566SERBSF10,633

566SERBSF10,633

Site Name (Subject): TREND LINE FURNITURE CORP.

Site ID (Document ID): NCD000648436

Document Name (DocType): Contractor Report (CONTR)

Report Segment:

Description: FIT Screening Site Inspection, Phase I

Date of Document: 2/5/1990

Date Received:

Box: *Enter SF and # with no spaces* SF10,633

Access Level: PUBLIC

Division: WASTE MANAGEMENT

Section: SUPERFUND

Program (Document Group): SERB (SERB)

Document Category: FACILITY

Print Report for Record

Go to New Blank Record

Go to New Record - (default to last record values)

Delete Record

NUS
CORPORATION
1927 LAKESIDE PARKWAY
SUITE 614
TUCKER, GEORGIA 30084
404-938-7710

SISB/SAS
RECEIVED
FEB 09 1990
EPA - REGION IV
ATLANTA, GA.
RECEIVED
FEB 20 1990
SUPERFUND SECTION

C-586-2-0-47

February 5, 1990

Mr. A. R. Hanke
Site Investigation and Support Branch
Waste Management Division
Environmental Protection Agency
345 Courtland Street, N. E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30365

Date: 2/13/90
Site Disposition: NFRAP
EPA Project Manager: Denise Bland

Subject: Screening Site Inspection, Phase I FIT
Trend Line Furniture Corporation
Maiden, Catawba County, North Carolina
EPA ID No. NCD000648436
TDD No. F4-8909-53

Dear Mr. Hanke:

FIT 4 conducted a Phase I Screening Site Inspection at Trend Line Furniture Corporation in Maiden, Catawba County, North Carolina. This assessment included a review of EPA and state file material, completion of a target survey and an offsite reconnaissance of the facility and surrounding area.

The Trend Line Furniture Corporation facility in Maiden was originally owned by Lawing Manufacture from the early 1950s until 1978. The plant was purchased by Mohasco Corporation in 1978. The plant closed in the spring of 1984 and was being used as a warehouse in 1985 (Ref. 1). The facility is presently inactive and is for sale (Ref. 2).

Trend Line Furniture Corporation manufactured cabinets and dressers during their period of operation. The waste generated at this facility included sawdust and a varnish and stain sludge, which was generated from the water pan spray booths. This sludge was then transported and disposed of at the Catawba County Landfill until 1977. In 1977, Trend Line Furniture Corporation began to use a different stain and lacquer, which resulted in a more liquid sludge. Since the Catawba County Landfill would not accept the sludge, Trend Line Furniture Corporation began to send the liquid sludge to Caldwell Systems in Lenoir, North Carolina (Ref. 1). Before disposal, the sludge was stored in drums in the finishing room. Trend Line Furniture Corporation is a conditionally exempt small quantity generator (Ref. 3). The facility did not file a RCRA Part A application (Ref. 4).

The Trend Line Furniture facility is located in the Piedmont Physiographic Province in the western-central portion of North Carolina (Ref. 5, p. 19). The topography is characterized as gently rolling hills, with elevations within a 4-mile radius of the facility ranging from 770 to 1030 feet above sea level (Ref. 6). Net annual precipitation is approximately 9 inches. The 1-year, 24-hour rainfall is approximately 3 inches (Ref. 7).

The facility is situated in the Inner Piedmont Belt. The dominant rock types in the area of the facility are biotite gneiss, hornblende gneiss, and schist (Refs. 8, p. 29; 9). These fractured, crystalline rocks are overlain by a layer of residual soil and saprolite called regolith. The thickness of the regolith ranges from as much as 150 feet in draws and valleys to several feet or less on hilltops (Ref. 10, p. 8). The saturated portion of the regolith and the fractures in the crystalline rocks are hydraulically connected and together comprise the crystalline rock aquifer. It is an unconfined aquifer; however, confined conditions may occur in the deeper fractures (Ref. 11, pp. 30-32). Recharge to the crystalline rock aquifer results from the infiltration of rainfall into the regolith and fractures (Ref. 11, p. 30).

The depth to the water table on slopes and flats in the Piedmont Physiographic Province of North Carolina is approximately 29 feet below land surface (bls) (Ref. 5, p. 30). Water in the deep fractures rarely exceeds a depth of 300-400 feet (bls). The average depth of drilled wells in the gneissic rocks in the Maiden area is approximately 205 feet bls while the average yield may range from 23 to 29 gallons per minute (Ref. 7, p. 18). Hydraulic conductivity values in the crystalline rock aquifer generally range from 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-2} cm/sec (Ref. 12, p. 29).

The city of Maiden obtains its water from Allen Creek and Maiden Creek to serve 1,100 connections (Refs. 2, 6, 13). These creeks are located northeast and would not be affected by surface water runoff from the facility. The filtration water treatment plant is located 9,240 feet northeast of the facility (Ref. 6). The nearest home using well water was located 6,200 southeast of the facility (Ref. 6). It is estimated, that 230 homes use private wells within a 3-mile radius of the facility and an additional 190 homes use private wells within 3 to 4 miles of the facility (Ref. 6).

Surface water runoff from the facility flows north overland for 420 feet into Shady Branch before flowing 7,000 feet south into Clark Creek. Clark Creek flows south and is not used for fishing and bathing within 15 miles downstream (Refs. 2, 6). There are apparently no critical habitats or sensitive environments within 15 stream miles or a 4-mile radius of the site. However, the dwarf-flowered heartleaf is found in Catawba County and is an endangered plant in North Carolina (Ref. 14).

An offsite reconnaissance was conducted on October 16, 1989. The facility is located in a slightly industrial and residential area. The entire production area of the site was fenced. The nearest residence is approximately 350 feet east of the facility (Ref. 2). It is estimated, from counting houses on an area topographic map, that in a 1-mile radius the population is 2,049 people and in a 4-mile radius the population is 2,784 people (Ref. 6, 15). The nearest day-care center was located 500 feet or less east of the facility (Ref. 6, 12).

Based on the results of this evaluation and the attached reference material, FIT 4 recommends that no further remedial action be planned for Trend Line Furniture Corporation. Please contact me at NUS Corporation if you have any questions about this site.

Very truly yours,

Prince L. Goins
Project Manager

Approved:

PLG/kw

Enclosures

cc: Kelly Cain

REFERENCES

1. Jack Butler, Engineer, Solid Hazardous Waste Management Branch, with Clyde Beal, Trend Line Furniture Corporation, Subject: Trend Line Furniture plant in Maiden.

2. NUS Corporation Field Logbook No. F4-1741 for Trend Line Furniture Corporation, TDD No. F4-8909-54. Documentation of offsite facility reconnaissance, October 16, 1989.

3. North Carolina Department of Human Resources, Solid and Hazardous Waste Management, Alphabetic List of Hazardous Waste Facilities; printout dated August 10, 1989, p. 229.

4. Jim Edwards, Compliance Officer, NCDHR. Hazardous Waste Programs, telephone conversation with Prince L. Goins, NUS Corporation, December 28, 1989. Subject: Part A application for RCRA.

