	16-Apr-01									0.3							
MW-24 (dup)	16-Apr-01																
MW-24	3-Dec-01																
MW-24	12-Apr-02																
MW-24	5-Nov-02																
MW-24	17-Apr-03									0.4							
MW-24	4-Nov-03																
MW-24	14-Apr-04																
MW-24	18-Nov-04									**1.2**							68
MW-24	21-Apr-05									0.65							
MW-24	11-Nov-05			**5**		11			**52**	2							539
MW-24	14-Apr-06			3	**2**				**41**	**1.2**							492
MW-24	9-Nov-06			**4**		10			**48**	**1.7**							464
MW-24	19-Apr-07			1					14	0.68							112
MW-24	9-Nov-07			3.3		10		15	**31**	0.98							305
MW-24	20-May-08		42.4J	3.85		3.47J		7.58J	7.16J	0.046J						**4.83J**	27.4
MW-24	11-Nov-08	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-24	12-Mar-09		52.3J			4.48J		8.35JB	9.33J	0.168J						**18JB**	57.1

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-27	4-May-98																
MW-27	7-Dec-98				1	21											
MW-27	29-Apr-99				**2.3**		19										
MW-27	3-Dec-99						13.2										
MW-27	12-Apr-00				1.7		14.5			0.5							75.6
MW-27	18-Apr-01																
MW-27	12-Apr-02				1.7		10.5										51.8
MW-27	17-Apr-03																
MW-27	26-Apr-04																
MW-27	22-Apr-05			3	1	11										**57**	216
MW-27	11-Nov-05																
MW-27	14-Apr-06																
MW-28	1-May-98																
MW-28	17-Aug-98				**5.6**												
MW-28	9-Sep-98																
MW-28	7-Oct-98				**8.8**	43	24									**80**	150
MW-28	9-Dec-98				**8.9**	39	19									**68**	140
MW-28	12-Jan-99																
MW-28	9-Feb-99																
MW-28	19-Apr-99																
MW-28	3-Dec-99																
MW-28	12-Apr-00																
MW-28	18-Apr-01																
MW-28	12-Apr-02																
MW-28	17-Apr-03																
MW-28	26-Apr-04																
MW-28	22-Apr-05			2		33	13		**17**							**67**	331
MW-28	13-Apr-06					12											66
MW-28	20-Apr-07					11											88
MW-28	19-May-08		75.8J	**4.29**		16.9	3.18J	6.12J	4.16J							**25.1**	39.9
MW-28	12-Mar-09		22.8J			4.87J							0.89J			**10.1JB**	13
MW-28d	1-May-98																
MW-28d	17-Aug-98				1.3												
MW-28d	9-Sep-98																
MW-28d	7-Oct-98																
MW-28d	9-Dec-98									0.25							
MW-28d	12-Jan-99																
MW-28d (dup)	12-Jan-99																
MW-28d	9-Feb-99																
MW-28d	19-Apr-99																
MW-28d	3-Dec-99																
MW-28d	12-Apr-00																
MW-28d	18-Apr-01																
MW-28d	12-Apr-02																
MW-28d	17-Apr-03																
MW-28d	26-Apr-04																
MW-28d	22-Apr-05																
MW-28d	13-Apr-06																
MW-28d	20-Apr-07																35
MW-28d	19-May-08			**7.76**		1.53J		5J	3.8J							1.52J	
MW-28d	12-Mar-09					2.99J		2.04JB	6.28J							**12.7JB**	8.95J

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-29d	4-May-98					13											
MW-29d	17-Aug-98																
MW-29d	9-Sep-98				1	17											
MW-29d (dup)	9-Sep-98					11											
MW-29d	7-Oct-98				**2.7**	18											53
MW-29d	9-Dec-98									0.24							
MW-29d	12-Jan-99																
MW-29d	9-Feb-99																
MW-29d	19-Apr-99																
MW-29d	3-Dec-99																
MW-29d	12-Apr-00																
MW-29d	19-Dec-00																
MW-29d	18-Apr-01																
MW-29d	4-Dec-01																
MW-29d	11-Apr-02																
MW-29d	6-Nov-02																
MW-29d	18-Apr-03																
MW-29d	5-Nov-03																
MW-29d	26-Apr-04																
MW-29d	18-Nov-04				**2**												
MW-29d	22-Apr-05																
MW-29d	11-Nov-05																
MW-29d	14-Apr-06																
MW-29d	10-Nov-06																
MW-29d	20-Apr-07							16									56
MW-29d	9-Nov-07																24
MW-29d	19-May-08		33.8J	**6.72**		4.31J		5.61J									4.02J
MW-29d	7-Nov-08		49.8JB	**10.7B**		8.58JB	3.47J	12.1B	5.59JB				8.05JB			**12.1JB**	10.5
MW-29d	10-Mar-09					11.8J		5.96J	6.80J				1.97JB		6.41	**7.77J**	8.23J
MW-30	4-May-98					**60**	19									**56**	60
MW-30	17-Aug-98				**34**	**50**	19				50					**50**	190
MW-30	9-Sep-98					16					50						140
MW-30	7-Oct-98				1.1												
MW-30	7-Dec-98				**2.6**	20											
MW-30	12-Jan-99					20											
MW-30	9-Feb-99					20											
MW-30	19-Apr-99																
MW-30	3-Dec-99																
MW-30	12-Apr-00																
MW-30	19-Dec-00																
MW-30	18-Apr-01																
MW-30	4-Dec-01																
MW-30	11-Apr-02																
MW-30	6-Nov-02																
MW-30	17-Apr-03																
MW-30	5-Nov-03																
MW-30	28-Apr-04																
MW-30	18-Nov-04					**108**	41		12		71					**107**	89
MW-30	21-Apr-05																
MW-30	11-Nov-05					13											
MW-30	14-Apr-06					15											
MW-30	10-Nov-06					32											83
MW-30	20-Apr-07		416	3		43		60	**18**							**44**	150
MW-30	9-Nov-07		419	3.2		**117**		160	**21**		52					**118**	147
MW-30	19-May-08		106	**10.1**		31.9	5.84J	58.4	4.9J		13.9J					**30.7**	25.9
MW-30	7-Nov-08		149B	0.59JB		32.0B	5.75J	58.4B	8.97JB		16.6J		8.54JB			**53.4B**	38.4
MW-30	11-Mar-09		206B	3.46		**61.6B**	20.3B	116B	14.4B		34.4J		6.51JB			**85.9B**	57.8

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-31	1-May-98																
MW-31	17-Aug-98				**14**	**88**			**38**		82					**81**	220
MW-31	9-Sep-98				**3.3**	42			**15**								530
MW-31	7-Oct-98				**1.8**	10											
MW-31	8-Dec-98				**2.6**	24											
MW-31	11-Jan-99																
MW-31	8-Feb-99																
MW-31	19-Apr-99																
MW-31	3-Dec-99																
MW-31	13-Apr-00																
MW-31	19-Dec-00																
MW-31	17-Apr-01					16.5											
MW-31	6-Dec-01					**55**											
MW-31	11-Apr-02																
MW-31	6-Nov-02																
MW-31	18-Apr-03																
MW-31	5-Nov-03																
MW-31	28-Apr-04																
MW-31	18-Nov-04					**64**	32		14							**120**	64
MW-31	21-Apr-05																
MW-31	11-Nov-05					17											
MW-31	14-Apr-06																
MW-31	9-Nov-06					15											
MW-31	20-Apr-07																
MW-31	9-Nov-07			1		38		19	**22**	0.22						**47**	32
MW-31	19-May-08		50.7J			18.1		13.6	8.81J		6.67J		4.33J		**7.52**	16.9J	13
MW-31	7-Nov-08		66.6JB			30.0B		23.3B	**15.3B**		7.34J		8.57JB			**40.3B**	12.1
MW-31	11-Mar-09		85.6JB	1.34		30.2B	6.48JB	21.7B	**19.2B**		11J		6.54JB			**36.4B**	11.1
MW-31d	1-May-98			3		**110**	13		**19**		68					**280**	210
MW-31d	17-Aug-98				**8.6**	10											94
MW-31d	9-Sep-98				**2.8**	25										**60**	260
MW-31d	7-Oct-98				**2.2**												
MW-31d	8-Dec-98																
MW-31d	11-Jan-99					19.1											
MW-31d	8-Feb-99																
MW-31d	19-Apr-99																
MW-31d	3-Dec-99																
MW-31d	13-Apr-00																
MW-31d	19-Dec-00																
MW-31d	18-Apr-01																
MW-31d	6-Dec-01																
MW-31d	11-Apr-02																
MW-31d	6-Nov-02																
MW-31d	18-Apr-03					10.1											
MW-31d	5-Nov-03																
MW-31d	28-Apr-04																
MW-31d	18-Nov-04					24										**50**	
MW-31d	21-Apr-05																
MW-31d	11-Nov-05																
MW-31d	14-Apr-06																
MW-31d	9-Nov-06																
MW-31d	20-Apr-07																10
MW-31d	9-Nov-07																
MW-31d	19-May-08		23.2J			8.61J		4.27J	3.63J				4.16J	7.6J		6.26J	4.39J
MW-31d	6-Nov-08	2.66J	31.2JB	**6.26B**		11.7B		8.19JB	10.2B		5.34J		8.47JB			**25.1B**	6.85J
MW-31d	10-Mar-09					5.52J			5.19J				1.44JB			**19.9J**	

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-32	1-May-98					23	44									**41**	59
MW-32	17-Aug-98				**5.5**	10	31										130
MW-32	9-Sep-98				**5.4**	34	45				54					**60**	240
MW-32	6-Oct-98	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MW-32	7-Dec-98				8	40	42				77					**74**	85
MW-32	11-Jan-99						26.5										
MW-32	8-Feb-99						24										
MW-32	19-Apr-99						28.1										
MW-32	3-Dec-99						25.1										
MW-32	13-Apr-00				1.2		40.9										
MW-32	19-Dec-00				1.0		25.8										
MW-32	17-Apr-01						22.8										
MW-32	4-Dec-01						14.7										
MW-32	11-Apr-02						12.1										
MW-32	6-Nov-02						12.2										
MW-32	18-Apr-03				1.4		24										
MW-32	4-Nov-03						10.3										
MW-32	28-Apr-04						10.5										
MW-32	18-Nov-04						11										
MW-32	21-Apr-05																
MW-32	11-Nov-05																
MW-32	14-Apr-06																
MW-32	9-Nov-06																
MW-32	20-Apr-07							18									21
MW-32	9-Nov-07																29
MW-32	19-May-08		16.7J			4.81J		10.7	4.53J				4.35J			0.72J	5.83J
MW-32	6-Nov-08		18.4JB	**8.50B**		4.14JB	4.41J	6.41JB	6.36JB				9.0JB			**8.39JB**	4.39J
MW-32	11-Mar-09		23.5JB			4.00JB	7.94JB	13.4B	5.74JB		5.61J		6.91JB			**10.9JB**	
TB-1a	1-May-98				1.1								14				50
TB-1a	17-Aug-98				**9.3**	21	20										130
TB-1a	9-Sep-98				**2.6**	14	16										160
TB-1a	7-Oct-98				**4.0**	17	14										
TB-1a (dup)	7-Oct-98				**2.1**		14										
TB-1a	9-Dec-98				**3.0**					0.22							
TB-1a	11-Jan-99																
TB-1a	8-Feb-99																
TB-1a	19-Apr-99																
TB-1a	3-Dec-99																
TB-1a	13-Apr-00																
TB-1a	20-Dec-00																
TB-1a	17-Apr-01																
TB-1a	3-Dec-01							17.3									
TB-1a	12-Apr-02																
TB-1a	5-Nov-02				**2.2**	12.7											
TB-1a	17-Apr-03																
TB-1a	4-Nov-03																
TB-1a	14-Apr-04																
TB-1a	18-Nov-04				**2**	20											
TB-1a	21-Apr-05				**2**												
TB-1a	11-Nov-05				**2**	12				0.95							67
TB-1a	14-Apr-06				**3**					0.88							
TB-1a	9-Nov-06				**5**	17				**2.5**							87
TB-1a	19-Apr-07				**39**	14		88	14	**1.9**						30	262
TB-1a	8-Nov-07				**8**			29									51
TB-1a	20-May-08		22J	1.94	0.87J	3.47J		16.8	3.79J	0.143J							10.1
TB-1a	11-Nov-08	12.9	502		**5.92**	**95.8B**	44.9	511B	**19.4**	0.76	75.5	27.8	7.84JB			**200B**	198
TB-1a	11-Mar-09		64.8JB	3.46	**3.25**	9.58JB	9.49JB	39B	9.25JB	0.253J	12.2J		6.57JB			**23.9JB**	17.3

