

Walch, John

From: Brown, Dave
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 4:29 PM
To: Walch, John
Subject: RE: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

HREs were prepared and forwarded for each location on Dec. 9, 2010.

Vince Resampled all 4 on Feb. 8, 2011 -w- trip blank. Nothing was detected.

David

From: Walch, John
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 4:19 PM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Dave-

Do you know what ever happened to this site? I do not see it in our database or on the WSW Spreadsheet

From: Brown, Dave
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 9:07 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: RE: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Looks like since its below the MCL, the HRE is the action we would currently take, correct? I pulled up the aerial, the area doesn't look heavily developed.

From: Walch, John
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:47 AM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Dave-

Can you look into this. Please make sure that UST is having Dave Lilley prepare and will be issuing HREs for all impacted wells.

We will need Mark Durway to send us some info (lab data, maps, etc.)

Thanks

*John W. Walch
Eastern Unit Supervisor
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management
Superfund Section*

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Jesneck, Charlotte
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

FYI

From: Durway, Mark
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 1:39 PM
To: Jesneck, Charlotte; Butler, Jack
Cc: Lilley, David; Powers, Mark
Subject: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

DENR UST Incident 26824 (Pantry 385, now Wayside Grocery) is located in Sanford, Lee County, at 3420 Wicker St. Of 3 or so nearby private wells we have them periodically test, one just came back with tetrachloroethylene (PCE) at 3.5 ug/l. To my knowledge, no contamination had been present in the well since testing began in August 2006. This contaminated well, referred to as PW4, is located about 490' from the Pantry at the Brian and Lee Ann Hinesley residence at 105 S. Franklin Dr, and currently serves as the home's water supply. Of 18 monitoring wells sampled at the Pantry this past September, three had PCE at levels of 0.84 to 2.7 ug/l.

Dave, you might have received by now the fax health risk evaluation request which I sent you this morning. Teresa will send out the original next week when she returns to the office. Meanwhile, I will give Lee County Health Department about all this.

Please call Mark or me to discuss or if you need additional information.

D. Mark Durway, L.G.
Hydrogeologist II
Raleigh Regional Office
NC DENR UST Section
Tel (919) 791-4200, main
Tel (919) 791-4225, direct
Fax (919) 571-4718
email: mark.durway@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Walch, John

From: Walch, John
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 4:19 PM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Dave-

Do you know what ever happened to this site? I do not see it in our database or on the WSW Spreadsheet

From: Brown, Dave
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 9:07 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: RE: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Looks like since its below the MCL, the HRE is the action we would currently take, correct? I pulled up the aerial, the area doesn't look heavily developed.

From: Walch, John
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:47 AM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Dave-

Can you look into this. Please make sure that UST is having Dave Lilley prepare and will be issuing HREs for all impacted wells.

We will need Mark Durway to send us some info (lab data, maps, etc.)

Thanks

*John W. Walch
Eastern Unit Supervisor
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management
Superfund Section*

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Jesneck, Charlotte
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

FYI

From: Durway, Mark
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 1:39 PM
To: Jesneck, Charlotte; Butler, Jack
Cc: Lilley, David; Powers, Mark
Subject: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

DENR UST Incident 26824 (Pantry 385, now Wayside Grocery) is located in Sanford, Lee County, at 3420 Wicker St. Of 3 or so nearby private wells we have them periodically test, one just came back with tetrachloroethylene (PCE) at 3.5 ug/l. To my knowledge, no contamination had been present in the well since testing began in August 2006. This contaminated well, referred to as PW4, is located about 490' from the Pantry at the Brian and Lee Ann Hinesley residence at 105 S. Franklin Dr, and currently serves as the home's water supply. Of 18 monitoring wells sampled at the Pantry this past September, three had PCE at levels of 0.84 to 2.7 ug/l.

Dave, you might have received by now the fax health risk evaluation request which I sent you this morning. Teresa will send out the original next week when she returns to the office. Meanwhile, I will give Lee County Health Department about all this.

Please call Mark or me to discuss or if you need additional information.