5. Charles C. Daniel III, Statistical Analysis Relating Well Yield to Construction Practices and Siting of Wells in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces of North Carolina, U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 86-4132, pp. 19, 30.

6. U.S. Geological Survey, 7.5 minute series Topographic Maps of North Carolina: Hickory (1970), Maiden (1970), Newton (1970) and Reepsville (1970). Scale 1:24,000.

7. U.S. Department of Commerce, Climatic Atlas of the United States (Washington, D.C.: GPO, June 1968), Reprint: 1983, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

8. Harry E. LeGrand, Geology and Groundwater in the Statesville Area, Bulletin No. 68, U.S. Geological Survey (Washington, D.C.: GPO, 1954), pp. 18, 29, Figures 11, 12.

9. Philip M. Brown and John M. Parker III, compilers, Geologic Map of North Carolina, (North Carolina Department of Natural Resources and Community Development, 1985), 1 sheet, scale 1:500,000.

10. Harry E. LeGrand, Groundwater of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces in the Southeastern States, Circular 538 (Washington, D.C.: GPO, 1967), pp. 1-11.

11. Henry Trapp, Jr., Geology and Groundwater of the Asheville Area, North Carolina Groundwater Bulletin No. 16, (Raleigh, North Carolina, 1970), pp. 30-32.

12. R. A. Freeze and J. A. Cherry, Groundwater, (Engelwood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1979), p. 29.

13. North Carolina Division of Health Services Water Supply Branch, Public Water Supply Data Sheet for Maiden Water Treatment Plant, North Carolina Inventory Document (PWSI.410), print out: September 14, 1988.

14. North Carolina Natural Heritage Program Element List, Catawba County, printout date June 21, 1989, p. 1.

15. U.S. Bureau of the Census, Estimates of Households for Counties: July 1, 1985, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 156 (Washington, D.C.: GPO, 1988).

Site Inspection Report

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 1 - SITE LOCATION AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. SITE NAME AND LOCATION

01 SITE NAME (Legal, common, or descriptive name of site)	Trend Line Furniture Corporation
02 STREET, ROUTE NO., OR SPECIFIC LOCATION IDENTIFIER	West Holly Street
03 CITY	Maiden
04 STATE	NC
05 ZIP CODE	28650
06 COUNTY	Catawba
07 COUNTY CODE	18
08 CONG DIST	10
09 COORDINATES LATITUDE	35 34' 14".
LONGITUDE	81 13' 06".

10 TYPE OF OWNERSHIP (Check one)
☐ A. PRIVATE ☐ B. FEDERAL ______ ☐ C. STATE ☐ D. COUNTY ☐ E. MUNICIPAL
☐ F. OTHER ______ ☐ G. UNKNOWN

III. INSPECTION INFORMATION

01 DATE OF INSPECTION	02 SITE STATUS	03 YEARS OF OPERATION
10 16 89 (MONTH DAY YEAR)	☐ ACTIVE ☒ INACTIVE	~1952 (BEGINNING YEAR) / 1984 (ENDING YEAR) ___ UNKNOWN

04 AGENCY PERFORMING INSPECTION (Check all that apply)
☐ A. EPA ☒ B. EPA CONTRACTOR NUS Corporation (Name of firm) ☐ C. MUNICIPAL ☐ D. MUNICIPAL CONTRACTOR ______ (Name of firm)
☐ E. STATE ☐ F. STATE CONTRACTOR ______ (Name of firm) ☐ G. OTHER ______ (Specify)

05 CHIEF INSPECTOR	06 TITLE	07 ORGANIZATION	08 TELEPHONE NO
Prince L. Goins	Chemist	NUS Corporation	(404) 938-7710
09 OTHER INSPECTORS	**10 TITLE**	**11 ORGANIZATION**	**12 TELEPHONE NO**
Eric Corbin	~~Geologist~~/ Environmental ~~Health~~ Scientist	NUS Corporation	(404) 938-7710
Clifford Leonard Jr.	Geologist	NUS Corporation	(404) 938-7710
			( )
			( )
Off-site Recon.			( )

13 SITE REPRESENTATIVES INTERVIEWED	14 TITLE	15 ADDRESS	16 TELEPHONE NO
			( )
			( )
			( )
			( )
			( )
			( )

17 ACCESS GAINED BY (Check one)	18 TIME OF INSPECTION	19 WEATHER CONDITIONS
☐ PERMISSION ☐ WARRANT		

IV. INFORMATION AVAILABLE FROM

01 CONTACT	02 OF (Agency/Organization)	03 TELEPHONE NO.
Kelly Cain	EPA Region IV	(404) 347-5065

04 PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR SITE INSPECTION FORM	05 AGENCY	06 ORGANIZATION	07 TELEPHONE NO.	08 DATE
Prince L. Goins	EPA	NUS Corporation	(404) 938-7710	10-16-89 (MONTH DAY YEAR)

EPA FORM 2070-13 (7-81)

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 2 - WASTE INFORMATION	I. IDENTIFICATION	
EPA	01 STATE: NC	02 SITE NUMBER: D00064 8436

II. WASTE STATES, QUANTITIES, AND CHARACTERISTICS

01 PHYSICAL STATES (Check all that apply)

- A SOLID
- B POWDER, FINES
- ✓ C SLUDGE
- D OTHER ______ (Specify)
- E SLURRY
- F LIQUID
- G GAS

02 WASTE QUANTITY AT SITE (Measures of waste quantities must be independent)

- TONS ______
- CUBIC YARDS ______
- NO. OF DRUMS unknown

03 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS (Check all that apply) N/A

- A TOXIC
- B CORROSIVE
- C RADIOACTIVE
- D PERSISTENT
- E SOLUBLE
- F INFECTIOUS
- G FLAMMABLE
- H IGNITABLE
- I HIGHLY VOLATILE
- J EXPLOSIVE
- K REACTIVE
- L INCOMPATIBLE
- M NOT APPLICABLE

III. WASTE TYPE

CATEGORY	SUBSTANCE NAME	01 GROSS AMOUNT	02 UNIT OF MEASURE	03 COMMENTS
SLU	SLUDGE	unknown		
OLW	OILY WASTE			
SOL	SOLVENTS			
PSD	PESTICIDES			
OCC	OTHER ORGANIC CHEMICALS			
IOC	INORGANIC CHEMICALS			
ACD	ACIDS			
BAS	BASES			
MES	HEAVY METALS			

IV. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES (See Appendix for most frequently cited CAS Numbers) N/A

01 CATEGORY	02 SUBSTANCE NAME	03 CAS NUMBER	04 STORAGE/DISPOSAL METHOD	05 CONCENTRATION	06 MEASURE OF CONCENTRATION

V. FEEDSTOCKS (See Appendix for CAS Numbers) N/A

CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER	CATEGORY	01 FEEDSTOCK NAME	02 CAS NUMBER
FDS			FDS		
FDS			FDS		
FDS			FDS		
FDS			FDS		

VI. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)