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
TB-1a deep	8-Jun-01																
TB-1a deep	6-Dec-01																
TB-1a deep	11-Apr-02																
TB-1a deep	6-Nov-02																
TB-1a deep	18-Apr-03																
TB-1a deep	4-Nov-03																
TB-1a deep	28-Apr-04																
TB-1a deep	18-Nov-04																
TB-1a deep	21-Apr-05																
TB-1a deep	11-Nov-05																
TB-1a deep	14-Apr-06																
TB-1a deep	10-Nov-06																
TB-1a deep	20-Apr-07																
TB-1a deep	8-Nov-07																
TB-1a deep	19-May-08		15.6J			22.6		5.37J	5.36J		6.9J		4.69J		**5.54**	**6.86J**	7.06J
TB-1a deep	7-Nov-08		15.2JB	1.05B		16.8B		8.18JB	5.33JB				8.69JB			**18.9JB**	
TB-1a deep	12-Mar-09			**4.48**	0.23J	12.6		3.46JB	6.73J							**9.29JB**	18.5
MW-33	6-Aug-98				**5.8**	46	18									**73**	110
MW-33	7-Dec-98				**2.7**	18											
MW-33	26-Apr-99					15.5											58.5
MW-33	2-Dec-99					18.1											61
MW-33	13-Apr-00																
MW-33	18-Apr-01																
MW-33	9-Apr-02																
MW-33	16-Apr-03				1.2	26.9	11.8									**47.1**	71.5
MW-33	21-Apr-04					10.5											
MW-33	22-Apr-05		660	3		**54**	18		**32**							**92**	885
MW-33	14-Apr-06			3		47	15		**27**							**80**	669
MW-33	20-Apr-07					19		14								**30**	**1165**
MW-33	15-May-08		54.9J			20.2		14.6	5.11J		6.9J		4.47J		**10.7**	**19.2J**	31.1
MW-33	12-Mar-09		21.9J			4.58J		1.88JB	4.65J							**7.79JB**	5.35J
MW-34	6-Aug-98			**4.9**	**8.4**	43	20				64					**110**	180
MW-34	7-Dec-98				**2.2**	14											59
MW-34	26-Apr-99																73.2
MW-34	2-Dec-99																
MW-34	13-Apr-00																
MW-34	18-Apr-01																
MW-34	9-Apr-02																
MW-34	16-Apr-03																
MW-34	21-Apr-04														**10.3**		
MW-34	22-Apr-05		1108	**10**	1.0	29			**33**							**74**	439
MW-34	13-Apr-06																
MW-34	20-Apr-07																16
MW-34	19-May-08		121			11.7		12.3		0.191J	5.43J		4.19J			**8.81J**	23
MW-34	12-Mar-09		73J			3.78J		3.8JB		0.121J						**12.7JB**	12.2

Table 3a
Detected Groundwater Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Tin	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2L		**50**	**2000**	**4***	**1.75**	**50**	**70***	**1000**	**15**	**1.05**	**100**	**50**	**17.5**	**NE**	**0.28***	**3.5***	**1050**
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**0.2**	**50**	**10**	**10**	**100**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
MW-34d	6-Aug-98																
MW-34d (dup)	6-Aug-98																
MW-34d	7-Dec-98																
MW-34d	26-Apr-99																
MW-34d	2-Dec-99																
MW-34d	13-Apr-00																
MW-34d	18-Apr-01																
MW-34d	9-Apr-02					12.5											
MW-34d	16-Apr-03																
MW-34d	21-Apr-04																
MW-34d	22-Apr-05																
MW-34d	13-Apr-06				**4.0**												
MW-34d	20-Apr-07																
MW-34d	19-May-08		27.4J			10.1		3.95J	5.49J				4.46J				
MW-34d	12-Mar-09		21.5J			4.48J		2.29JB							**5.78**	**8.27JB**	18
MW-35	6-Aug-98			**4.3**	**9.8**	47	30				52					**120**	130
MW-35	7-Dec-98				**4.6**	22	15				79					**43**	74
MW-35	26-Apr-99						13										
MW-35	2-Dec-99																
MW-35	13-Apr-00																
MW-35	18-Apr-01																
MW-35	9-Apr-02																
MW-35	16-Apr-03																
MW-35	21-Apr-04																
MW-35	22-Apr-05			**5**		19			**18**							**52**	134
MW-35	13-Apr-06			2		10											
MW-35	20-Apr-07		316	**6**	1.0	39	10	55	**19**							**109**	149
MW-35	19-May-08		49.5J			5.40J		6.03J					4.43J		**11.7**		8.04J
MW-35	10-Mar-09		34J										1.42JB		**8.59J**		
MW-36	4-Dec-08		159B			**52.3B**	21.9	57.5B	13.6	0.13JB	24.2J	9.10J				**81.6**	47.1
MW-36	10-Mar-09		107			13	5.23J	19.1			5.71J		1.56JB			**27.2**	11.5
MW-36d	4-Dec-08		102B			**70.4B**	6.46J	32.8B	4.12J	0.13JB	20.0J				**7.59**	**37**	55.6
MW-36d	10-Mar-09		39.8J			18.1		11.6	3.94J		9.35J		1.87JB			**16.6J**	

Notes:
All units are in micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
- Concentration exceeds NC Groundwater Standards (2L
- Indicates results below detection limits
* - groundwater protection standard
NS - Not Sampled; NE - standard not established; dup - duplicate sample
J - Indicates the analytical result is an estimated concentration between the Method Detection Limit and the Solid Waste Sectio
Reporting Limit (SWSL)
B - Indicates that the amount detected in the method blank was greater than the Method Detection Lim
MW-27 was abandoned on November 24, 2008 in accordance with 15A NCAC 2C .0113

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-11	15-Apr-94																																			
MW-11	11-May-94																																			
MW-11	25-Aug-94																																			
MW-11	29-Sep-94																																			
MW-11	13-Jun-95																																			
MW-11	28-Sep-95																																			
MW-11	31-Oct-95																																			
MW-11	22-Mar-96																																			
MW-11	27-Nov-96																																			
MW-11	22-Apr-97																																			
MW-11	31-Oct-97																																			
MW-11	11-May-98																																			
MW-11	8-Dec-98																															24				
MW-11	14-Apr-99																																			
MW-11	30-Nov-99																																			
MW-11	5-Apr-00																																			
MW-11	18-Dec-00																																			
MW-11	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-11	27-Nov-01																																			
MW-11	5-Apr-02																																			
MW-11	5-Nov-02																																			
MW-11	15-Apr-03																																			
MW-11	30-Oct-03																																			
MW-11	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-11	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-11	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-11	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-11	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-11	10-Nov-06																																			
MW-11	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-11	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-11	15-May-08																																			
MW-11	10-Nov-08								0.12J																											
MW-11	11-Mar-09								0.14J																0.11J											

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-11d	31-Oct-95																																			
MW-11d	22-Mar-96																																			
MW-11d	27-Nov-96																																			
MW-11d	22-Apr-97																																			
MW-11d	31-Oct-97																																			
MW-11d	11-May-98																																			
MW-11d	8-Dec-98																																			
MW-11d	14-Apr-99																																			
MW-11d	30-Nov-99																															20				
MW-11d	5-Apr-00																																			
MW-11d	18-Dec-00																																			
MW-11d	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-11d	27-Nov-01																																			
MW-11d	5-Apr-02																																			
MW-11d	5-Nov-02																																			
MW-11d	15-Apr-03																																			
MW-11d	30-Oct-03																																			
MW-11d	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-11d	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-11d	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-11d	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-11d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-11d	10-Nov-06																																			
MW-11d	20-Apr-07																															24.5				
MW-11d	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-11d	15-May-08								0.31J																											
MW-11d	10-Nov-08								0.22J																											
MW-11d	16-Mar-09								0.28J																							0.999J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-5	6-Mar-94		**4.9**											**150**		0.84	2.1				**17**			**6.3**		5.3	**14**	9.2		2	3.23					
MW-5	15-Apr-94		**4.2**					35.7		**5.7**				**99.6**	**1.1**	1.2	1.5				**11.7**			**6.3**			**11.8**	17.9								
MW-5	11-May-94		**2.4**					24		**5.7**				**74**		1.1					**11**			**4.9**	2		**8.2**	26								
MW-5	31-May-94		**3.1**						0.6					47		1.2	1.2							**5.4**		7.2	**8.1**				2.72					
MW-5	10-Jun-94		**2.8**					24.5						**71.8**		1.4	1.2							**3.6**		7.6	**7.1**									
MW-5	30-Sep-94		**1.9**					18.7						41.6										**4.6**		8.9										
MW-5	13-Jun-95		**10**					48					22				8	196						**10**			**19**									
MW-5	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-5	31-Oct-95		**9**					61						**230**			14				**18**						**11**									
MW-5 (dup)	31-Oct-95		**9**					53						**210**			14				**15**			**9**			**16**									
MW-5	30-Jan-96		**10**					50					16	**198**			23							**7**			**17**									
MW-5	28-Nov-96	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-5	22-Apr-97	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-5	1-Nov-97	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-5	6-May-98	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-5	8-Dec-98		**7.9**					20			**6.0**		10	**74**			15				**79**			**11**			**17**				6.5					
MW-5	16-Apr-99		**8**					22						**87**			42				**100**			**6**			**14**									26
MW-5	2-Dec-99		**6**											**60**			**72**							**6**			**16**									
MW-5	11-Apr-00		**6**					14			**11.0**			**70**			34							**10**			**22**		140							
MW-5	20-Dec-00													39			17										**14**									
MW-5	16-Apr-01										**7.0**			44			29										**17**									
MW-5	3-Dec-01													31			20										**12**									
MW-5	12-Apr-02										**7.0**			32			33										**16**									
MW-5	5-Nov-02							10			**6.0**			26			31										**15**									
MW-5	17-Apr-03										**14.0**			24			44										**11**									
MW-5	4-Nov-03	540									**8**											360														
MW-5	4-Nov-03	490									**8**											360														
MW-5	14-Apr-04																								**1000**											
MW-5	18-Nov-04																``																			
MW-5	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-5	11-Nov-05							6	9.2																											
MW-5	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-5	9-Nov-06							8.6	5.8																											
MW-5	19-Apr-07							6.7	6.8																											
MW-5	8-Nov-07		**4.9**				5.9	20.5	8.8																		2.6			**13**						
MW-5R	21-Apr-04										**16.5**			13.1			56.2																			
MW-5R	21-May-08		0.34J				1.00J	0.67J			**6.17**			0.8J			4.22J				0.24J						0.26J									
MW-5R	10-Nov-08		0.23J				0.63J				**5.49**			0.61J			1.95J				0.23J						0.13J									
MW-5R	11-Mar-09										0.91J																									