D. Mark Durway, L.G.
Hydrogeologist II
Raleigh Regional Office
NC DENR UST Section
Tel (919) 791-4200, main
Tel (919) 791-4225, direct
Fax (919) 571-4718
email: mark.durway@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Snavely, Keith

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2013 1:00 PM
To: Snavely, Keith
Subject: RE: Pantry 385 site, 3420 Wicker Street, Sanford, Lee County Incident # 26824.

Keith,

I am temporarily overseeing the incident at this time. As far as I know the only remediation being conducted at the site is for the petroleum contamination. Any other work will have to be directed by your group. Being a trust fund site I believe it is restricted to only petroleum contamination cleanup. The water supply well sampling should continue as before.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Snavely, Keith
Sent: Friday, April 12, 2013 11:54 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Subject: Pantry 385 site, 3420 Wicker Street, Sanford, Lee County Incident # 26824.

Mickey,

As a follow-up to John Walch's April 1, 2013 email to you, are you still overseeing remedial activities at this Site and is the consultant (ATC) in addition to the handling the petroleum issue also addressing (monitoring) the hazardous substance contamination issue. If so, our next step we be to add this site to the Branch's inventory of sites under our "UST Lead" category. Are there any plans by the UST Section to request the RP sample additional potable wells other than PW-3 and PW-4? These were found to be clean based on the March 20, 2013 info you sent to us at the Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch.

Thanks,
Keith

Keith Snavely, Hydrogeologist
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management

Office #: (919) 707-8355
Fax #: (919) 707-8355
email: Keith.Snavely@ncdenr.gov

Address: Green Square Complex
DENR Office Building
217 West Jones Street
Office 3212-E
Raleigh, NC 27603

1646 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the NC Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties

Walch, John

From: Walch, John
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 2:31 PM
To: Roberts, Mickey; Roberts, Mickey
Subject: Pantry 385 Sanford Lee Co.

Mickey-

I have reviewed the package that you recently sent to the Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch for the Pantry 385 Site in Sanford. Based on the information provided, it appears that petroleum contamination is the major concern at the Site at this time. Are you still overseeing remedial activities at this Site and is the consultant also addressing the hazardous substance contamination issues?

If so, I propose adding this site to the Branch's inventory of sites under our "USTS Lead" category. When the USTS completes activities at the Site or the responsible party stops cooperating or if at any time the hazardous substance contamination/plume becomes a larger issue, the Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch move this from the USTS Lead category to our active inventory and contact the RP to require that they address hazardous substance issues at the Site under the Branch's regulatory authority.

Finally, due to the large number of wells around the Site, has the responsible party performed or will you require a widespread potable well sampling event? The info we received indicates that there are 30 potable wells within 1,500 feet of the source area but it appears that only PW-3 & PW-4 were sampled (PW-2 was not accessible at time of last sampling event).

Thanks

John

*John W. Walch
Eastern Unit Supervisor
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management
Superfund Section
(919) 707-8356*

***Green Square Complex DENR Office Building
217 W. Jones Street
Raleigh, NC 27603***

***Mailing Address:
1646 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699***

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Snavely, Keith

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2013 8:35 AM
To: Snavely, Keith
Subject: RE: Pantry #285 incident #26824

Keith,
Like I said I'm not familiar with the site so I'll have to review the file. I'll check on it as soon as I can.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Snavely, Keith
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 4:57 PM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Subject: RE: Pantry #285 incident #26824

Mickey,

You can forward the chlorinated solvent issue to us at IHSB for us to review. I reviewed our database, but we did not have any sites on Wickerstreet in Sanford NC. Do you know if there are any impacts to water supply wells in the area around the Pantry for this site? What are the Plans of the UST section for this site, will it be a UST lead site or do you need us to address the chlorinated issue at the site beyond cataloging and or flagging this site as a UST lead site in our database.

Keith

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 3:33 PM
To: Snavely, Keith
Subject: FW: Pantry #285 incident #26824

Keith,
I received this information from the consultant working on one of the UST incidents in our region. I'm not very familiar with the site. It was one of Mark Durway's incidents when he was here and his position has not been filled yet so I'm filling in right now. Please let me know who I need to refer this to.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Kurt Ness [<mailto:kurt.ness@atcassociates.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 9:49 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Subject: Pantry #285 incident #26824

Mickey:

Based on recent data, I see that chlorinated solvents (1,2-dichloroethane, PCE, carbon tetrachloride) are showing up once again both on and off site at pretty stout levels. I have attached the results of the August 2012 event. How has DENR been handling these type of sites with regards to further assessment/remediation? Obviously there is still petroleum impacted groundwater so we will continue to move forward. BTW the system bid specs for the CAP are forthcoming.