– State file material

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 3 - DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D 000648436

II. HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS N/A

01 ☐ A. GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ B. SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ C. CONTAMINATION OF AIR — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ D. FIRE/EXPLOSIVE CONDITIONS — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ E. DIRECT CONTACT — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ F. CONTAMINATION OF SOIL — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 AREA POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ (Acres) 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ G. DRINKING WATER CONTAMINATION — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ H. WORKER EXPOSURE/INJURY — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 WORKERS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ I. POPULATION EXPOSURE/INJURY — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ______) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ______ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 3 - DESCRIPTION OF HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. HAZARDOUS CONDITIONS AND INCIDENTS (Continued) N/A

01 ☐ J. DAMAGE TO FLORA — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ K. DAMAGE TO FAUNA — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION (Include name(s) of species)

01 ☐ L. CONTAMINATION OF FOOD CHAIN — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ M. UNSTABLE CONTAINMENT OF WASTES (Spills, Runoff, Standing liquids, Leaking drums) — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
03 POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ________ 04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ N. DAMAGE TO OFFSITE PROPERTY — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ O. CONTAMINATION OF SEWERS, STORM DRAINS, WWTPs — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ P. ILLEGAL/UNAUTHORIZED DUMPING — 02 ☐ OBSERVED (DATE: ________) ☐ POTENTIAL ☐ ALLEGED
04 NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

05 DESCRIPTION OF ANY OTHER KNOWN, POTENTIAL, OR ALLEGED HAZARDS

III. TOTAL POPULATION POTENTIALLY AFFECTED: ________

IV. COMMENTS

No documentation of on-site spills or disposal.

V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g. state files, sample analysis, reports)

- EPA and State of N.C. files.

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION
PART 4 - PERMIT AND DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	0000648436

II. PERMIT INFORMATION N/A

01 TYPE OF PERMIT ISSUED (Check all that apply)	02 PERMIT NUMBER	03 DATE ISSUED	04 EXPIRATION DATE	05 COMMENTS
☐ A. NPDES				
☐ B. UIC				
☐ C. AIR				
☐ D. RCRA				
☐ E. RCRA INTERIM STATUS				
☐ F. SPCC PLAN				
☐ G. STATE (Specify)				
☐ H. LOCAL (Specify)				
☐ I. OTHER (Specify)				
☐ J. NONE				

III. SITE DESCRIPTION N/A

01 STORAGE/DISPOSAL (Check all that apply)	02 AMOUNT	03 UNIT OF MEASURE
☐ A. SURFACE IMPOUNDMENT		
☐ B. PILES		
☐ C. DRUMS, ABOVE GROUND		
☐ D. TANK, ABOVE GROUND		
☐ E. TANK, BELOW GROUND		
☐ F. LANDFILL		
☐ G. LANDFARM		
☐ H. OPEN DUMP	??	
☐ I. OTHER (Specify)		

04 TREATMENT (Check all that apply)

- ☐ A. INCENERATION
- ☐ B. UNDERGROUND INJECTION
- ☐ C. CHEMICAL/PHYSICAL
- ☐ D. BIOLOGICAL
- ☐ E. WASTE OIL PROCESSING
- ☐ F. SOLVENT RECOVERY
- ☐ G. OTHER RECYCLING/RECOVERY
- ☐ H. OTHER ______ (Specify)

05 OTHER

☑ A. BUILDINGS ON SITE

06 AREA OF SITE

______ Acres

07 COMMENTS The amount of drums the stored is unknown, but they were stored in a finishing room.

IV. CONTAINMENT

01 CONTAINMENT OF WASTES (Check one)

☐ A. ADEQUATE, SECURE ☒ B. MODERATE ☐ C. INADEQUATE, POOR ☐ D. INSECURE, UNSOUND, DANGEROUS

02 DESCRIPTION OF DRUMS, DIKING, LINERS, BARRIERS, ETC.

V. ACCESSIBILITY

01 WASTE EASILY ACCESSIBLE: ☐ YES ☒ NO

02 COMMENTS

VI. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g. state files, sample analysis, reports)

State file material.

EPA	POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 5 - WATER, DEMOGRAPHIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DATA	I. IDENTIFICATION 01 STATE: NC 02 SITE NUMBER: D000648436

II. DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

01 TYPE OF DRINKING SUPPLY (Check as applicable)

	SURFACE	WELL
COMMUNITY	A. X	B. ☐
NON-COMMUNITY	C. ☐	D.

02 STATUS N/A

	ENDANGERED	AFFECTED	MONITORED
COMMUNITY	A. ☐	B. ☐	C. ☐
NON-COMMUNITY	D. ☐	E. ☐	F. ☐

03 DISTANCE TO SITE

A. ________ (mi)
B. ________ (mi)

III. GROUNDWATER

01 GROUNDWATER USE IN VICINITY (Check one)

☐ A. ONLY SOURCE FOR DRINKING
B. DRINKING (Other sources available) COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, IRRIGATION (No other water sources available)
☐ C. COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, IRRIGATION (Limited other sources available)
X D. NOT USED, UNUSEABLE

02 POPULATION SERVED BY GROUND WATER ________

03 DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRINKING WATER WELL 6,200 ft. ~~(mi)~~

04 DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER	05 DIRECTION OF GROUNDWATER FLOW	06 DEPTH TO AQUIFER OF CONCERN	07 POTENTIAL YIELD OF AQUIFER	08 SOLE SOURCE AQUIFER
150 (ft)	________	150 (ft)	________ (gpd)	☐ YES X NO

09 DESCRIPTION OF WELLS (Including useage, depth, and location relative to population and buildings)

10 RECHARGE AREA	COMMENTS	11 DISCHARGE AREA	COMMENTS
☐ YES X NO		☐ YES X NO	

IV. SURFACE WATER

01 SURFACE WATER USE (Check one)

A. RESERVOIR, RECREATION DRINKING WATER SOURCE
☐ B. IRRIGATION, ECONOMICALLY IMPORTANT RESOURCES
☐ C. COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL
X D. NOT CURRENTLY USED

02 AFFECTED/POTENTIALLY AFFECTED BODIES OF WATER

NAME:	AFFECTED	DISTANCE TO SITE
Shady Branch	☐	7,000 ft. ~~(mi)~~
Clark Creek	☐	________ (mi)
	☐	________ (mi)

V. DEMOGRAPHIC AND PROPERTY INFORMATION

01 TOTAL POPULATION WITHIN

ONE (1) MILE OF SITE	TWO (2) MILES OF SITE	THREE (3) MILES OF SITE
A. Est 740 NO. OF PERSONS	B. ________ NO. OF PERSONS	C. 178 Est. NO. OF PERSONS

02 DISTANCE TO NEAREST POPULATION ________ (mi)

03 NUMBER OF BUILDINGS WITHIN TWO (2) MILES OF SITE ________

04 DISTANCE TO NEAREST OFF-SITE BUILDING ________ (mi)

05 POPULATION WITHIN VICINITY OF SITE (Provide narrative description of nature of population within vicinity of site, e.g., rural, village, densely populated urban area)

The vicinity is slightly residential and is closer to the downtown area.