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-6	6-Mar-94		1.7						0.83					34							37			3.4		5.9	4.6	3.9			2.42					
MW-6	15-Apr-94							8.5		1.2				12.5							21			1.9			2.1	2.6								
MW-6	11-May-94							18.4		1.2				28.7							64.2			2.3			4.9	2.6								
MW-6 (dup)	1-Jun-94		3.7						4.3					50							130			7		14	7.5	11			16.6					
MW-6	25-Aug-94							22						36							79.8															
MW-6	29-Sep-94		2					18		66				34							6.1			3		1.4										
MW-6	13-Jun-95							19						28							61						6									
MW-6	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-6	31-Oct-95							22						32							56						6									
MW-6	30-Jan-96		6					37						61													11									
MW-6	29-Nov-96							34						41							91						16									
MW-6	22-Apr-97		5.4					37						29			6.7				88						15									
MW-6	2-Nov-97		6.1					28						28			7.8				90			5.2			16									
MW-6	6-May-98							29						34			13				140						17									
MW-6 (dup)	6-May-98							30						35			14				140						19									
MW-6	8-Dec-98							26						40			14				180						19									
MW-6	16-Apr-99		5					16						26							110						11									
MW-6	2-Dec-99													12			7				67						6									
MW-6	11-Apr-00													12			7				63						6									
MW-6	19-Dec-00													6							20															
MW-6	16-Apr-01													8			6				46															
MW-6	3-Dec-01																6																			
MW-6	12-Apr-02													7			5				26															
MW-6	5-Nov-02																5				10															
MW-6	17-Apr-03																															13				
MW-6	4-Nov-03																6																			
MW-6	14-Apr-04																5.7																			
MW-6	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-6	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-6	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-6	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-6	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-6	19-Apr-07																																			
MW-6	8-Nov-07		3.3								7.4																			3.4						
MW-6	20-May-08		3.85				1J	3.85J			2.07			1.22J			2.3J				0.62J				0.14J		0.9J			3.29		2.17J	1.86J		1.06J	
MW-6	11-Nov-08		4.12				1.29J	3.90J			2.72		0.33J	1.27J			2.22J				1.08				0.20J		0.98J			4.01						
MW-6	11-Mar-09		3.3				1.22J	2.43J			2.02			0.75J			2.21J								0.11J		0.71J			3.61						

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-6d	8-Jun-01													8			6																			
MW-6d	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-6d	9-Apr-02																																			
MW-6d	7-Nov-02																																			
MW-6d	16-Apr-03																																			
MW-6d	4-Nov-03																																			
MW-6d	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-6d	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-6d	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-6d	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-6d	11-Apr-06																																			
MW-6d	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-6d	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-6d	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-6d	20-May-08								0.49J				3.04J	5.7			0.85J				0.34J			0.71J			0.91J	0.91J								
MW-6d	6-Nov-08		0.23J						0.57J		0.44J		2.76J	6.12			1.10J				0.77J			**1.06**			1.13	1.10								
MW-6d (dup)	6-Nov-08		0.25J						0.61J		0.45J		2.69J	6.16			1.00J				0.68J			**1.08**			1.13	0.99J								
MW-6d	12-Mar-09								0.43J				1.47J	3.24J			0.54J				0.33J			**0.77J**			0.49J	0.55J								

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-7	6-Mar-94																																			
MW-7	15-Apr-94																																			
MW-7	11-May-94																																			
MW-7	31-May-94																																			
MW-7	25-Aug-94																																			
MW-7	29-Sep-94																																			
MW-7	13-Jun-95																																			
MW-7	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-7	31-Oct-95							11						5																						
MW-7	30-Jan-96																																			
MW-7	29-Nov-96							22						9			2							4			**5**									
MW-7	21-Apr-97							19						11			5.9																			
MW-7	1-Nov-97							26						10			6.2																			
MW-7	6-May-98							24						17			21																			
MW-7	8-Dec-98		**7.2**					32						20			36				**12**						**5.2**									
MW-7	16-Apr-99							21						14			24																			
MW-7	2-Dec-99													9			16																			
MW-7	11-Apr-00													8			17																			
MW-7 (dup)	11-Apr-00													8			17															16				
MW-7	19-Dec-00													5			10																			
MW-7 (dup)	19-Dec-00													6			12																			
MW-7	16-Apr-01													6			13															16				
MW-7	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-7	9-Apr-02																7																			
MW-7	6-Nov-02																12																			
MW-7	16-Apr-03																24																			
MW-7	5-Nov-03																15																			
MW-7	21-Apr-04																18.3																			
MW-7 (dup)	21-Apr-04																19.9																			
MW-7	18-Nov-04																16.4																			
MW-7	21-Apr-05																26																			
MW-7	11-Nov-05																12.2																			
MW-7	14-Apr-06																19.3																			
MW-7	9-Nov-06																27.2																			
MW-7	20-Apr-07																24.3													**5.4**						
MW-7	8-Nov-07		**3.5**								**2.7**						22.3													**7.7**						
MW-7	15-May-08		**1.61**				0.24J				0.77J	0.15J		0.44J			7.68													**2.74**		0.907J				
MW-7	5-Nov-08		**1.04**				0.14J				0.83J	0.16J	1.10J	0.25J			3.14J								0.15J					**2.09**						
MW-7	10-Mar-09		**1.56**				0.20J				1.17		1.34J				3.40J								0.68J					**2.73**	0.96J	2.36J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-8	6-Mar-94		6.8						2.2		3.2			13	1	1.3	7.6			0.56	450			7.5	4	18	16	7.3		0.76	35.6					
MW-8	15-Apr-94		7.9					39.8	1.3	8.8	4.9			88.6	1.8	1.5	8.9		3	1.4	310			8.1	5		17.5	5.1			39.7					
MW-8	11-May-94		5.6					34						83							278			7			15				27.1					
MW-8	31-May-94		12						1.1		13			110	2.6	1.6	23				310		3.1	16	11	16	29	4.4			89					
MW-8	25-Aug-94							51.3						109							400										32					
MW-8	30-Sep-94		5.8					35		12.2	5.2			86			5.6				300					8.9	13				22.8					
MW-8	13-Jun-95							15						36							47						6				19					
MW-8	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-8	31-Oct-95		8					40	8				8	72			23			32	137			9			14									
MW-8	30-Jan-96		10					37					10	71			34				123			18			23				58	20				
MW-8	29-Nov-96		4		8			15			3		5	18			24				23			13		1	12				13					
MW-8	21-Apr-97													10			20				16			8.3			8.1					8				
MW-8	2-Nov-97													6.3			22										5.2									
MW-8	6-May-98		5.7											15			56				13			7.8			8.7				6.1					
MW-8	8-Dec-98													7.9			37										5.2									
MW-8	16-Apr-99										6			13			55										6									
MW-8 (dup)	16-Apr-99													10			41																			
MW-8	2-Dec-99	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-8	11-Apr-00																12																			
MW-8	20-Dec-00																																			
MW-8	16-Apr-01																																			
MW-8	3-Dec-01																																			
MW-8	12-Apr-02																																			
MW-8	5-Nov-02																																			
MW-8	17-Apr-03																															10				
MW-8	4-Nov-03																12																			
MW-8	14-Apr-04																7.9																			
MW-8	18-Nov-04																7.9																			
MW-8	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-8	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-8	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-8	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-8	20-Apr-07																18.9																			
MW-8	8-Nov-07																6.6																			
MW-8	20-May-08		0.19J				0.16J		0.38J		0.16J			0.96J			14.2				0.76J			0.28J			0.39J									
MW-8	10-Nov-08		0.14J						0.39J					0.58J							1.17			0.17J	0.11J		0.23J									
MW-8	11-Mar-09		0.20J				0.19J		0.32J					0.61J			6.84				0.30J															

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-8d	8-Jun-01																																			
MW-8d	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-8d	9-Apr-02																																			
MW-8d (dup)	9-Apr-02																																			
MW-8d	7-Nov-02																																			
MW-8d	16-Apr-03																																			
MW-8d	4-Nov-03																																			
MW-8d	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-8d	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-8d	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-8d	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-8d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-8d	10-Nov-06																																			
MW-8d	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-8d	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-8d	15-May-08								0.28J					0.17J			0.07J																			
MW-8d	6-Nov-08								0.31J												0.62J															
MW-8d	16-Mar-09								0.39J					0.14J			0.07J							0.44J												