Thanks,

Kurt

Kurt H. Ness, P.G.
SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER
CARDNO ATC

[X]

Phone (+1) 919-871-0999 Fax (+1) 919-871-0335 Mobile (+1) 919-637-4194 Address 2725 East Millbrook, Suite 121, Raleigh, NC 27604 Email kurt.ness@cardno.com<<mailto:kurt.ness@cardno.com>> Web www.cardnoatc.com<<http://www.cardnoatc.com>> - www.cardno.com<<http://www.cardno.com>>

This email and its attachments may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). All electronically supplied data must be checked against an applicable hardcopy version which shall be the only document which Cardno warrants accuracy. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, distribution or copying of the information contained in this email and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please email the sender by replying to this message and immediately delete and destroy any copies of this email and any attachments. The views or opinions expressed are the author's own and may not reflect the views or opinions of Cardno.

Snavely, Keith

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 3:33 PM
To: Snavely, Keith
Subject: FW: Pantry #285 incident #26824
Attachments: gw data.pdf

Keith,

I received this information from the consultant working on one of the UST incidents in our region. I'm not very familiar with the site. It was one of Mark Durway's incidents when he was here and his position has not been filled yet so I'm filling in right now. Please let me know who I need to refer this to.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Kurt Ness [<mailto:kurt.ness@atcassociates.com>]
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 9:49 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Subject: Pantry #285 incident #26824

Mickey:

Based on recent data, I see that chlorinated solvents (1,2-dichloroethane, PCE, carbon tetrachloride) are showing up once again both on and off site at pretty stout levels. I have attached the results of the August 2012 event. How has DENR been handling these type of sites with regards to further assessment/remediation? Obviously there is still petroleum impacted groundwater so we will continue to move forward. BTW the system bid specs for the CAP are forthcoming.

Thanks,

Kurt

Kurt H. Ness, P.G.
SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER
CARDNO ATC



Phone (+1) 919-871-0999 Fax (+1) 919-871-0335 Mobile (+1) 919-637-4194
Address 2725 East Millbrook, Suite 121, Raleigh, NC 27604
Email kurt.ness@cardno.com Web www.cardnoatc.com - www.cardno.com

This email and its attachments may contain confidential and/or privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). All electronically supplied data must be checked against an applicable hardcopy version which shall be the only document which Cardno warrants accuracy. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, distribution or copying of the information contained in this email and its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please email the sender by replying to this message and immediately delete and destroy any copies of this email and any attachments. The views or opinions expressed are the author's own and may not reflect the views or opinions of Cardno.

Snavely, Keith

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Wednesday, December 05, 2012 10:36 AM
To: Snavely, Keith
Subject: FW: Gas taste in well water

Keith,

Just wanted to let you know that another well near the Needmore General Store incident has been sampled and has some 1, 2 –dichloropropane as you can see in the attached emails. I have sent the sample results to Dave Lilley for his review.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Blalock, Linda
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2012 4:50 PM
To: Genna Olson; Roberts, Mickey
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Great work, you two. Mickey, I assume that you will request an HRE from Dave Lilley and then notify the property owner/renter? Any way I can help, like get the name of the renter from Arthur? Linda B

From: Genna Olson [mailto:genna.olson@cardno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 04, 2012 3:50 PM
To: Blalock, Linda; Roberts, Mickey
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Mickey and Linda – Attached are the lab results for the supply well referenced below. The only hit is 1,2-dichloropropane, which is commonly detected in the area likely due to historical tobacco farming operations. The concentration is 0.7 ppb, which is above the 2L Standard of 0.6 ppb but below the EPA MCL of 5 ppb. Since the concentration is below the MCL, I assume no water restrictions will be recommended by NCDENR. Let me know if you need anything else from us or would like us to take any further action.