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 5 - WATER, DEMOGRAPHIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

I. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

01 PERMEABILITY OF UNSATURATED ZONE (Check one)

☐ A. $10^{-?} - 10^{-?}$ cm/sec ☒ B. $10^{-4} - 10^{-6}$ cm/sec ☐ C. $10^{-4} - 10^{-3}$ cm/sec ☐ D. GREATER THAN 10^{-3} cm/sec

02 PERMEABILITY OF BEDROCK (Check one)

☐ A. IMPERMEABLE (Less than 10^{-6} cm/sec) ☒ B. RELATIVELY IMPERMEABLE ($10^{-4} - 10^{-6}$ cm/sec) ☐ C. RELATIVELY PERMEABLE ($10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$ cm/sec) ☐ D. VERY PERMEABLE (Greater than 10^{-2} cm/sec)

03 DEPTH TO BEDROCK	04 DEPTH OF CONTAMINATED SOIL ZONE	05 SOIL pH
______ (ft)	______ (ft)	______

06 NET PRECIPITATION	07 ONE YEAR 24 HOUR RAINFALL	08 SLOPE: SITE SLOPE	DIRECTION OF SITE SLOPE	TERRAIN AVERAGE SLOPE
9.0 (in)	3.0 (in)	1.0 %	northeast	0.3 %

09 FLOOD POTENTIAL

SITE IS IN ______ YEAR FLOODPLAIN

10 ☐ SITE IS ON BARRIER ISLAND, COASTAL HIGH HAZARD AREA, RIVERINE FLOODWAY

11 DISTANCE TO WETLANDS (5 acre minimum) N/A

ESTUARINE A. ______ (mi) OTHER B. ______ (mi)

12 DISTANCE TO CRITICAL HABITAT (of endangered species) ______ (mi) N/A

ENDANGERED SPECIES: ______

13 LAND USE IN VICINITY

DISTANCE TO:

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL A. ______ (mi)

RESIDENTIAL AREAS; NATIONAL/STATE PARKS, FORESTS, OR WILDLIFE RESERVES B. ______ (mi)

AGRICULTURAL LANDS: PRIME AG LAND C. ______ (mi) AG LAND D. ______ (mi)

14 DESCRIPTION OF SITE IN RELATION TO SURROUNDING TOPOGRAPHY

The land in the area of the facility is slightly flat and is located ~~inside~~ near the city of Maiden.

VII. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)

- NUS Logbook (Field)
- Topographical map
- State file material.

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 6 - SAMPLE AND FIELD INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. SAMPLES TAKEN N/A

SAMPLE TYPE	01 NUMBER OF SAMPLES TAKEN	02 SAMPLES SENT TO	03 ESTIMATED DATE RESULTS AVAILABLE
GROUNDWATER			
SURFACE WATER			
WASTE			
AIR			
RUNOFF			
SPILL			
SOIL			
VEGETATION			
OTHER			

III. FIELD MEASUREMENTS TAKEN N/A

01 TYPE	02 COMMENTS

IV. PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAPS

01 TYPE ☐ GROUND ☑ AERIAL

02 IN CUSTODY OF NUS Corporation (Name of organization or individual)

03 MAPS ☒ YES ☐ NO

04 LOCATION OF MAPS Maiden, N.C. ; Newton, N.C.; Hickory, N.C. ; and Reepsville, N.C.

V. OTHER FIELD DATA COLLECTED (Provide narrative description) N/A

VI. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g. state files, sample analysis, reports)

- Topographical maps

EPA FORM 2070-13 (7-81)

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE SITE INSPECTION REPORT PART 7 - OWNER INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. CURRENT OWNER(S)

01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER	08 NAME (PARENT COMPANY, if applicable)	09 D+B NUMBER
Mohasco Corporation			

03 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	10 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.)	11 SIC CODE
57 Lyon Street			

05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	12 CITY	13 STATE	14 ZIP CODE
Amsterdam,	N.Y.	12010			

(Additional current owner and parent company blocks: 01 NAME, 02 D+B NUMBER, 08 NAME, 09 D+B NUMBER, 03 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.), 04 SIC CODE, 10 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.), 11 SIC CODE, 05 CITY, 06 STATE, 07 ZIP CODE, 12 CITY, 13 STATE, 14 ZIP CODE — blank, repeated three times.)

III. PREVIOUS OWNER(S) (List most recent first)

IV. REALTY OWNER(S) (If applicable, list most recent first)

01 NAME (Previous)	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME (Realty)	02 D+B NUMBER
Lawing Manufacturing			

03 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE

05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
Maiden,	N.C.				

(Additional previous owner and realty owner blocks: 01 NAME, 02 D+B NUMBER, 03 STREET ADDRESS (P O Box, RFD #, etc.), 04 SIC CODE, 05 CITY, 06 STATE, 07 ZIP CODE — blank, repeated twice.)

V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g. state files, sample analysis, reports)

– State file material

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 8 - OPERATOR INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. CURRENT OPERATOR (Provide if different from owner) N/A

Field	Value
01 NAME	Trend Line Furniture Corp. (Mohasco Corp)
02 D+B NUMBER	
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	57 Lyon Street
04 SIC CODE	
05 CITY	Amsterdam,
06 STATE	NY
07 ZIP CODE	12010
08 YEARS OF OPERATION	
09 NAME OF OWNER	

OPERATOR'S PARENT COMPANY (If applicable)

Field	Value
10 NAME	
11 D+B NUMBER	
12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	
13 SIC CODE	
14 CITY	
15 STATE	
16 ZIP CODE	

III. PREVIOUS OPERATOR(S) (List most recent first; provide only if different from owner)

01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	05 CITY	06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	08 YEARS OF OPERATION	09 NAME OF OWNER DURING THIS PERIOD

PREVIOUS OPERATORS' PARENT COMPANIES (If applicable)

10 NAME	11 D+B NUMBER	12 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	13 SIC CODE	14 CITY	15 STATE	16 ZIP CODE

IV. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)

- State file material

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 9 - GENERATOR/TRANSPORTER INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. ON-SITE GENERATOR N/A

01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE
05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE

III. OFF-SITE GENERATOR(S) N/A

01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE
05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE
05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE

IV. TRANSPORTER(S)

01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER
Caldwell Chemical Syst			
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE
05 CITY: Lenoir / 06 STATE: N.C.	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE
01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER	01 NAME	02 D+B NUMBER
03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE	03 STREET ADDRESS (P.O. Box, RFD #, etc.)	04 SIC CODE
05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE	05 CITY / 06 STATE	07 ZIP CODE

V. SOURCES OF INFORMATION *(Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)*

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II. PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES N/A