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-9	6-Mar-94		5.3						5.8		1.6			100		1.3				0.73	680			6.6	6	7	8.7	4.2		0.96	15.2					
MW-9	15-Apr-94		5.6					14.1	4.9	7.3	2.4			96.8		1.8	0.7				357			8.2	1.6		12.4	3.6			17.3					
MW-9	11-May-94		6.8						5	20.1				134							357			7.6			13				20.5					
MW-9	31-May-94		8.2						6.6		3.4			170		1.7					460			8.8	1.7	8.7	14	3.6			23.3					
MW-9	25-Aug-94													165							558															
MW-9	29-Sep-94		7						5.1					131							400			8.2		6.5					16					
MW-9	13-Jun-95		11					20					28	203							258			11		7	23				8					
MW-9	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-9	31-Oct-95		15					29					44	310							278			14			27				14					
MW-9	30-Jan-96		14					22		11			33	270										8			23				6					
MW-9	29-Nov-96		22											280													31									
MW-9	22-Apr-97		24										16	220													28				14.2					
MW-9	2-Nov-97												32	200																						
MW-9	6-May-98		17										31	210										13			32									
MW-9	8-Dec-98		14										53	200										13			31					20				
MW-9	16-Apr-99		7										49	140										7			20					12				
MW-9	2-Dec-99													120													20									
MW-9	11-Apr-00													110													20									
MW-9	20-Dec-00												25	100													18									
MW-9	16-Apr-01												20	93			6							6			15					21				
MW-9	3-Dec-01												25	100			20										5									
MW-9 (dup)	3-Dec-01												20	89			19															22				
MW-9	12-Apr-02													97			21																			
MW-9	5-Nov-02												19	84			20																			
MW-9	17-Apr-03		5										19	100			29								6							59				
MW-9	4-Nov-03		5											83			28								13						8					
MW-9	14-Apr-04		5.86								5.5		11.8	96			27			2.5					15					6.2	7.4	28				
MW-9	18-Nov-04										5.2		13.2	73.7			6.4								6.6					26.5						
MW-9	21-Apr-05										5.2		9.5	69.6																29.6						
MW-9	11-Nov-05										6.7		8.3	63.1																28.8						
MW-9	14-Apr-06										6.9			47.6																29.5						
MW-9	9-Nov-06										5.8			39.9																23						
MW-9	19-Apr-07		4.4								8.4			44.4																32.9						
MW-9	8-Nov-07		1.6								7.4			28.9	1												1.1			26.4						
MW-9	20-May-08		2.17				0.28J	3.53J			7.73		0.42J	28.9	0.86J		3.83J		0.9J	1.51	0.24J				1.01		0.45J	0.24J		25.6	1.69J		1.11J			
MW-9 (dup)	20-May-08		2.27				0.27J				7.42			30.3			3.62J	0.23J		1.32	0.26J				1.03		0.42J			27.7	1.10J		1.01J			
MW-9	11-Nov-08		1.74				0.20J	2.24J			6.26			21.6			3.28J			1.06	0.72J				0.60J		0.28J			19.1	0.48J					
MW-9	11-Mar-09		1.96				0.24J	2.50J			6.99			22.9			4.16J	0.15J	0.86J	1.06					0.54J		0.20J			22.1	0.77J	0.834J				
MW-9 (MW-18 dup)	11-Mar-09		1.85				0.24J	2.36J			6.93			22.5			4.14J	0.13J	0.83J	1.06					0.54J		0.24J			21.5	0.89J	2.07J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-10	6-Mar-94		2.4						2.5					37		2.4					72			4.6		16	9.8	12			26.7					
MW-10	15-Apr-94		1.8					18.1	1.6	5.5				24.2	2.6	2.6					67.3			4.8			8				16.9					
MW-10	11-May-94		1.4					15.8	1.7	4				23	2.6	1.8					54.8			4			6.8	16.3			6.7					
MW-10	31-May-94		3.7						3.9					57		2.7					120			8.7		17	15	15			19.1					
MW-10	25-Aug-94							47.7		18.7				72						8	194			9.2		18.4		18			15					
MW-10	29-Sep-94		4.2					37.7	3.4	7.2				56.3		3.5					148					3.5	19	17.1			13.1					
MW-10	13-Jun-95		7					53		13			18	84							223			13		13	20				14					
MW-10	28-Sep-95	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-10	31-Oct-95							33					46	44			10				47			7		14	8	14								
MW-10	30-Jan-96		8					48		10			29	101			12							9		8	18				8					
MW-10	28-Nov-96							3						12			1				15			2			2									
MW-10	22-Apr-97		12					31					8.5	160			20				120			25			33				19.6	7.3				
MW-10	1-Nov-97	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-10	8-Dec-98													140			48				270			16			19				12					
MW-10	11-Jan-99		7					19						140			36				320			14			18					NS				
MW-10	8-Feb-99		9					24						140			41							17			21				20	NS				
MW-10 (dup)	8-Feb-99		8					20						130			38							13			17					NS				
MW-10	16-Apr-99													160			26				410											16				
MW-10	2-Dec-99													40							77			6								14				
MW-10	12-Apr-00													46			7				88			7			6									
MW-10	20-Dec-00													35							40															
MW-10	17-Apr-01													34							34															
MW-10	3-Dec-01													26							18															
MW-10	12-Apr-02													22							18															
MW-10	5-Nov-02												6	20							16			8												
MW-10 (dup)	5-Nov-02												6	21							16			9												
MW-10	17-Apr-03												5	26							22			7												
MW-10	4-Nov-03												5	26																						
MW-10	14-Apr-04												5																			189				
MW-10	18-Nov-04																6.4															37				
MW-10	21-Apr-05																9.9																			
MW-10	11-Nov-05													5.7			17.6																			
MW-10	14-Apr-06																11.9																			
MW-10	9-Nov-06													7.8			27.7																			
MW-10	19-Apr-07													6.3			7.4															24				
MW-10	8-Nov-07																				1			1.3								21				
MW-10	20-May-08		1.16					1.03J			0.46J		0.55J	6.07		0.14J	7.13				3.69			2.34			1.81	0.17J		0.49J	0.52J	3.12J				
MW-10	11-Nov-08		2.26					1.46J			0.66J		0.83J	9.44			11.3				1.89			4.2			2.99			1.67	0.41J					
MW-10	11-Mar-09		3.53					1.50J			0.50J			8.52			22.3							4.06			4			1.70	0.65J	0.616J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-10d	8-Jun-01													12							16															
MW-10d	4-Dec-01													17																						
MW-10d	11-Apr-02													16																						
MW-10d	5-Nov-02													13																						
MW-10d	17-Apr-03													11																						
MW-10d	4-Nov-03													8																		13				
MW-10d	26-Apr-04													5.9																		32.4				
MW-10d	18-Nov-04																															43				
MW-10d	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-10d	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-10d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-10d	10-Nov-06																																			
MW-10d	20-Apr-07																															19.9				
MW-10d	8-Nov-07																															20				
MW-10d	20-May-08								0.3J				0.36J	2.01J			0.2J				0.71J			0.29J			0.36J									
MW-10d	6-Nov-08		0.22J			6.01J			0.21J					2.16J			0.15J				1.47			0.32J			0.37J	0.39J								
MW-10d	12-Mar-09								0.26J				0.67J	2.30J			0.18J				1.17			0.33J			0.37J					1.12J				
MW-22	9-Jun-97																																			
MW-22	18-Aug-97																																			
MW-22	2-Nov-97												5.2	6.9																						
MW-22	6-May-98																																			
MW-22	8-Dec-98													8.6																						
MW-22	16-Apr-99													6																						
MW-22	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-22	12-Apr-00																																			
MW-22	20-Dec-00													9																						
MW-22	17-Apr-01													9																						
MW-22	4-Dec-01													6																						
MW-22	9-Apr-02													5																						
MW-22	6-Nov-02													5																						
MW-22	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-22	5-Nov-03																																			
MW-22	26-Apr-04																																			
MW-22	19-Nov-04																																			
MW-22	22-Apr-06																																			
MW-22	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-22	14-Apr-07																																			
MW-22	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-22	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-22	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-22	15-May-08													0.25J										0.31J								1.47J				
MW-22	6-Nov-08																				0.74J			0.32J												
MW-22	12-Mar-09													0.09J										0.66J								0.71J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-23	9-Jun-97							40						**86**			7.6				**100**			**9.3**	16	6.4	**9.1**				13					
MW-23	18-Aug-97							33						**93**			11				**85**															
MW-23	2-Nov-97							26						68			11				**40**			**5.6**			**6.3**									
MW-23	5-May-98													6.9							10															
MW-23	8-Dec-98													7.4																		8.3				
MW-23 (dup)	8-Dec-98													9.2																						
MW-23	16-Apr-99																																			
MW-23	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-23 (dup)	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-23	12-Apr-00																																			
MW-23	20-Dec-00																																			
MW-23	17-Apr-01																																			
MW-23	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-23	11-Apr-02																																			
MW-23	6-Nov-02																																			
MW-23	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-23 (dup)	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-23	5-Nov-03																																			
MW-23	26-Apr-04																																			
MW-23	19-Nov-04																																			
MW-23	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-23	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-23	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-23	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-23	20 ap 07																																			
MW-23	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-23	15-May-08								0.17J					0.67J										0.19J								0.86J				
MW-23	6-Nov-08													0.35J							0.37J			0.13J												
MW-23	12-Mar-09													0.35J										0.48J												

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-23d	5-May-98																																			
MW-23d	8-Dec-98																															7.8				
MW-23d	16-Apr-98																																			
MW-23d	2-Dec-99																															10				
MW-23d	12-Apr-00																															10				
MW-23d	20-Dec-00																																			
MW-23d	17-Apr-01																																			
MW-23d	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-23d	11-Apr-02																																			
MW-23d	6-Nov-02																																			
MW-23d	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-23d	5-Nov-03																																			
MW-23d	26-Apr-04																																			
MW-23d	19-Nov-04								6.4																											
MW-23d	21-Apr-05								6.9																											
MW-23d	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-23d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-23d	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-23d	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-23d	8-Nov-07																																			
MW-23d	15-May-08								0.3J																											
MW-23d	6-Nov-08								0.15J																											
MW-23d	12-Mar-09								0.24J																							1.21J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-24	9-Jun-97							12						37			5.1			14	**200**				160		**8.5**				57					
MW-24	18-Aug-97													68							**380**				400											
MW-24	1-Nov-97													56						25	**340**				260						98					
MW-24 (dup)	1-Nov-97													68						33	**370**				340						131					
MW-24	5-May-98													42						28	**240**				230						112					
MW-24	8-Dec-98		**12**					30						**85**			26			23	**280**				140		**15**				93	7.7				
MW-24	16-Apr-99							19						55						13	**170**				46						60					
MW-24	2-Dec-99													25							**43**										21	11				
MW-24	12-Apr-00													42			5				**39**			**7**			**7**				33					
MW-24	20-Dec-00													44			5				**29**			**8**			**7**				21					
MW-24	16-Apr-01													34			5				**18**			**6**							12					
MW-24 (dup)	16-Apr-01													34			5				**20**			**6**							12					
MW-24	3-Dec-01													33			5				**12**			**8**							10					
MW-24	12-Apr-02													27										**6**							8					
MW-24	5-Nov-02													21																						
MW-24	17-Apr-03													19																		30				
MW-24	4-Nov-03													9																						
MW-24	14-Apr-04													6.5																						
MW-24	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-24	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-24	11-Nov-05								7																											
MW-24	14-Apr-06								8.5																											
MW-24	9-Nov-06								9.8																											
MW-24	19-Apr-07								11.4																											
MW-24	8-Nov-07								7.2																											
MW-24	20-May-08								14.8					0.29J							0.19J			0.38J								1.52J				
MW-24	11-Nov-08	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS
MW-24	12-Mar-09								10.1					0.26J										0.62J								1.69J				
MW-27	4-May-98																															7.5				
MW-27	7-Dec-98																															6.4				
MW-27	26-Apr-99																															20				
MW-27	3-Dec-99																																			
MW-27	12-Apr-00																																			
MW-27	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-27	12-Apr-02																																			
MW-27	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-27	26-Apr-04																																			

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-28	1-May-98																																			
MW-28	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-28	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-28	7-Oct-98																																			
MW-28	9-Dec-98																															12				
MW-28	12-Jan-99																																			
MW-28	9-Feb-99																																			
MW-28	19-Apr-99																																			
MW-28	3-Dec-99																																			
MW-28	12-Apr-00																																			
MW-28	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-28	12-Apr-02																																			
MW-28	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-28	26-Apr-04																																			
MW-28	22-Apr-05																																			
MW-28	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-28	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-28	19-May-08								0.39J																											
MW-28	12-Mar-09								0.39J																											
MW-28d	1-May-98																																			
MW-28d	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-28d	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-28d	7-Oct-98																																			
MW-28d	9-Dec-98																															20				
MW-28d	12-Jan-99																																			
MW-28d (dup)	12-Jan-99																																			
MW-28d	9-Feb-99																																			
MW-28d	19-Apr-99																																			
MW-28d	3-Dec-99																															94				
MW-28d	12-Apr-00																															46				
MW-28d	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-28d	12-Apr-02																															36				
MW-28d	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-28d	26-Apr-04																															28.3				
MW-28d	22-Apr-05																																			
MW-28d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-28d	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-28d	19-May-08								0.45J																											
MW-28d	12-Mar-09								0.33J															0.42J												