Genna

Genna K. Olson, P.G. | Senior Project Manager | ATC Associates of North Carolina, P.C. | Raleigh, NC
(919) 573-1193 direct | (919) 606-9926 mobile

2725 East Millbrook Road | Suite 121 | Raleigh, NC 27604
(919) 871-0999 tel | (919) 871-0335 fax | www.atcassociates.com

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your computer.

From: Blalock, Linda [mailto:linda.blalock@ncdenr.gov]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 10:28 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey; Edwards, Jared; Genna Olson
Cc: Mcintosh, Craig; Ryals, Scott
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Thanks everybody!!! Didn't know it was so complicated!!!! Given that this is a water supply well and could potentially be contaminated, I think this shows the state and the RP being very responsive and protective of human health. Been enough bad press lately about the state knowing and not doing anything. Glad that Wake Forest subdivision solvent situation is not ours!!! ☺

Linda B

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 10:22 AM
To: Edwards, Jared; Genna Olson; Blalock, Linda
Cc: Mcintosh, Craig; Ryals, Scott
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Genna,
Based on the response from Jared I am giving you a verbal approval but you must follow the guidelines he has discussed in his email. Please let me know if you have any further questions. You can just email me the preapproval.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Edwards, Jared
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 10:16 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey; Genna Olson; Blalock, Linda
Cc: Mcintosh, Craig; Ryals, Scott
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Mickey,

The RRD Scope of Work Document and Price List indicate that the Regional Office has authority to approve a the application of a rush surcharge on Task 4.090 lab analyses. Without RO approval, any rush surcharges would be denied. Instead, with your approval, ATC could do the rush event and get (some) reimbursement coverage for it.

However, please note that the allowable maximum rates for the 4.090 lab codes are defined as "not to exceed" rates, and all tasks are limited such that "Maximum rates are based on the most extreme conditions under which to perform a specified task" in the 2010 RRD Price List.

Under that limitation (that 'max rates' are in fact supposed to be 'maximums' and not default billing 'minimums') and the absence of any specified RRD approval of an acceptable surcharge for rushing samples (i.e., there's nothing indicating that +50% or +100% markup of a 'max rate' would be allowed), the combined base rate and surcharge for any accelerated turn around must comply with the existing RRD price list itself.

So, for example, if a lab is performing a 6200 suite for \$58 per run, a surcharge of 25% would still fit within the \$73 maximum and be reimbursable with your approval of the rush turnaround. But a 100% markup to \$116 would not be fully covered.

This is somewhat comparable to the situation faced with other tasks as well where most consultants/contractors default to billing at the maximum for all charges regardless of variability between sites (e.g., charging \$155 per well to sample a local site allowing the firm to cover some overhead that they may not have a margin for where only getting the same \$155 per well at a site clear across the state.) That's the background behind the 'win-some, lose-some' colloquialism related to Trust Fund rates.

Beyond that, your verbal approval of the tasks with those preset maximums would be sufficient to have the sampling performed same-day.

Jared M. Edwards
Hydrogeologist II
NC Department of Environment & Natural Resources
Division of Waste Management - UST Section
1637 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1637
Ph/Fx: (919) 707-8153
jared.edwards@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Roberts, Mickey
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 9:13 AM
To: Genna Olson; Blalock, Linda
Cc: Mcintosh, Craig; Edwards, Jared
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Genna - I will have to check on it with Craig.

Craig - Can we get funds for a 24hr turn around on the water supply well sample discussed below? If so can we have a verbal approval to help move things along?

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Genna Olson [genna.olson@cardno.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 9:08 AM
To: Blalock, Linda; Roberts, Mickey
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Mickey – Will the Trust Fund pay for that?

From: Blalock, Linda [<mailto:linda.blalock@ncdenr.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 9:05 AM
To: Genna Olson; Roberts, Mickey
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Thanks, Genna. I really appreciate both you and Mickey responding so quickly. Any chance we could put a 24-hour rush on it, given that we are talking about a water supply well?

From: Genna Olson [<mailto:genna.olson@cardno.com>]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 9:02 AM
To: Blalock, Linda; Roberts, Mickey
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

He did and we are going to try to sample this afternoon.