01 ☐ A. WATER SUPPLY CLOSED — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ B. TEMPORARY WATER SUPPLY PROVIDED — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ C. PERMANENT WATER SUPPLY PROVIDED — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ D. SPILLED MATERIAL REMOVED — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ E. CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVED — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ F. WASTE REPACKAGED — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ G. WASTE DISPOSED ELSEWHERE — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ H. ON SITE BURIAL — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ I. IN SITU CHEMICAL TREATMENT — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ J. IN SITU BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ K. IN SITU PHYSICAL TREATMENT — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ L. ENCAPSULATION — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ M. EMERGENCY WASTE TREATMENT — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ N. CUTOFF WALLS — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ O. EMERGENCY DIKING SURFACE WATER DIVERSION — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ P. CUTOFF TRENCHES/SUMP — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ Q. SUBSURFACE CUTOFF WALL — 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 10 - PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES

I. IDENTIFICATION

01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NC	D000648436

II PAST RESPONSE ACTIVITIES (Continued) N/A

01 ☐ R. BARRIER WALLS CONSTRUCTED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ S. CAPPING/COVERING 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ T. BULK TANKAGE REPAIRED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ U. GROUT CURTAIN CONSTRUCTED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ V. BOTTOM SEALED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ W. GAS CONTROL 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ X. FIRE CONTROL 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ Y. LEACHATE TREATMENT 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ Z. AREA EVACUATED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ 1. ACCESS TO SITE RESTRICTED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ 2. POPULATION RELOCATED 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

01 ☐ 3. OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES 02 DATE ______ 03 AGENCY ______
04 DESCRIPTION

III. SOURCES OF INFORMATION (Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)

EPA

POTENTIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE
SITE INSPECTION REPORT
PART 11 - ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION

I. IDENTIFICATION	
01 STATE	02 SITE NUMBER
NCD	000648436

II. ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION

01 PAST REGULATORY ENFORCEMENT ACTION YES X NO

02 DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL REGULATORY ENFORCEMENT ACTION

III. SOURCES OF INFORMATION *(Cite specific references, e.g., state files, sample analysis, reports)*

APPENDIX

I. FEEDSTOCKS

CAS Number	Chemical Name
1. 7664-41-7	Ammonia
2. 7440-36-0	Antimony
3. 1309-64-4	Antimony Trioxide
4. 7440-38-2	Arsenic
5. 1327-53-3	Arsenic Trioxide
6. 21109-95-5	Barium Sulfide
7. 7726-95-6	Bromine
8. 106-99-0	Butadiene
9. 7440-43-9	Cadmium
10. 7782-50-5	Chlorine
11. 12737-27-8	Chromite
12. 7440-47-3	Chromium
13. 7440-48-4	Cobalt
14. 1317-38-0	Cupric Oxide
15. 7758-98-7	Cupric Sulfate
16. 1317-39-1	Cuprous Oxide
17. 74-85-1	Ethylene
18. 7647-01-0	Hydrochloric Acid
19. 7664-39-3	Hydrogen Fluoride
20. 1335-25-7	Lead Oxide
21. 7439-97-6	Mercury
22. 74-82-8	Methane
23. 91-20-3	Napthalene
24. 7440-02-0	Nickel
25. 7697-37-2	Nitric Acid
26. 7723-14-0	Phosphorus
27. 7778-50-9	Potassium Dichromate
28. 1310-58-3	Potassium Hydroxide
29. 115-07-1	Propylene
30. 10588-01-9	Sodium Dichromate
31. 1310-73-2	Sodium Hydroxide
32. 7646-78-8	Stannic Chloride
33. 7772-99-8	Stannous Chloride
34. 7664-93-9	Sulfuric Acid
35. 108-88-3	Toluene
36. 1330-20-7	Xylene
37. 7646-85-7	Zinc Chloride
38. 7733-02-0	Zinc Sulfate

II. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES

CAS Number	Chemical Name
1. 75-07-0	Acetaldehyde
2. 64-19-7	Acetic Acid
3. 108-24-7	Acetic Anhydride
4. 75-86-5	Acetone Cyanohydrin
5. 506-96-7	Acetyl Bromide
6. 75-36-5	Acetyl Chloride
7. 107-02-8	Acrolein
8. 107-13-1	Acrylonitrile
9. 124-04-9	Adipic Acid
10. 309-00-2	Aldrin
11. 10043-01-3	Aluminum Sulfate
12. 107-18-6	Allyl Alcohol
13. 107-05-1	Allyl Chloride
14. 7664-41-7	Ammonia
15. 631-61-8	Ammonium Acetate
16. 1863-63-4	Ammonium Benzoate
17. 1066-33-7	Ammonium Bicarbonate
18. 7789-09-5	Ammonium Bichromate
19. 1341-49-7	Ammonium Bifluoride
20. 10192-30-0	Ammonium Bisulfite
21. 1111-78-0	Ammonium Carbamate
22. 12125-02-9	Ammonium Chloride
23. 7788-98-9	Ammonium Chromate
24. 3012-65-5	Ammonium Citrate, Dibasic
25. 13826-83-0	Ammonium Fluoborate
26. 12125-01-8	Ammonium Fluoride
27. 1336-21-6	Ammonium Hydroxide
28. 6009-70-7	Ammonium Oxalate
29. 16919-19-0	Ammonium Silicofluoride
30. 7773-06-0	Ammonium Sulfamate
31. 12135-76-1	Ammonium Sulfide
32. 10196-04-0	Ammonium Sulfite
33. 14307-43-8	Ammonium Tartrate
34. 1762-95-4	Ammonium Thiocyanate
35. 7783-18-8	Ammonium Thiosulfate
36. 628-63-7	Amyl Acetate
37. 62-53-3	Aniline
38. 7647-18-9	Antimony Pentachloride
39. 7789-61-9	Antimony Tribromide
40. 10025-91-9	Antimony Trichloride
41. 7783-56-4	Antimony Trifluoride
42. 1309-64-4	Antimony Trioxide
43. 1303-32-8	Arsenic Disulfide
44. 1303-28-2	Arsenic Pentoxide
45. 7784-34-1	Arsenic Trichloride
47. 1303-33-9	Arsenic Trisulfide
48. 542-62-1	Barium Cyanide
49. 71-43-2	Benzene
50. 65-85-0	Benzoic Acid
51. 100-47-0	Benzonitrile
52. 98-88-4	Benzoyl Chloride
53. 100-44-7	Benzyl Chloride
54. 7[illegible]0-41-7	Beryllium
55. 7787-47-5	Beryllium Chloride
56. 7787-49-7	Beryllium Fluoride
57. 13597-99-4	Beryllium Nitrate
58. 123-86-4	Butyl Acetate
59. 84-74-2	n-Butyl Phthalate
60. 109-73-9	Butylamine
61. 107-92-6	Butyric Acid
62. 543-90-8	Cadimium Acetate
63. 7789-42-6	Cadmium Bromide
64. 10108-64-2	Cadmium Chloride
65. 7778-44-1	Calcium Arsenate
66. 52740-16-6	Calcium Arsenite
67. 75-20-7	Calcium Carbide
68. 13765-19-0	Calcium Chromate
69. 592-01-8	Calcium Cyanide
70. 26264-06-2	Calcium Dodecylbenzene Sulfonate
71. 7778-54-3	Calcium Hypochlorite
72. 133-06-2	Captan
73. 63-25-2	Carbaryl
74. 1563-66-2	Carbofuran
75. 75-15-0	Carbon Disulfide
76. 56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride
77. 57-74-9	Chlordane
78. 7782-50-5	Chlorine
79. 108-90-7	Chlorobenzene
80. 67-66-3	Chloroform
81. 7790-94-5	Chlorosulfonic Acid
82. 2921-88-2	Chlorpyrifos
83. 1066-30-4	Chromic Acetate
84. 7738-94-5	Chromic Acid
85. 10101-53-8	Chromic Sulfate
86. 10049-05-5	Chromous Chloride
87. 544-18-3	Cobaltous Formate
88. 14017-41-5	Cobaltous Sulfamate
89. 56-72-4	Coumaphos
90. 1319-77-3	Cresol
92. 142-71-2	Cupric Acetate
93. 12002-03-8	Cupric Acetoarsenite
94. 7447-39-4	Cupric Chloride
95. 3251-23-8	Cupric Nitrate
96. 5893-66-3	Cupric Oxalate
97. 7758-98-7	Cupric Sulfate
98. 10380-29-7	Cupric Sulfate Ammoniated
99. 815-82-7	Cupric Tartrate
100. 506-77-4	Cyanogen Chloride
101. 110-82-7	Cyclohexane
102. 94-75-7	2,4-D Acid
103. 94-11-1	2,4-D Esters
104. 50-29-3	DDT
105. 333-41-5	Diazinon
106. 1918-00-9	Dicamba
107. 1194-65-6	Dichlobenil
108. 117-80-6	Dichlone
109. 25321-22-6	Dichlorobenzene (all isomers)
110. 266-38-19-7	Dichloropropane (all isomers)
111. 26952-23-8	Dichloropropene (all isomers)
112. 8003-19-8	Dichloropropene-Dichloropropane Mixture
113. 75-99-0	2-2-Dichloropropionic Acid
114. 62-73-7	Dichlorvos
115. 60-57-1	Dieldrin
116. 109-89-7	Diethylamine
117. 124-40-3	Dimethylamine
118. 25154-54-5	Dinitrobenzene (all isomers)
119. 51-28-5	Dinitrophenol
120. 25321-14-6	Dinitrotoluene (all isomers)
121. 85-00-7	Diquat
122. 298-04-4	Disulfoton
123. 330-54-1	Diuron
124. 27176-87-0	Dodecylbenzenesulfonic Acid
125. 115-29-7	Endosulfan (all isomers)
126. 72-20-8	Endrin and Metabolites
127. 106-89-8	Epichlorohydrin
128. 563-12-2	Ethion
129. 100-41-4	Ethyl Benzene
130. 107-15-3	Ethylenediamine
131. 106-93-4	Ethylene Dibromide
132. 107-06-2	Ethylene Dichloride
133. 60-00-4	EDTA
134. 1185-57-5	Ferric Ammonium Citrate

II. HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES

CAS Number	Chemical Name
137. 7783-50-8	Ferric Fluoride
138. 10421-48-4	Ferric Nitrate
139. 10028-22-5	Ferric Sulfate
140. 10045-89-3	Ferrous Ammonium Sulfate
141. 7758-94-3	Ferrous Chloride
142. 7720-78-7	Ferrous Sulfate
143. 206-44-0	Fluoranthene
144. 50-00-0	Formaldehyde
145. 64-18-6	Formic Acid
146. 110-17-8	Fumaric Acid
147. 98-01-1	Furfural
148. 86-50-0	Guthion
149. 76-44-8	Heptachlor
150. 118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene
151. 87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene
152. 67-72-1	Hexachloroethane
153. 70-30-4	Hexachlorophene
154. 77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
155. 7647-01-0	Hydrochloric Acid (Hydrogen Chloride)
156. 7664-39-3	Hydrofluoric Acid (Hydrogen Fluoride)
157. 74-90-8	Hydrogen Cyanide
158. 7783-06-4	Hydrogen Sulfide
159. 78-79-5	Isoprene
160. 42504-46-1	Isopropanolamine Dodecylbenzenesulfonate
161. 115-32-2	Kelthane
162. 143-50-0	Kepone
163. 301-04-2	Lead Acetate
164. 3687-31-8	Lead Arsenate
165. 7758-95-4	Lead Chloride
166. 13814-96-5	Lead Fluoborate
167. 7783-46-2	Lead Fluoride
168. 10101-63-0	Lead Iodide
169. 18256-98-9	Lead Nitrate
170. 7428-48-0	Lead Stearate
171. 15739-80-7	Lead Sulfate
172. 1314-87-0	Lead Sulfide
173. 592-87-0	Lead Thiocyanate
174. 58-89-9	Lindane
175. 14307-35-8	Lithium Chromate
176. 121-75-5	Malthion
177. 110-16-7	Maleic Acid
178. 108-31-6	Maleic Anhydride
179. 2032-65-7	Mercaptodimethur
180. 592-04-1	Mercuric Cyanide
181. 10045-94-0	Mercuric Nitrate
182. 7783-35-9	Mercuric Sulfate
183. 592-85-8	Mercuric Thiocyanate
184. 10415-75-5	Mercurous Nitrate
185. 72-43-5	Methoxychlor
186. 74-93-1	Methyl Mercaptan
187. 80-62-6	Methyl Methacrylate
188. 298-00-0	Methyl Parathion
189. 7786-34-7	Mevinphos
190. 315-18-4	Mexacarbate
191. 75-04-7	Monoethylamine
192. 74-89-5	Monomethylamine
193. 300-76-5	Naled
194. 91-20-3	Naphthalene
195. 1338-24-5	Naphthenic Acid
196. 7440-02-0	Nickel
197. 15699-18-0	Nickel Ammonium Sulfate
198. 37211-05-5	Nickel Chloride
199. 12054-48-7	Nickel Hydroxide
200. 14216-75-2	Nickel Nitrate
201. 7786-81-4	Nickel Sulfate
202. 7697-37-2	Nitric Acid
203. 98-95-3	Nitrobenzene
204. 10102-44-0	Nitrogen Dioxide
205. 25154-55-6	Nitrophenol (all isomers)
206. 1321-12-6	Nitrotoluene
207. 30525-89-4	Paraformaldehyde
208. 56-38-2	Parathion
209. 608-93-5	Pentachlorobenzene
210. 87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol
211. 85-01-8	Phenanthrene
212. 108-95-2	Phenol
213. 75-44-5	Phosgene
214. 7664-38-2	Phosphoric Acid
215. 7723-14-0	Phosphorus
216. 10025-87-3	Phosphorus Oxychloride
217. 1314-80-3	Phosphorus Pentasulfide
218. 7719-12-2	Phosphorus Trichloride
219. 7784-41-0	Potassium Arsenate
220. 10124-50-2	Potassium Arsenite
221. 7778-50-9	Potassium Bichromate
222. 7789-00-6	Potassium Chromate
223. 7722-64-7	Potassium Permanganate
224. 2312-35-8	Propargite
225. 79-09-4	Propionic Acid
226. 123-62-6	Propionic Anhydride
227. 1336-36-3	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
228. 151-50-8	Potassium Cyanide
229. 1310-58-3	Potassium Hydroxide
230. 75-56-9	Propylene Oxide
231. 121-29-9	Pyrethrins
232. 91-22-5	Quinoline
233. 108-46-3	Resorcinol
234. 7446-08-4	Selenium Oxide
235. 7761-88-8	Silver Nitrate
236. 7631-89-2	Sodium Arsenate
237. 7784-46-5	Sodium Arsenite
238. 10588-01-9	Sodium Bichromate
239. 1333-83-1	Sodium Bifluoride
240. 7631-90-5	Sodium Bisulfite
241. 7775-11-3	Sodium Chromate
242. 143-33-9	Sodium Cyanide
243. 25155-30-0	Sodium Dodecylbenzene Sulfonate
244. 7681-49-4	Sodium Fluoride
245. 16721-80-5	Sodium Hydrosulfide
246. 1310-73-2	Sodium Hydroxide
247. 7681-52-9	Sodium Hypochlorite
248. 124-41-4	Sodium Methylate
249. 7632-00-0	Sodium Nitrate
250. 7558-79-4	Sodium Phosphate, Dibasic
251. 7601-54-9	Sodium Phosphate, Tribasic
252. 10102-18-8	Sodium Selenite
253. 7789-06-2	Strontium Chromate
254. 57-24-9	Strychnine and Salts
255. 100-42-5	Styrene
256. 12771-08-3	Sulfur Monochloride
257. 7664-93-9	Sulfuric Acid
258. 93-76-5	2,4,5-T Acid
259. 2008-46-0	2,4,5-T Amines