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-29d	4-May-98																																			
MW-29d	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-29d	4-May-98																																			
MW-29d	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-29d	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-29d (dup)	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-29d	7-Oct-98																																			
MW-29d	9-Dec-98																															37				
MW-29d	12-Jan-99																																			
MW-29d	9-Feb-99																																			
MW-29d	19-Apr-99																																			
MW-29d	3-Dec-99																																			
MW-29d	12-Apr-00																																			
MW-29d	19-Dec-00																																			
MW-29d	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-29d	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-29d	11-Apr-02																																			
MW-29d	6-Nov-02																																			
MW-29d	18-Apr-03																																			
MW-29d	5-Nov-03																																			
MW-29d	26-Apr-04																																			
MW-29d	19-Nov-04																																			
MW-29d	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-29d	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-29d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-29d	10-Nov-06																																			
MW-29d	20-Apr-07																															23				
MW-29d	9-Nov-07																																			
MW-29d	19-May-08								0.13J																							1.44J				
MW-29d	7-Nov-08																				0.37J															
MW-29d	10-Mar-09								0.12J																							0.857J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-30	4-May-98																																			
MW-30	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-30	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-30	7-Oct-98																																			
MW-30	7-Dec-98																																			
MW-30	12-Jan-99																																			
MW-30	9-Feb-99																																			
MW-30	19-Apr-99																																			
MW-30	3-Dec-99																																			
MW-30	12-Apr-00																																			
MW-30	19-Dec-00																																			
MW-30	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-30	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-30	11-Apr-02																																			
MW-30	6-Nov-02																																			
MW-30	17-Apr-03																																			
MW-30	5-Nov-03																																			
MW-30	28-Apr-04																																			
MW-30	19-Nov-04																															27.7				
MW-30	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-30	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-30	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-30	10-Nov-06																																			
MW-30	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-30	9-Nov-07																																			
MW-30	19-May-08								0.55J																											
MW-30	7-Nov-08								0.45J												0.21J															
MW-30	11-Mar-09								0.39J																											

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-31	1-May-98																																			
MW-31	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-31	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-31	7-Oct-98																																			
MW-31	8-Dec-98																																			
MW-31	11-Jan-99																																			
MW-31	8-Feb-99																																			
MW-31	19-Apr-99																																			
MW-31	3-Dec-99																																			
MW-31	13-Apr-00								13																											
MW-31	19-Dec-00								25																											
MW-31	17-Apr-01								21																											
MW-31	6-Dec-01								54																											
MW-31	11-Apr-02								37																											
MW-31	6-Nov-02								43																											
MW-31	18-Apr-03								32																											
MW-31	5-Nov-03								48																											
MW-31	28-Apr-04								32.5																											
MW-31	18-Nov-04								26.5																											
MW-31	21-Apr-05								27.2																											
MW-31	11-Nov-05								38.2																											
MW-31	14-Apr-06								36.6																											
MW-31	9-Nov-06								26.2																											
MW-31	20-Apr-07								23.4																											
MW-31	9-Nov-07								9.9																											
MW-31	19-May-08								14.9																											
MW-31	6-Nov-08								10.1												0.15J															
MW-31	11-Mar-09								10.1																											

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-31d	1-May-98																																			
MW-31d	17-Aug-98																																			
MW-31d	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-31d	7-Oct-98																																			
MW-31d	8-Dec-98																															10				
MW-31d	11-Jan-99																																			
MW-31d	8-Feb-99																																			
MW-31d	19-Apr-99																															10				
MW-31d	3-Dec-99																															41				
MW-31d	13-Apr-00																																			
MW-31d	19-Dec-00																																			
MW-31d	18-Apr-01								7																											
MW-31d	6-Dec-01								14																											
MW-31d	11-Apr-02								23																											
MW-31d	6-Nov-02								31																											
MW-31d	18-Apr-03								26																											
MW-31d	5-Nov-03								34																											
MW-31d	28-Apr-04								38.3																											
MW-31d	19-Nov-04								23.8																											
MW-31d	21-Apr-05								31.9																											
MW-31d	11-Nov-05								34																											
MW-31d	14-Apr-06								33.1																											
MW-31d	9-Nov-06								37.7																											
MW-31d	20-Apr-07								37.5																							23				
MW-31d	9-Nov-07								31.5																											
MW-31d	19-May-08								36.6																											
MW-31d	6-Nov-08								33																											
MW-31d	10-Mar-09								30.2																											

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-32	1-May-98																																			
MW-32	18-Aug-98																																			
MW-32	9-Sep-98																																			
MW-32	6-Oct-98																																			
MW-32	7-Dec-98																																			
MW-32	11-Jan-99																																			
MW-32	8-Feb-99																																			
MW-32	19-Apr-99																																			
MW-32	3-Dec-99																																			
MW-32	13-Apr-00																																			
MW-32	19-Dec-00																																			
MW-32	17-Apr-01																																			
MW-32	4-Dec-01																																			
MW-32	11-Apr-02																																			
MW-32	6-Nov-02																																			
MW-32	18-Apr-03																																			
MW-32	4-Nov-03																															11				
MW-32	28-Apr-04																																			
MW-32	18-Nov-04																																			
MW-32	21-Apr-05																																			
MW-32	11-Nov-05																																			
MW-32	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-32	9-Nov-06																																			
MW-32	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-32	9-Nov-07																																			
MW-32	19-May-08																																			
MW-32	6-Nov-08																				0.47J															
MW-32	11-Mar-09																																			

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
TB-1a	1-May-98													5.3											6.9	6.9										
TB-1a	18-Aug-98							20						39							22			7.5		9.8	7.6				23					
TB-1a	9-Sep-98							21						40							22			7.7		11	7.9				20					
TB-1a	7-Oct-98							17						35							16			8.2		11	7.1				13					
TB-1a (dup)	7-Oct-98							17						33							16			8.3		11	7.2				17					
TB-1a	9-Dec-98							14						23										5.3		8.2					11					
TB-1a	11-Jan-99							16						21										6.0		11	5.0				6					
TB-1a	8-Feb-99							15						18										7.0		10					8					
TB-1a	19-Apr-99							10						14										5.0		10					5					
TB-1a	3-Dec-99							11						19										6.0		10					9					
TB-1a	13-Apr-00							11						22										7.0		8	5.0				11					
TB-1a	20-Dec-00													13																						
TB-1a	17-Apr-01													11																						
TB-1a	3-Dec-01													7																						
TB-1a	12-Apr-02													8																						
TB-1a	5-Nov-02													9																						
TB-1a	17-Apr-03													30										7.0			6.0									
TB-1a	4-Nov-03								8					8																						
TB-1a	14-Apr-04								20.4																											
TB-1a	18-Nov-04								17.3																											
TB-1a	21-Apr-05								18.8																											
TB-1a	11-Nov-05								24.3																											
TB-1a	14-Apr-06								18.6																											
TB-1a	9-Nov-06								25.5																											
TB-1a	19-Apr-07								22.5																											
TB-1a	9-Nov-07								21.8																											
TB-1a	20-May-08			0.66J					11.5					0.21J							0.31J			0.17J												
TB-1a	11-Nov-08			0.54J					11.6					0.33J							1.1			0.16J												
TB-1a	11-Mar-09								5.6					0.33J										0.12J												
TB-1a deep	8-Jun-01																																			
TB-1a deep	6-Dec-01																																			
TB-1a deep	11-Apr-02																																			
TB-1a deep	6-Nov-02																																			
TB-1a deep	18-Apr-03								8																											
TB-1a deep	4-Nov-03																															19				
TB-1a deep	28-Apr-04								22																											
TB-1a deep	18-Nov-04								17.9																											
TB-1a deep	21-Apr-05								25.6																											
TB-1a deep	11-Nov-05								30.6																											
TB-1a deep	14-Apr-06								29.1																											
TB-1a deep	10-Nov-06								35.6																											
TB-1a deep	20-Apr-07								32.4																											
TB-1a deep	9-Nov-07								26.2																											
TB-1a deep	19-May-08		0.77J						25.2												0.72J															
TB-1a deep	7-Nov-08								32.5												0.42J															
TB-1a deep	12-Mar-09								31.8																											

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		700	1	0.56	0.0004	700	50	2800	70	2.6	1.4	24	1400	70	0.38	7	70	100	0.51	550	4.6	4200	100	0.7	1000	200	2.8	2100	0.005	0.015	530	NE	5000	700	NE	3.5
SWSL		100	1	1	1	100	3	10	5	1.0	1	5	5	5	1	5	5	5	1.00	1	1	100	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	15	10	10	10	10
MW-33	6-Aug-98																																			
MW-33	7-Dec-98																																			
MW-33	26-Apr-99																																			
MW-33	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-33	13-Apr-00																																			
MW-33	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-33	9-Apr-02																																			
MW-33	16-Apr-03																																			
MW-33	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-33	22-Apr-05																																			
MW-33	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-33	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-33	15-May-08																																			
MW-33	12-Mar-09																																			
MW-34	6-Aug-98																																			
MW-34	7-Dec-98																																			
MW-34	26-Apr-99																																			
MW-34	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-34	13-Apr-00																																			
MW-34	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-34	9-Apr-02																																			
MW-34	16-Apr-03																																			
MW-34	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-34	22-Apr-05																																			
MW-34	14-Apr-06													12.7			6.9																			
MW-34	20-Apr-07													13.8			6.2																			
MW-34	19-May-08		0.56J					1.74J	0.45J		0.95J		1.72J	15.3		0.23J	9.75				**10.7**			**2.14**		0.13J	**3.07**	0.56J			0.16J					
MW-34	12-Mar-09		0.47J					1.26J	0.37J		0.71J		1.72J	13.5		0.47J	9.34				**12.7**			**1.71**			2.67	0.68J			0.15J					
MW-34d	6-Aug-98																															7.3				
MW-34d (dup)	6-Aug-98																																			
MW-34d	7-Dec-98																																			
MW-34d	26-Apr-99																																			
MW-34d	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-34d	13-Apr-00																																			
MW-34d	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-34d	9-Apr-02													5																						
MW-34d	16-Apr-03													11																						
MW-34d	21-Apr-04													6.8																						
MW-34d	22-Apr-05													5.7																						
MW-34d	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-34d	20-Apr-07													6.9																		35				
MW-34d	19-May-08								0.21J				0.36J	1.64J			1.07J				0.2J			0.32J			0.38J	0.19J								
MW-34d	12-Mar-09													0.64J			0.62J							0.51J			0.19J					1.02J				