From: Blalock, Linda [<mailto:linda.blalock@ncdenr.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 8:58 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Cc: Genna Olson
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

Mickey, Did you give Genna the OK to sample this well?
Linda B

From: Genna Olson [<mailto:genna.olson@cardno.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 12:27 PM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Cc: Blalock, Linda
Subject: RE: Gas taste in well water

We have never sampled that well. We sampled fewer potable wells than planned during our last event because some wells were inaccessible. Do you want us to run out and grab a sample under our current task authorization?

From: Roberts, Mickey [<mailto:mickey.roberts@ncdenr.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 12:12 PM
To: Genna Olson
Cc: Blalock, Linda
Subject: FW: Gas taste in well water

Genna,
Sorry to bother you but can you look into this please. It appears to be just west of the Needmore General Store site.

Thanks,
Mickey

From: Blalock, Linda
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2012 10:15 AM
To: Roberts, Mickey
Subject: FW: Gas taste in well water

Mickey, I'll call you..... This is the Needmore General Store incident, but you probably could readily figure that out from the address!! ☺

Linda B

From: Arthur Mouberry [<mailto:amouberry@fuquay-varina.org>]
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2012 4:06 PM
To: Blalock, Linda
Cc: 'Chris Grimes'
Subject: Gas taste in well water

Linda,

Need some assistance from you. We have a Town employee that is renting at 1833 Bass Lake Road here in southern Wake County. You have an incident adjacent to this property involving Cary Oil Company or Stephenson Family. The Trust fund has run a water line down Bass Lake Road and connected several families to this line already due to this incident. In talking to this employee today he was saying how his well water smell funny and taste like gas. Can you arrange for someone to come out and test the well water at this location and take appropriate steps based on your sample results? Please call me if you have questions or need any additional information.

Thanks,
Arthur

Arthur Mouberry, P.E.
Director of Public Works
Town of Fuquay-Varina
401 Old Honeycutt Road
Fuquay-Varina, North Carolina 27526
Work (919) 552-1402
Fax (919) 552-7481
Cell (919) 594-4707

Walch, John

From: Assefa, Hanna
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2011 11:01 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: RE: HRE- Pantry 385 (aka Wayside Grocery) Sanford, Lee County

Did he give you street addresses?

From: Walch, John
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2011 9:34 AM
To: Lilley, David; Assefa, Hanna
Cc: Brown, Dave
Subject: HRE- Pantry 385 (aka Wayside Grocery) Sanford, Lee County

Dave & Hanna-

Did either of you prepare HREs for a UST Site called Pantry 385 or Wayside Grocery in Sanford (Incident No. 26824). Mark Durway sent an email to Charlotte & Jack on 12/1/10 notifying the IHSB about the PCE discovered in three nearby wells. If HREs were prepared, can I please get copies? Thanks.

John

*John W. Walch
Eastern Unit Supervisor
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management
Superfund Section
(919) 508-8485
email: John.Walch@ncdenr.gov*

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Walch, John

From: Brown, Dave
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2011 9:00 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

From: Assefa, Hanna
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 11:42 AM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: RE: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

O.K WILL SEND YOU A COPY

From: Brown, Dave
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 10:22 AM
To: Assefa, Hanna
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Mark Durway,

(See Below)

From: Walch, John
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:47 AM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Dave-

Can you look into this. Please make sure that UST is having Dave Lilley prepare and will be issuing HREs for all impacted wells.

We will need Mark Durway to send us some info (lab data, maps, etc.)

Thanks

*John W. Walch
Eastern Unit Supervisor
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management
Superfund Section*

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Jesneck, Charlotte
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

FYI

From: Durway, Mark
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 1:39 PM
To: Jesneck, Charlotte; Butler, Jack
Cc: Lilley, David; Powers, Mark
Subject: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

DENR UST Incident 26824 (Pantry 385, now Wayside Grocery) is located in Sanford, Lee County, at 3420 Wicker St. Of 3 or so nearby private wells we have them periodically test, one just came back with tetrachloroethylene (PCE) at 3.5 ug/l. To my knowledge, no contamination had been present in the well since testing began in August 2006. This contaminated well, referred to as PW4, is located about 490' from the Pantry at the Brian and Lee Ann Hinesley residence at 105 S. Franklin Dr, and currently serves as the home's water supply. Of 18 monitoring wells sampled at the Pantry this past September, three had PCE at levels of 0.84 to 2.7 ug/l.