260. 93-79-8	2,4,5-T Esters
261. 13560-99-1	2,4,5-T Salts
262. 93-72-1	2,4,5-TP Acid
263. 32534-95-5	2,4,5-TP Acid Esters
264. 72-54-8	TDE
265. 95-94-3	Tetrachlorobenzene
266. 127-18-4	Tetrachloroethane
267. 78-00-2	Tetraethyl Lead
268. 107-49-3	Tetraethyl Pyrophosphate
269. 7446-18-6	Thallium (I) Sulfate
270. 108-88-3	Toluene
271. 8001-35-2	Toxaphene
272. 12002-48-1	Trichlorobenzene (all isomers)
273. 52-68-6	Trichlorfon
274. 25323-89-1	Trichloroethane (all isomers)
275. 79-01-6	Trichloroethylene
276. 25167-82-2	Trichlorophenol (all isomers)
277. 27323-41-7	Triethanolamine Dodecylbenzenesulfonate
278. 121-44-8	Triethylamine
279. 75-50-3	Trimethylamine
280. 541-09-3	Uranyl Acetate
281. 10102-06-4	Uranyl Nitrate
282. 1314-62-1	Vanadium Pentoxide
283. 27774-13-6	Vanadyl Sulfate
284. 108-05-4	Vinyl Acetate
285. 75-35-4	Vinylidene Chloride
286. 1300-71-6	Xylenol
287. 557-34-6	Zinc Acetate
288. 52628-25-8	Zinc Ammonium Chloride
289. 1332-07-6	Zinc Borate
290. 7699-45-8	Zinc Bromide
291. 3486-35-9	Zinc Carbonate
292. 7646-85-7	Zinc Chloride
293. 557-21-1	Zinc Cyanide
294. 7783-49-3	Zinc Fluoride
295. 557-41-5	Zinc Formate
296. 7779-86-4	Zinc Hydrosulfite
297. 7779-88-6	Zinc Nitrate
298. 127-82-2	Zinc Phenolsulfonate
299. 1314-84-7	Zinc Phosphide
300. 16871-71-9	Zinc Silicofluoride
301. 7733-02-0	Zinc Sulfate
302. 13746-89-9	Zirconium Nitrate
303. 16923-95-8	Zirconium Potassium Fluoride
304. 14644-61-2	Zirconium Sulfate
305. 10026-11-6	Zirconium Tetrachloride

Reference No. 1

North Carolina Department of Human Resources
Division of Health Services
P.O. Box 2091 • Raleigh, North Carolina 27602-2091

James G. Martin, Governor
Phillip J. Kirk, Jr., Secretary

Ronald H. Levine, M.D., M.P.H.
State Health Director

20 December 1985

Ms. Denise Bland
EPA NC CERCLA Project Officer
Air and Hazardous Material Division
345 Courtland Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30365

SUBJECT: Preliminary Assessment Report
Trend Line Furniture NC D000648436
West Holly Street
Maiden, NC 28650

Dear Ms. Bland:

Enclosed please find the Preliminary Assessment report for the subject site. This priority is based on review of available data.

The owner of Trend Line is Mohasco Corp., 57 Lyon Street, Amsterdam, NY 12010. Mohasco is primarily a carpet manufacturer.

The Trend Line Furniture Maiden plant was bought from Lawing Manufacturing in 1978. Lawing manufactured furniture at this plant from the early 1950's until 1978. Trend Line closed this plant in the spring of 1984 and now uses this space only for a warehouse. During its years of operation the Maiden plant produced "case goods" such as cabinets and dressers.

Sawdust generated at this plant was sold to make fiberboard. A varnish and stain sludge was also generated from the water pan spray booths. This was determined to be non-hazardous and was therefore disposed of in the county landfill until about 1977. A change in the stains and lacquers used produced a more liquid sludge that the county landfill would no longer accept. For this reason the sludge was incinerated by Caldwell Systems in Lenoir for the last several years that the plant operated. Before disposal the sludge was stored in drums in the finishing room. This plant was classified as small generator.

There are no wells at the Trend Line plant in Maiden. The plant has always had city water and sewer. The only sewage pretreatment was skimming to remove the varnish sludge.

There are no records of spills or disposal of hazardous wastes on this site in the past. Due to the age of the facility and the possibility of unreported spills or disposal on the site in the past, a low priority is assigned to this site.

On 19 December 1985, this Preliminary Assessment was reviewed by CERCLA Unit personnel and by the following representatives from the North Carolina Department of Natural Resources and Community Development, Division of Environmental Management: Fay Sweat, Groundwater Section and Glen Ross, Air Quality Section.

If you have any questions, please call me at (919) 733-2178.