Table 3b
Detected Constituents - Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Benzene	Bromodichloromethane	1,2-Dibromoethane	Carbon Disulfide	Chlorobenzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	p-Dichlorobenzene	o-Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1,1-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,1-Dichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,2-Dichloropropane	Ethylbenzene	Methylene Chloride	MEK (2-Butanone)	Styrene	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Trichloroethene	Trichlorofluoromethane	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	Vinyl Chloride	Total Xylenes	bis(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate	Diethylphthalate	Di-n-Butylphthalate	Dimethylphthalate	3&4-Methylphenol
NC2L		**700**	**1**	**0.56**	**0.0004**	**700**	**50**	**2800**	**70**	**2.6**	**1.4**	**24**	**1400**	**70**	**0.38**	**7**	**70**	**100**	**0.51**	**550**	**4.6**	**4200**	**100**	**0.7**	**1000**	**200**	**2.8**	**2100**	**0.005**	**0.015**	**530**	**NE**	**5000**	**700**	**NE**	**3.5**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**3**	**10**	**5**	**1.0**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**5**	**1.00**	**1**	**1**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**
MW-35	6-Aug-98																																			
MW-35	7-Dec-98																																			
MW-35	26-Apr-99																																			
MW-35	2-Dec-99																																			
MW-35	13-Apr-00																																			
MW-35	18-Apr-01																																			
MW-35	9-Apr-02																																			
MW-35	16-Apr-03																																			
MW-35	21-Apr-04																																			
MW-35	22-Apr-05																																			
MW-35	14-Apr-06																																			
MW-35	20-Apr-07																																			
MW-35	19-May-08				0.55J				0.17J															0.13J												
MW-35	10-Mar-09								0.15J				0.67J																							
MW-36	4-Dec-08		0.81J					0.77J	0.14J		**1.46**		2.25J	23.5		0.40J	7.6				0.77J			**2.00**			**3.4**	0.28J		**0.84J**				0.906J		
MW-36	10-Mar-09		0.86J					1.16J	0.13J		**1.42**		2.52J	23.4	0.36J	0.41J	7.9		0.3J		0.64J			**1.62**			**3.22**	0.38J		**0.96J**						
MW-36d	4-Dec-08		0.37J	0.24J					1.05J		0.28J		1.78J	10.9			3.18J				**9.11**			**1.95**	0.10J		1.5									
MW-36d	10-Mar-09		0.51J					0.74J	0.41J		0.39J		2.94J	12.4		0.28J	3.86J		0.12J		2.27			**1.35**			1.81	0.29J								

Notes:
All units are in micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
- Concentration exceeds NC Groundwater Standards (2L)
- Indicates results below detection limit
* - groundwater protection standard
NS - Not Sampled; NE - standard not established; dup - duplicate sample
J - Indicates the analytical result is an estimated concentration between the Method Detection Limit and the Solid Waste Section Reporting Limit (SWSL)
B - Indicates that the amount detected in the method blank was greater than the Method Detection Limit
MW-27 was abandoned on November 24, 2008 in accordance with 15A NCAC 2C .0113

Table 3c
Detected Constituents - Pesticides, Herbicides, and PCB's
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Alpha-BHC	Beta-BHC	Delta-BHC	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Heptachlor	Aldrin	4,4'-DDT	DDD	Dieldrin	Endrin Aldehyde	Endosulfan I	Endrin	Dinoseb
NC2L		**0.006***	**0.019***	**0.019***	**0.2**	**0.0078**	**NE**	**0.1**	**0.14**	**0.0022**	**2.1**	**NE**	**2**	**7***
SWSL		**0.05**	**0.05**	**0.05**	**0.05**	**0.05**	**0.05**	**0.1**	**0.1**	**0.075**	**0.1**	**0.1**	**0.1**	**1**
MW-11	18-Nov-04													
MW-11	21-Apr-05													
MW-11	11-Nov-05													
MW-11	14-Apr-06													
MW-11	10-Nov-06													
MW-11	20-Apr-07													
MW-11	8-Nov-07													
MW-11	15-May-08													
MW-11	11-Mar-09													
MW-11d	18-Nov-04													
MW-11d	21-Apr-05													
MW-11d	11-Nov-05													
MW-11d	14-Apr-06													
MW-11d	10-Nov-06													
MW-11d	20-Apr-07													
MW-11d	8-Nov-07													
MW-11d	15-May-08							0.0297J				0.0096J**		
MW-11d	16-Mar-09													
MW-5	18-Nov-04		**0.384**	**0.152**										
MW-5	21-Apr-05		**0.405**	**0.933**	0.098									
MW-5	11-Nov-05													
MW-5	14-Apr-06													
MW-5	9-Nov-06													
MW-5	19-Apr-07													
MW-5	8-Nov-07													
MW-5R	21-May-08							0.0609J				0.0752J**		
MW-5R	11-Mar-09													
MW-6	18-Nov-04	**0.235**		**0.706**						**0.281**			0.412	
MW-6	21-Apr-05	**0.182**		**0.48**										
MW-6	11-Nov-05		**0.31**		0.053									1.72
MW-6	14-Apr-06													
MW-6	9-Nov-06													
MW-6	19-Apr-07													
MW-6	8-Nov-07		**0.062**							**0.094**				
MW-6	20-May-08		0.0233J					0.0312J**						
MW-6	11-Mar-09	**0.0743J**	**0.0437JD**	**0.0611J**		**0.0292JD**	0.104JD	0.0466JD	0.018JD		0.0574JD	0.0322JD		
MW-6d	18-Nov-04													
MW-6d	21-Apr-05													
MW-6d	11-Nov-05													
MW-6d	11-Apr-06													
MW-6d	9-Nov-06													
MW-6d	20-Apr-07													
MW-6d	8-Nov-07													
MW-6d	20-May-08													
MW-7	18-Nov-04													
MW-7	21-Apr-05													1.21
MW-7	11-Nov-05													
MW-7	14-Apr-06													
MW-7	9-Nov-06													
MW-7	20-Apr-07													
MW-7	8-Nov-07													
MW-7	15-May-08											0.0929J**		
MW-8	18-Nov-04													
MW-8	21-Apr-05	**0.132**												
MW-8	11-Nov-05													
MW-8	14-Apr-06													
MW-8	9-Nov-06													
MW-8	20-Apr-07													
MW-8	8-Nov-07													
MW-8	20-May-08													
MW-8d	18-Nov-04													
MW-8d	21-Apr-05													
MW-8d	11-Nov-05													
MW-8d	14-Apr-06													
MW-8d	10-Nov-06													
MW-8d	20-Apr-07													
MW-8d	8-Nov-07													
MW-8d	15-May-08											0.0254J**		
MW-9	18-Nov-04			**0.138**	0.051									1.8
MW-9	21-Apr-05			**0.896**	**0.622**									
MW-9	11-Nov-05													1.4
MW-9	14-Apr-06				0.143									
MW-9	9-Nov-06				**0.786**									
MW-9	19-Apr-07													
MW-9	8-Nov-07			**0.06**										
MW-9	20-May-08			0.0132J								0.0368J**		
MW-9 (dup)	20-May-08													
MW-9	11-Mar-09													
MW-9 (MW-18 dup)	11-Mar-09													

Table 3c
Detected Constituents - Pesticides, Herbicides, and PCB's
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Alpha-BHC	Beta-BHC	Delta-BHC	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Heptachlor	Aldrin	4,4'-DDT	DDD	Dieldrin	Endrin Aldehyde	Endosulfan I	Endrin	Dinoseb
NC2L		0.006*	0.019*	0.019*	0.2	0.0078	NE	0.1	0.14	0.0022	2.1	NE	2	7*
SWSL		0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.075	0.1	0.1	0.1	1
MW-10	18-Nov-04													
MW-10	21-Apr-05													
MW-10	11-Nov-05													
MW-10	14-Apr-06													
MW-10	9-Nov-06													
MW-10	19-Apr-07													
MW-10	8-Nov-07													
MW-10	20-May-08													
MW-10d	18-Nov-04													
MW-10d	21-Apr-05													
MW-10d	11-Nov-05													1.72
MW-10d	14-Apr-06													
MW-10d	10-Nov-06													
MW-10d	20-Apr-07													
MW-10d	8-Nov-07													
MW-10d	20-May-08											0.0234J**		
MW-22	19-Nov-04													
MW-22	22-Apr-06													
MW-22	11-Nov-05													
MW-22	14-Apr-07													
MW-22	9-Nov-06													
MW-22	20-Apr-07													
MW-22	8-Nov-07													
MW-22	15-May-08											0.124		
MW-22	12-Mar-09													
MW-23	19-Nov-04													
MW-23	21-Apr-05													
MW-23	11-Nov-05													
MW-23	14-Apr-06													
MW-23	9-Nov-06													
MW-23	20 ap 07													
MW-23	8-Nov-07													
MW-23	15-May-08													
MW-23d	19-Nov-04													
MW-23d	21-Apr-05													
MW-23d	11-Nov-05													
MW-23d	14-Apr-06													
MW-23d	9-Nov-06													
MW-23d	20-Apr-07													
MW-23d	8-Nov-07													
MW-23d	15-May-08											0.0256J**		
MW-24	18-Nov-04													
MW-24	21-Apr-05													
MW-24	11-Nov-05													
MW-24	14-Apr-06													
MW-24	9-Nov-06													
MW-24	19-Apr-07													
MW-24	8-Nov-07													
MW-24	20-May-08											0.017J**		
MW-28	22-Apr-05													
MW-28	14-Apr-06													
MW-28	20-Apr-07													
MW-28	19-May-08			0.0209J								0.0412J**		
MW-28	12-Mar-09													
MW-28d	22-Apr-05													
MW-28d	14-Apr-06													
MW-28d	20-Apr-07													
MW-28d	19-May-08											0.0308J**		
MW-29d	19-Nov-04													
MW-29d	21-Apr-05													
MW-29d	11-Nov-05													
MW-29d	14-Apr-06													
MW-29d	10-Nov-06													
MW-29d	20-Apr-07													
MW-29d	9-Nov-07													
MW-29d	19-May-08			0.0309J								0.0464J**		
MW-29d	10-Mar-09													

