


\*343IHSSF2211\*



DocumentID NONCD0002853

Site Name EATON MANUFACTURING

DocumentType Correspondence (C)

RptSegment 1

DocDate 5/23/2008

DocRcvd 5/27/2008

Box SF2211

AccessLevel PUBLIC

Division WASTE MANAGEMENT

Section SUPERFUND

Program IHS (IHS)

DocCat FACILITY



Eaton Corporation  
1111 Superior Avenue  
Cleveland Ohio, 44114  
216-523-4777

May 23, 2008

Mr. David Brown, L.G.  
Inactive Hazardous Sites Branch  
NC Division of Waste Management  
Superfund Section  
225 Green Street, Suite 714  
Fayetteville, NC 28301

**RE:** Completed Notarized Voluntary Cleanup Checklist  
1100 East Preston Street, Selma, NC  
Site ID Number: Pending

Dear Mr. Brown.

Enclosed is the notarized Voluntary Cleanup Checklist as requested in your May 15, 2008 letter to myself and Eaton Corporation.

For issues related to this site, please contact me at the address above or by telephone (216) 523-4777.

Regards,

Jeffrey Allen  
Manager, Environment and Waste  
Eaton Corporation



cc: John Shallcross - Johnson County Industries, Inc. (via email)  
Lynn Rogozinski - Eaton Legal  
Barbara Oslund - Solutions IES (via email)

Checklist Attached:



## Voluntary Cleanup Checklist

Parties interested in volunteering should prepare this form with the assistance of an environmental consultant. All cooperative parties are eligible for Branch-approved voluntary actions. Answer all questions and provide written descriptions where needed.

NCDENR Site Name, City and County Former Eaton Manufacturing Facility, Johnston County, Selma

1. Is the site located on or immediately adjacent to residential property, schools, day-care centers or other sensitive populations?  Y  N  
If yes, please explain on a separate page.
2. What is the distance (from site property line) to the nearest residence, school or day-care center? Please attach a site location map. 1,025 FT
3. Is the site completely surrounded by a locked fence?  Y  N  
If no, please explain security measures at the site on a separate page.
4. Are site surface soils known to be contaminated?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, describe briefly on a separate page.
5. Is site groundwater known to be contaminated?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, describe briefly on a separate page.
6. Is site sediment or surface water known to be contaminated?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, describe briefly on a separate page.
7. Has groundwater contamination affected any drinking water wells?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.
8. What is the distance to the nearest downgradient drinking water well? 1,000 FT
9. What is the distance to the nearest downstream surface water intake? UNKNOWN
10. Are hazardous vapors, air emissions or contaminated dust migrating into occupied residential, commercial or industrial areas?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.
11. Have hazardous substances known to have migrated off property at concentrations in excess of Branch unrestricted-use remediation goals?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.
12. Has the local community expressed concerns about contamination at the site?  Y  N  
If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.
13. Based on current information, are there any sensitive environments located on the property (sensitive environments are identified in the Remedial Investigation Work Plans section of the IHSB "Guidelines for Assessment and Cleanup" at [www.wastenotnc.org/sfhome/stateleadguidance.pdf](http://www.wastenotnc.org/sfhome/stateleadguidance.pdf))?  Y  N

If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.

14. Based on current information, has contamination from the site migrated into any sensitive environments?  Y  N

If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.

15. Do site contaminants include radioactive or mixed radioactive and chemical wastes?  Y  N

If yes, or unknown, please explain on a separate page.

### Remediating Party Certification Statement

I hereby certify that the responses provided above are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for willfully submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information.

Jeff P. Allen (Signature of Remediating Party Representative)      5/22/08 (Date)

Jeff P. Allen, Technical Manager, Environmental Services Department  
(Printed Name and Title of Remediating Party Representative)

Eaton Corporation  
(Printed Name of Company)

OHIO  
(State in which signature is witnessed)

CUYAHOGA County

I, LYNN R. RUGUZINSKI, a Notary Public of said County and State, do hereby certify that JEFF P. ALLEN did personally appear and sign before me this the 22nd day of MAY, 2008.

Lynn R. Ruguzinski  
Notary Public (signature)

LYNN R. RUGUZINSKI, Attny.  
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OHIO  
My Commission Has No Expir. Date  
O.R.C. Section 147.03

(OFFICIAL SEAL)

My commission expires: NO EXPI. DATE O.R.C. § 147.03

Environmental Consultant Certification Statement

I hereby certify that the responses provided above are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for willfully submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information.