Dave, you might have received by now the fax health risk evaluation request which I sent you this morning. Teresa will send out the original next week when she returns to the office. Meanwhile, I will give Lee County Health Department about all this.

Please call Mark or me to discuss or if you need additional information.

D. Mark Durway, L.G.
Hydrogeologist II
Raleigh Regional Office
NC DENR UST Section
Tel (919) 791-4200, main
Tel (919) 791-4225, direct
Fax (919) 571-4718
email: mark.durway@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Walch, John

From: Brown, Dave
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 9:07 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: RE: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Looks like since its below the MCL, the HRE is the action we would currently take, correct? I pulled up the aerial, the area doesn't look heavily developed.

From: Walch, John
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:47 AM
To: Brown, Dave
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

Dave-

Can you look into this. Please make sure that UST is having Dave Lilley prepare and will be issuing HREs for all impacted wells.

We will need Mark Durway to send us some info (lab data, maps, etc.)

Thanks

*John W. Walch
Eastern Unit Supervisor
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch
NC Division of Waste Management
Superfund Section*

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

From: Jesneck, Charlotte
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

FYI

From: Durway, Mark
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 1:39 PM
To: Jesneck, Charlotte; Butler, Jack
Cc: Lilley, David; Powers, Mark
Subject: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

DENR UST Incident 26824 (Pantry 385, now Wayside Grocery) is located in Sanford, Lee County, at 3420 Wicker St. Of 3 or so nearby private wells we have them periodically test, one just came back with tetrachloroethylene (PCE) at 3.5 ug/l. To my knowledge, no contamination had been present in the well since testing began in August 2006. This contaminated well, referred to as PW4, is located about 490' from the Pantry at the Brian and Lee Ann Hinesley

residence at 105 S. Franklin Dr, and currently serves as the home's water supply. Of 18 monitoring wells sampled at the Pantry this past September, three had PCE at levels of 0.84 to 2.7 ug/l.

Dave, you might have received by now the fax health risk evaluation request which I sent you this morning. Teresa will send out the original next week when she returns to the office. Meanwhile, I will give Lee County Health Department about all this.

Please call Mark or me to discuss or if you need additional information.

D. Mark Durway, L.G.
Hydrogeologist II
Raleigh Regional Office
NC DENR UST Section
Tel (919) 791-4200, main
Tel (919) 791-4225, direct
Fax (919) 571-4718
email: mark.durway@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Walch, John

From: Jesneck, Charlotte
Sent: Thursday, December 02, 2010 8:42 AM
To: Walch, John
Subject: FW: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

FYI

From: Durway, Mark
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 2010 1:39 PM
To: Jesneck, Charlotte; Butler, Jack
Cc: Lilley, David; Powers, Mark
Subject: PCE contaminated private supply well in Sanford

DENR UST Incident 26824 (Pantry 385, now Wayside Grocery) is located in Sanford, Lee County, at 3420 Wicker St. Of 3 or so nearby private wells we have them periodically test, one just came back with tetrachloroethylene (PCE) at 3.5 ug/l. To my knowledge, no contamination had been present in the well since testing began in August 2006. This contaminated well, referred to as PW4, is located about 490' from the Pantry at the Brian and Lee Ann Hinesley residence at 105 S. Franklin Dr, and currently serves as the home's water supply. Of 18 monitoring wells sampled at the Pantry this past September, three had PCE at levels of 0.84 to 2.7 ug/l.

Dave, you might have received by now the fax health risk evaluation request which I sent you this morning. Teresa will send out the original next week when she returns to the office. Meanwhile, I will give Lee County Health Department about all this.

Please call Mark or me to discuss or if you need additional information.

D. Mark Durway, L.G.
Hydrogeologist II
Raleigh Regional Office
NC DENR UST Section
Tel (919) 791-4200, main
Tel (919) 791-4225, direct
Fax (919) 571-4718
email: mark.durway@ncdenr.gov

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