Table 3c
Detected Constituents - Pesticides, Herbicides, and PCB's
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Alpha-BHC	Beta-BHC	Delta-BHC	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Heptachlor	Aldrin	4,4'-DDT	DDD	Dieldrin	Endrin Aldehyde	Endosulfan I	Endrin	Dinoseb
NC2L		0.006*	0.019*	0.019*	0.2	0.0078	NE	0.1	0.14	0.0022	2.1	NE	2	7*
SWSL		0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.075	0.1	0.1	0.1	1
MW-30	19-Nov-04													
MW-30	21-Apr-05													
MW-30	11-Nov-05													
MW-30	14-Apr-06													
MW-30	10-Nov-06													
MW-30	20-Apr-07													
MW-30	9-Nov-07													
MW-30	19-May-08			**0.0632**								0.155		
MW-30	11-Mar-09													
MW-31	18-Nov-04													
MW-31	21-Apr-05													
MW-31	11-Nov-05													
MW-31	14-Apr-06													
MW-31	9-Nov-06													
MW-31	20-Apr-07													
MW-31	9-Nov-07													
MW-31	19-May-08			0.0111J										
MW-31	11-Mar-09													
MW-31d	19-Nov-04													
MW-31d	21-Apr-05													
MW-31d	11-Nov-05													
MW-31d	14-Apr-06													
MW-31d	9-Nov-06													
MW-31d	20-Apr-07													
MW-31d	9-Nov-07													
MW-31d	19-May-08											0.0375J**		
MW-32	18-Nov-04													
MW-32	21-Apr-05													
MW-32	11-Nov-05													
MW-32	14-Apr-06													
MW-32	9-Nov-06													
MW-32	20-Apr-07													
MW-32	9-Nov-07													
MW-32	19-May-08											0.0492J**		
TB-1a	18-Nov-04													
TB-1a	21-Apr-05													
TB-1a	11-Nov-05													
TB-1a	14-Apr-06													
TB-1a	9-Nov-06													
TB-1a	19-Apr-07													
TB-1a	9-Nov-07													
TB-1a	20-May-08	0.0183J										0.0828J**		
TB-1a	11-Mar-09													
TB-1a deep	11-Nov-05													
TB-1a deep	14-Apr-06													
TB-1a deep	10-Nov-06													
TB-1a deep	20-Apr-07													
TB-1a deep	9-Nov-07													
TB-1a deep	19-May-08											0.0595J**		
MW-33	22-Apr-05													
MW-33	14-Apr-06													
MW-33	20-Apr-07													
MW-33	15-May-08			0.00175J								0.0318J**		
MW-33	12-Mar-09													
MW-34	22-Apr-05													
MW-34	14-Apr-06													
MW-34	20-Apr-07													
MW-34	19-May-08													
MW-34d	22-Apr-05													
MW-34d	14-Apr-06													
MW-34d	20-Apr-07													
MW-34d	19-May-08											0.0145J**		
MW-35	22-Apr-05													
MW-35	14-Apr-06													
MW-35	20-Apr-07													
MW-35	19-May-08													
MW-36	4-Dec-08		**0.059**	0.0102J	0.162									
MW-36	10-Mar-09				0.186D									
MW-36d	4-Dec-08				0.0366J									
MW-36d	10-Mar-09			0.0139J	0.0259JD			0.075J						

Notes:
All units are in micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
- Concentration exceeds NC Groundwater Standards (2L)
- Indicates results below detection limits
* - groundwater protection standard
** - Endosulfan I was also detected in the background well MW-11d and batch and equipment blanks at similar concentrations
NE - standard not established
J - Indicates the analytical result is an estimated concentration between the Method Detection Limit and the Solid Waste Section Reporting Limits (SWSL)
D - Detected but the relative percent difference is greater than 40% between results in the dual column method

Table 3d
Detected Surface Water Constituents - Metals
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Nickel	Silver	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
NC2B		**50**	**NS**	**6.4**	**2**	**50**	**NS**	**7***	**25**	**88**	**0.06**	**NS**	**NS**	**50***
SWSL		**10**	**100**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**10**	**50**	**10**	**5.5**	**25**	**10**
SW-2	13-Jun-95													
SW-2	31-Oct-95				**2**									
SW-2	28-Nov-96		25				2							21
SW-2	21-Apr-97		29											
SW-2	5-Nov-97													
SW-2	11-May-98													
SW-2	24-Nov-98													
SW-2	14-Apr-99													
SW-2	9-Apr-02													
SW-2	16-Apr-03													
SW-2	29-Oct-03													
SW-2	8-Apr-04													
SW-2	18-Nov-04				1									
SW-2	21-Apr-05													
SW-2	11-Nov-05													
SW-2	13-Apr-06													
SW-2	9-Nov-06													
SW-2	20-Apr-07													
SW-2	7-Nov-07													
SW-2	21-May-08		28.9J			1.53J		5.97J						5.81J
SW-2	7-Nov-08		33.5JB	3.10B		4.28JB		**7.72JB**	3.62JB		**8.20JB**		15.1JB	4.51J
SW-2	16-Mar-09		52.8JB	**9.06B**		4.73JB		**8.54JB**	3.61JB		**2.01JB**	5.89	10.4JB	12.5
SW-3	13-Jun-95													
SW-3	31-Oct-95													
SW-3	27-Nov-96		33				2							32
SW-3	21-Apr-97		19											
SW-3	1-Nov-97													**56**
SW-3	11-May-98													
SW-3	24-Nov-98													
SW-3	14-Apr-99													
SW-3	30-Nov-99													
SW-3	12-Apr-00													
SW-3	15-Dec-00													
SW-3	16-Apr-01													
SW-3	29-Nov-01													
SW-3	9-Apr-02													
SW-3	16-Apr-03													
SW-3	29-Oct-03					11.1								
SW-3	8-Apr-04													
SW-3	18-Nov-04													
SW-3	21-Apr-05													
SW-3	11-Nov-05													
SW-3	13-Apr-06													
SW-3	9-Nov-06													
SW-3	20-Apr-07													
SW-3	7-Nov-07				1									13
SW-3	19-May-08		28.5J			3.21J		6.36J			**4.09J**			
SW-3	6-Nov-08		40.8JB			3.41JB		5.94JB	8.95JB		**9.04JB**		6.43JB	4.79J
SW-3	16-Mar-09	3.86J	47.6JB	3.16B		5.02JB		**7.72JB**	5.76JB	5.09J	**1.89JB**	5.97	12.5JB	7.17J
SW-7	7-Nov-08		50.8JB			16.0B	10.7	**20.3B**	9.63JB		**9.62JB**		19.8JB	6.33J
SW-7	16-Mar-09	3.45J	45.5JB	5.37B		4.51JB		**7.4JB**	9.39JB		**2.04JB**		14.8JB	7.09J
SW-8	7-Nov-08		26.7JB			3.14JB		**7.10JB**			**8.56JB**		7.92JB	
SW-8	16-Mar-09		44.8JB	6.11B		5.02JB		**7.51JB**	8.08JB		**1.56JB**		10.6JB	10.2

Notes:
All units are in micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
- Concentration exceeds NC Surface Water Standards for Class C Waters
- Indicates results below detection limits
* - action level
J - Indicates the analytical result is an estimated concentration between the Method Detection Limit and the Solid Waste Section Reporting Limit (SWSL)
B - Indicates that the amount detected in the method blank was greater than the Method Detection Limit

Table 3e
Detected Surface Water Constituents - Volatile Organic Compounds
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	Acetone	Bromodichloromethane	Bromoform	2-Butanone (MEK)	Carbon Disulfide	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethane	Methylene Chloride	Tetrachloroethene	Toluene	Trichlorofluoromethane
NC2B		**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**NS**	**10.8**	**11**	**NS**
SWSL		**100**	**1**	**3**	**100**	**1000**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**5**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**
SW-2	18-Nov-04														
SW-2	21-Apr-05														
SW-2	11-Nov-05														
SW-2	14-Apr-06														
SW-2	9-Nov-06														
SW-2	19-Apr-07														
SW-2	9-Nov-07						0.2J	0.3J							
SW-2	21-May-08						0.13J								
SW-2	7-Nov-08						0.13J					0.21J			
SW-2	16-Mar-09														
SW-3	18-Nov-04														
SW-3	21-Apr-05														
SW-3	11-Nov-05														
SW-3	14-Apr-06														
SW-3	9-Nov-06														
SW-3	19-Apr-07														
SW-3	9-Nov-07	1.3J			0.9J			0.3J							
SW-3	19-May-08														
SW-3	6-Nov-08											0.25J			
SW-3	16-Mar-09														
SW-7	7-Nov-08									0.20J	0.72J				
SW-7	16-Mar-09									0.070J	0.29J				
SW-8	7-Nov-08										0.12J	0.20J			
SW-8	16-Mar-08														

Notes:
All units are in micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
- Concentration exceeds NC Surface Water Standards for Class C Waters
- Indicates results below detection limits
* - action level
J - Indicates the analytical result is an estimated concentration between the Method Detection Limit and the Solid Waste Section Reporting Limit (SWSL)
B - Indicates that the amount detected in the method blank was greater than the Method Detection Limit

Table 4
Geochemical Data
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	ORP (mV)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	BOD	COD	Methane (ug/l)	Ethane (ug/l)	Ethene (ug/l)	Chloride	Nitrate	Total Organic Carbon	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Lab)	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Field)	Sulfate (Lab)	Sulfate (Field)	Sulfide (Lab)	Free Chlorine	Carbon Dioxide	Ferrous Iron	Acetic Acid	Butyric Acid	Lactic Acid	Propionic Acid	Pyruvic Acid	Dissolved Hydrogen (nM)
MW-11	8-Dec-98	156.2	5.57	6.03						3.5	0.6	2.1	21	40				0.17	50	0.02						0.02
MW-11	18-Dec-00	742	5.69																							
MW-11	18-Apr-01		6.80																							
MW-11	27-Nov-01		5.48																							
MW-11	5-Apr-02		6.19																							
MW-11	5-Nov-02		6.14																							
MW-11	15-Apr-03		6.36																							
MW-11	29-Oct-03		6.47																							
MW-11	21-Apr-04		5.31																							
MW-11	15-May-08	135.8	5.53	6.71																						
MW-11	10-Nov-08	167.0	5.74	6.71	<2	6	<7.2	<20	<20	3.67	0.794	1.42	34		<0.3		<0.01		26	<0.2	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	
MW-11	11-Mar-09	102.5	5.34	7.16	<2	<25	<7.2	<20	<20	3.38	0.719	0.543	32.2		<0.3		<0.05		158	0.21	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	
MW-11d	8-Dec-98	123.0	6.03	4.68						3.0	0.58	0.64	14	60				0.02	30							
MW-11d	18-Dec-00	154	5.26																							
MW-11d	18-Apr-01		6.91																							
MW-11d	27-Nov-01		5.21																							
MW-11d	5-Apr-02		6.97																							
MW-11d	5-Nov-02		6.26																							
MW-11d	15-Apr-03		6.98																							
MW-11d	29-Oct-03		6.87																							
MW-11d	21-Apr-04		5.79																							
MW-11d	15-May-08	87.2	5.95	5.02																						
MW-11d	16-Mar-09	173.6	5.76	5.85																						