Barbara L. Oslund (Signature) 5/20/2008 (Date)

Barbara L. Oslund  
(Printed Name)

Solutions-IES, Inc.  
(Printed Name of Environmental Consultant)

North Carolina  
(State in which signature is witnessed)

Wake County

I, Mary Jean Howard, a Notary Public of said County and State, do hereby certify that Barbara Oslund did personally appear and sign before me this the 20th day of May, 2008.

Mary Jean Howard  
Notary Public (signature)

(OFFICIAL SEAL)

My commission expires: 6/17/09

**ATTACHMENT A**

**Item 4. Are site surface soils known to be contaminated?**

During Phase II assessment activities conducted by Mid-Atlantic Associates (MAA) during a property transfer assessment, one soil boring had impacts at a depth of 2-4 feet below ground surface (ft bgs). The attached draft table by MAA summarizes the laboratory data for soils.

**Item 5. Is site groundwater known to be contaminated?**

During the Phase II property assessment activities conducted by MAA, groundwater from seven borings was sampled. The reported analytical data indicated impacts to groundwater from all seven borings. Please see the attached summary table of groundwater analytical data.

TABLE - DRAFT  
SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS DETECTED IN GROUNDWATER  
FORMER EATON SITE  
SELMA, NORTH CAROLINA  
SAMPLING DATE: JANUARY 24, 2008  
MID-ATLANTIC JOB NO. R1941.01

COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION (ug/L)							NCGQS (ug/L)
	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5	B-6	B-7	
Benzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	228	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1
Ethylbenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	158	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	550
Toluene	0.52	0.74	1.81	114	0.35 J	0.46 J	0.45 J	1,000
Total Xylenes	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	734	0.28 J	<1.5	<1.5	530
MTBE	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.34	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	25.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	170
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	147	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	1.4
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	586	<0.5	0.70	<0.5	24
Vinyl Chloride	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	43.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.015
Trichlorofluoromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	297	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2100
1,1-Dichloroethene	58.2	13.8	4.9	4,220	1.53	1.29	5.89	7
Dichloromethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	26.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.6
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	4.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	100
1,1-Dichloroethane	5.28	3.79	18.1	285	<0.5	1.86	3.28	70
Chloroform	5.65	<0.5	<0.5	49	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	70
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.89	<0.5	<0.5	2,970	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	200
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	52.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.269
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	16.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.38
Trichloroethene	42.4	2.03	1.25	1,420	5.35	2.74	1.36	2.8
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	18.4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NE
Tetrachloroethene	222	192	3.17	37,100	13.5	7.52	9.28	0.7
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	10.6	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	NE
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	7.83	5.34	2.39	644	6.03	6.62	2.32	70

**NOTES:**

All results in micrograms per liter (ug/L)

J - Estimated concentration, below the calibration range and above the Method Detection Limit (MDL)

NCGQS - North Carolina Groundwater Quality Standards

NA - Not analyzed

**BOLD** numbers equal or exceed the North Carolina Groundwater Quality Standard

\* - MDL exceeds the NCGQS

TABLE - DRAFT  
SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS DETECTED IN SOIL  
FORMER EATON SITE  
SELMA, NORTH CAROLINA  
MID-ATLANTIC JOB NO. R1941.01

CHEMICAL CONSTITUENT	ANALYTICAL METHOD	CONCENTRATION (mg/Kg or PPM)				INACTIVE HAZARDOUS SITES BRANCH SOIL REMEDIATION GOALS (mg/Kg)
		B-1 0' - 2' BLS 1/24/2008	B-2 4' - 6" BLS 1/24/2008	B-3 4' - 6" BLS 1/24/2008	B-4 2' - 4' BLS 1/24/2008	
Ethylbenzene	5035/8260	<0.00892	<0.0105	<0.0102	22.14	380
Total Xylenes	5035/8260	<0.02676	<0.03150	<0.0306	105	54
Tetrachloroethene	5035/8260	<0.00892	<0.0105	<0.0102	0.0751	0.48
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	5035/8260	<0.00892	<0.0105	<0.0102	5,562	220
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	5035/8260	<0.00892	<0.0105	<0.0102	44.4	106
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	5035/8260	<0.00892	<0.0105	<0.0102	60.207	3.4
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	8270	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	9.88	220
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	8270	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	19.749	106
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8270	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	62.288	3.4
TVA Reading (PPM)	NA	10.72	7.71	1.99	137	NA

BLS - Below Land Surface  
mg/Kg - Milligrams per Kilogram  
Bold values in excess of Soil Remediation Goal  
NA - Not Applicable  
ND - None Detected Above Laboratory Quantitation Limit  
PPM - Parts per million