Table 4
Geochemical Data
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	ORP (mV)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	BOD	COD	Methane (ug/l)	Ethane (ug/l)	Ethene (ug/l)	Chloride	Nitrate	Total Organic Carbon	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Lab)	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Field)	Sulfate (Lab)	Sulfate (Field)	Sulfide (Lab)	Free Chlorine	Carbon Dioxide	Ferrous Iron	Acetic Acid	Butyric Acid	Lactic Acid	Propionic Acid	Pyruvic Acid	Dissolved Hydrogen (nM)
MW-5	3-Dec-98		5.70							5.6																
MW-5	20-Dec-00	38	5.40				4500			13.4	0.07	2.7							141							
MW-5	16-Apr-01	85	5.20							8.5		8.8			6.8				141	1.07						1.07
MW-5	3-Dec-01	103	5.68				1600			5.2					1.7				79.2							
MW-5	12-Apr-02	43	5.54				2400			3.3					4.1				44	3.7						3.7
MW-5	5-Nov-02	65	5.72				1500			8.7	0.34	1.2			4.7				244							
MW-5	17-Apr-03	-138	6.08	0.79			6600			13		13.7			4.1				9							
MW-5	4-Nov-03	-142	5.60	0.14			3200			19		1474							2055	18.5						18.5
MW-5R	14-Apr-04	-35	6.30	1.92			4040			8.19		13.8			0.444		0.08		286							
MW-5R	21-May-08	95	6.02	0.14	<2	9	709	<100	<100	3.92	0.576	11.1	280		13.3		<0.01		264	<0.2	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.1
MW-5R	10-Nov-08	113	6.07	0.31	<2	10	340	<20	<20	4.66	1.25	1.95	240		6.42		<0.01		211	<0.2	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.6
MW-5R	11-Mar-09	61	5.73	0.31	<2	<25	<7.2	<20	<20	1.6	11.9	1.23	113		6.61		<0.05		141	<0.2	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	2.1
MW-6	3-Dec-98		5.71							6.8																
MW-6	19-Dec-00	156	5.54				669			9.12	0.05	5.9							57							
MW-6	16-Apr-01	97	5.05				450			7.5		7.7			0.66				47							
MW-6	3-Dec-01	136	5.88				470			6.4					0.34				30.8							
MW-6	12-Apr-02	174	5.47				800			7.3					0.46				35							
MW-6	5-Nov-02	73	5.58				1500			11	0.36	2.1			0.74				83							
MW-6	17-Apr-03	234	5.79	0.59			2400			12	0.48	10.4			0.47				9							
MW-6	4-Nov-03	41	4.80	0.25			640			110		8.5			1.2				185							
MW-6	14-Apr-04	316	5.76	1.70			1450			190		16.6			2.2				330							
MW-6	20-May-08	115	5.94	2.41	<4	78	2740	<400	<400	185	0.19J	24.4	474		12		<0.01		466	0.22	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.1
MW-6	11-Nov-08	84	6.14	1.36	2	106	1190	<200	<200	231	<0.3	30.2	750		2.51J		<0.01		541	0.59	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.9
MW-6	11-Mar-09	59	5.81	0.46	3	86	1810	<200	<200	166	0.155J	22.3	476		8.4		<0.05		387	1.16	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	3.9

Table 4
Geochemical Data
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	ORP (mV)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	BOD	COD	Methane (ug/l)	Ethane (ug/l)	Ethene (ug/l)	Chloride	Nitrate	Total Organic Carbon	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Lab)	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Field)	Sulfate (Lab)	Sulfate (Field)	Sulfide (Lab)	Free Chlorine	Carbon Dioxide	Ferrous Iron	Acetic Acid	Butyric Acid	Lactic Acid	Propionic Acid	Pyruvic Acid	Dissolved Hydrogen (nM)
MW-8	3-Dec-98		5.75							7.3																
MW-8	20-Dec-00	66	5.42							6.84	0.09	5.9							22							
MW-8	16-Apr-01	54	5.61				17			3.2	0.23	1.3			0.89		0.04		32							
MW-8	3-Dec-01	111	6.40							3					0.61		0.01		39.6							
MW-8	12-Apr-02	51	6.00				42			3.5					0.81				31							
MW-8	5-Nov-02	44	6.05				11			3.4	0.42	0.7			1.1		0.06		29							
MW-8	17-Apr-03	171	6.30	1.70						4	0.33	2.3			1.1				13							
MW-8	4-Nov-03	127	5.15	1.68			22			3.9		0.7			1				44							
MW-8	14-Apr-04	235	5.86	1.58			371			3.82		2.2			0.912				52.8							
MW-8	20-May-08	63	5.83	1.42	<2	<5	631	<20	<20	5.85	0.158J	0.469J	59		5.46		<0.01		97	<0.2	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.1
MW-8	10-Nov-08	55	5.84	0.26	<2	5	654	<20	<20	6.29	0.211J	0.603	60		<0.3		<0.01		101	<0.2	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.4
MW-8	11-Mar-09	27	5.65	0.31	<2	<25	264	<100	<100	6.27	0.119J	0.752	68.7		0.793		<0.05		88	0.78	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	1.1
MW-9	3-Dec-98		6.27							15																
MW-9	20-Dec-00	102	4.79				3340			21.9	0.09	12.3							176							
MW-9	16-Apr-01	64	5.79				790			19	0.24	5.5			0.75				121	1.28						1.28
MW-9	3-Dec-01	21	6.41				2700			24					0.38				123	2.5						2.5
MW-9	12-Apr-02	77	6.11				1200			29					0.32				136	9.8						9.8
MW-9	5-Nov-02	39	6.16				470			24		5.7			0.66				236	1.67						1.67
MW-9	17-Apr-03	-106	6.39	0.25			1900			36		30.3			0.42				44	6.3						6.3
MW-9	4-Nov-03	-110	5.76	0.14			1800			53		14.2			0.46				312	1.48						1.48
MW-9	14-Apr-04	58	6.33	0.81			3500			92.5		13.3			0.386				299.2	ND						ND
MW-9	20-May-08	-97	6.28	1.39	7	10	2980	<400	<400	20.1	<0.3	3.12	254		0.58		<0.01		260	18.3	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	18.3
MW-9	11-Nov-08	-37	6.18	2.45	7	27	1910	<200	<200	18.9	<0.3	3.36	263		<0.3		<0.01		264	15.4	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	
MW-9	11-Mar-09	-9	6.03	2.07	<2	46	1730	<200	<200	20.1	<0.3	4.56	262		0.608		<0.05		233	17.6	<1	0.4J	<25	0.4J	<10	

Table 4
Geochemical Data
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	ORP (mV)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	BOD	COD	Methane (ug/l)	Ethane (ug/l)	Ethene (ug/l)	Chloride	Nitrate	Total Organic Carbon	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Lab)	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Field)	Sulfate (Lab)	Sulfate (Field)	Sulfide (Lab)	Free Chlorine	Carbon Dioxide	Ferrous Iron	Acetic Acid	Butyric Acid	Lactic Acid	Propionic Acid	Pyruvic Acid	Dissolved Hydrogen (nM)
MW-10	3-Dec-98		5.85							6.8																
MW-10	20-Dec-00	76	5.27				372			10.6	1.5	3.7							26							
MW-10	17-Apr-01	81	5.24				320			5.4	2.2	5.3			1.2				101							
MW-10	3-Dec-01	146	5.88							4.2	1.6				3				35.2							
MW-10	12-Apr-02	98	5.58				300			4.9					1.7				35							
MW-10	5-Nov-02	74	5.54				770			5.4	2	1.8			2.9		0.03		216							
MW-10	17-Apr-03	240	5.11	0.44			2400			5	1.4	9.2			0.82				13							
MW-10	4-Nov-03	90	4.90	0.16						6.6	1	1.7			0.66				145							
MW-10	14-Apr-04	349	5.83	0.52			341			7.3	1.3	7.8			0.78				123							
MW-10	20-May-08	-14.6	5.61	0.55	3	35	1950	<200	<200	3.77	0.162J	1.12	42		0.617		0.01		229	0.48	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	0.48
MW-10	11-Nov-08	130.0	5.50	2.76	8	30	5890	<200	<200	3.71	<0.3	1.31	96		<0.3		<0.01		370	1.79	1.4	<1	<25	<1	<10	
MW-10	11-Mar-09	97.8	5.34	2.56	<2	<25	2310	<200	<200	3.04	<0.3	3.07	105		0.649		<0.05		339	1.23	<1	<1	<25	0.3J	<10	
MW-24	8-Dec-98	145.9	5.75	1.02						4.2		2.5	66	140		2		0.07	260	0.78						0.78
MW-24	20-Dec-00	67	5.61				4410			8.25	0.08	2.5							101							
MW-24	16-Apr-01	93	5.20				2500			4.2	0.28	5			0.59				121	1.18						1.18
MW-24	3-Dec-01	103	5.83				2100			2.9									52.8	0.3						0.3
MW-24	12-Apr-02	17	5.59				1800			1.5									92	0.6						0.6
MW-24	5-Nov-02	62	5.76				2200			0.56		1.6			0.48		0.01		171	0.21						0.21
MW-24	17-Apr-03	10	5.79	0.50			88			3.6	0.33	4.4			0.35				33							
MW-24	4-Nov-03	-20	4.92	0.42			17			2.9		1.7			0.46				141							
MW-24	14-Apr-04	178	6.29	2.09			139			2.94		6.2			0.42				88							
MW-24	20-May-08	101	5.84	4.56	4	<5	<7.2	<20	<20	3.6	0.273J	0.421J	39		<0.3		0.03		75	2.72	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	2.72

Table 4
Geochemical Data
North Wake Unlined Landfill
Assessment of Corrective Measures Report

Monitor Well	Sample Date	ORP (mV)	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	BOD	COD	Methane (ug/l)	Ethane (ug/l)	Ethene (ug/l)	Chloride	Nitrate	Total Organic Carbon	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Lab)	Alkalinity (CaCO3 - Field)	Sulfate (Lab)	Sulfate (Field)	Sulfide (Lab)	Free Chlorine	Carbon Dioxide	Ferrous Iron	Acetic Acid	Butyric Acid	Lactic Acid	Propionic Acid	Pyruvic Acid	Dissolved Hydrogen (nM)
TB-1a	9-Dec-98	193.8	5.98	0.64						4.1		0.54	95	120		2		0.05	500	0.15						0.15
TB-1a	20-Dec-00	63	5.52				2470			6.6	0.09	3.3							62							
TB-1a	17-Apr-01	78	5.63				1500			5.1	0.29	2.4			0.58		0.1		99							
TB-1a	3-Dec-01	111	6.01							3.1									52.8							
TB-1a	12-Apr-02	42	5.70				990			1.6									84							
TB-1a	5-Nov-02	58	5.83				400			4.2		0.7			0.47				138							
TB-1a	17-Apr-03	163	5.82	0.54			17			6.1	0.79	8.5			0.82		0.03		4							
TB-1a	4-Nov-03	1	5.04	0.16						7.5		1.6			0.46				213							
TB-1a	14-Apr-04	257	5.36	0.94						8.47		4.8			0.577				101.2							
TB-1a	20-May-08	-102	5.94	2.22	<2	<5	<7.2	<20	<20	14.9	0.356	0.864	42		11.6		<0.01		48	0.24	<1	<1	<25	<1	0.9J	0.24
TB-1a	11-Nov-08	65	6.11	4.08	<2	50	5.1J	<20	<20	15.1	0.338	1.13	42		4.08		<0.01		106	1.59	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	
TB-1a	11-Mar-09	-44	5.91	1.98	<2	<25	<7.2	<20	<20	12.8	0.209J	1.05	53.4		10.5		<0.05		114	3.06	<1	<1	<25	<1	<10	

Notes:

MW-11 & MW-11d are background wells for the site

All units are in milligrams per liter (parts per million), unless otherwise noted.

ND - Not Detected

-Blank Cells Indicate No Data Collected

-Field Tests Performed with HACH test kits

J - Indicates the analytical result is an estimated concentration between the Method Detection Limit and the Solid Waste Section Reporting Limit (SWSL)

Appendix B

Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time Trend Figures for Select Wells

Figure 1
MW-6
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill



MW-6 Chart

Figure 2
MW-9
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill



Figure 3
MW-10
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill



MW-10 Chart

Figure 4
MW-23
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill



MW-23 Chart

Figure 5
MW-24
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill



Figure 6
TB-1A
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill



TB-1A Chart

Figure 7
MW-34
Total Detected Volatile Organic Compounds Vs. Time
North Wake Unlined Landfill