Sincerely,

Jack Butler

Jack Butler, Environmental Engineer
Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Branch
Environmental Health Section

JB/tb/0214b

REFERENCE # 2

"Rite in the Rain"®

ALL-WEATHER

LEVEL

Notebook No. 311

F4-1740

Site Name Trend Line Furniture Corporation (Marion)
Brics #: NCI8I6
TDD. No. F4-8909-53
Proj. Mgr. Bruce L. Goins

LOGBOOK REQUIREMENTS
REVISED - NOVEMBER 29, 1988

NOTE: ALL LANGUAGE SHOULD BE FACTUAL AND OBJECTIVE

1. Record on front cover of the Logbook: TDD No., Site Name, Site Location, Project Manager.
2. All entries are made using ink. Draw a single line through errors. Initial and date corrections.
3. Statement of Work Plan, Study Plan, and Safety Plan discussion and distribution to field team with team members' signatures.
4. Record weather conditions and general site information.
5. Sign and date each page. Project Manager is to review and sign off on each logbook daily.
6. Document all calibration and pre-operational checks of equipment. Provide serial numbers of equipment used onsite.
7. Provide reference to Sampling Field Sheets for detailed sampling information.
8. Describe sampling locations in detail and document all changes from project planning documents.
9. Provide a site sketch with sample locations and photo locations.
10. Maintain photo log by completing the stamped information at the end of the logbook.
11. If no site representative is on hand to accept the receipt for samples, an entry to that effect must be placed in the logbook.
12. Record I.D. numbers of COC and receipt for sample forms used. Also record numbers of destroyed documents.
13. Complete SMO information in the space provided.

10-16-89 16:30

The undersigned have read the work plan for this phase of the site assessment. No study plan or safety plan are generated for off-site recons.

X [signature]
PRINCE L. GOINS

X [signature]
Clifford Leonard Jr

X [signature]
Eric Corbin

All entries will be made by Prince L. Goins with initials being (P.L.G.).

000001

000002

10-16-89 P.J.H. 16:30

The temperature was 75° and rainfall was predicted.

The property was closed and found to be for sale.

The property or facility was seen to be clean.

Location of the seem to be behind a daycare center (rather small). and unknown name.

The site seem to have NO vegetation problem. There was a residential 500 ft from this facility. P.J.H. 10-16-89

Also, next to the facility was ~~a~~ another Furniture Center which name Ethan Allen. P.J.H. 10-16-89

10-16-89 16:30 P.J.H.

The facility was fenced totally. Their was an over run of bushes along and on the fence. Also, the fence had seem to be an old well house in the gate.

The Furniture Corporation had railroad tract next to the it facility, which was outside the fence boundaries.

The Maiden water department serves 1,100 resident in the area. They are on surface water and they receive the water from Maiden and Allen Creek. They also serve the facility water.

There is one well about slightly beyond 2 miles of the facility south. The resident were Mr. + Mrs. Charters on highway 321 south.

000003

SOLID AND HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

ALPHABETIC LIST OF HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITIES

FACILITY ID	FACILITY NAME / CONTACT	FACILITY ADDRESS		MAILING ADDRESS			SG	G	TRN	TR	ST	DS	TELEPHONE AREA	NUMBER
NCD981866973	TRANSPOWER INC. JOHN, BRITT	2031 MIDDLE ROAD FAYETTEVILLE	28302	PO BOX 264 FAYETTEVILLE	NC	28303	X						919	483-5562
NCD074499344	TRANSWORLD X-RAY CORPORATION GORST CHARLES	10210 PINEVILLE ROAD PINEVILLE	28224	PO BOX 240766 CHARLOTTE	NC	28224		X					704	554-8390
NCD982124232	TRANSYLVANIA COMMUNITY HOSPITA MEORY, MARK	HOSPITAL DRIVE BREVARD	28712	PO BOX 1116 BREVARD	NC	28712	X						704	884-9111
NCD065298879	TRASH REMOVAL SERVICE INC MCKEITHAN CHRIS MANAGER	3920 RIVER ROAD WILMINGTON	28403	PO BOX 4730 WILMINGTON	NC	28406	X		X				919	799-5256
NCD981756885	TREDEGAR INDUSTRIES MOORE, WALT	STANLEY BLVD NEW BERN	28560	PO BOX 5209 NEW BERN	NC	28561	X						919	633-5165
NCD982147597	TREE DIMENSIONS MFG. CORP. SUMRELL, DREW	GEORGE WILSON RD. BOONE	28607	P.O. BOX 609 BOONE	NC	28607	X						704	262-0220
NCD074503368	TREND LINE CORPORATION DAVIS CARL	INDUSTRIAL PKWY HWY 321 LINCOLNTON	28092	PO BOX 188 HICKORY	NC	28601	E						704	328-2521
NCD000648436	TREND LINE FURNITURE CORP. DAVIS, CARL	WEST HOLLY ST. MAIDEN	28650	P O BOX 188 HICKORY	NC	28601	E							-
NCD081332991	TREND LINE FURNITURE CORP. DAVIS, CARL	4TH ST. PLACE SW CONOVER	28613	P.O. BOX 188 HICKORY	NC	28601	E							-
NCD981756943	TRENT OLDS CADILLAC BUICK, INC HEWSON, PAUL	HIGHWAY 70 EAST NEW BERN	28560	PO BOX 1310 NEW BERN	NC	28560	X						919	633-2213
NCD981862345	TRI-AD PICKUP & DELIVERY, INC. SMITH HARRY	7609 BOEING DRIVE GREENSBORO	27409	7609 BOEING DRIVE GREENSBORO	NC	27409	E						919	668-0039
NCD982123010	TRI-AD PRESS LABUDA, RICK	827 E. SPRAGUE ST. WINSTON-SALEM	27107	827 E. SPRAGUE ST. WINSTON-SALEM	NC	27107	X						919	785-0003
NCD982091035	TRI-CITY TRANSMISSIONS COOK, BILL	3836 HIGH POINT ROAD GREENSBORO	27407	3836 HIGH POINT ROA GREENSBORO	NC	27407	X						919	855-1927
NCD981921208	TRI-STATE CUSTOM FIBERGLASS, I MAY, KENNETH	STATE ROAD 1961 BAILEY	27807	PO BOX 369 BAILEY	NC	27807	X						919	235-2461
NCD982116964	TRI-STATE METALLURGICAL, INC. DEAMER, GEORGE	212 BULB AVENUE GASTONIA	28054	212 BULB AVENUE GASTONIA	NC	28054	X						704	861-8348
NCD981862543	TRIAD FREIGHTLINER OF GREENSBO COWAN, CHARLES	6420 BURNT POPLAR ROAD GREENSBORO	27419	PO BOX 8949 GREENSBORO	NC	27419	X						919	272-1153
NCD042322792	TRIAD MACK SALES & SERVICE INC WHITFIELD, JOHN	1386 SOUTH PARK DRIVE KERNERSVILLE	27284	1386 SOUTH PARK DRI KERNERSVILLE	NC	27284	X						919	996-6060

REFERENCE # 3

NUS CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES	REFERENCE # 4	***ELECON NOTE***
CONTROL NO. F4-8909-54/3	**DATE:** 12-28-89	**TIME:** 0900
DISTRIBUTION:		
BETWEEN: Jim Edwards (Compliance Officer)	**OF:** Hazardous Waste Compliance Program	**PHONE:** (919) 733-2178
AND: Prince L. Goins, NUS Corporation PLG		

DISCUSSION:

I spoke with Mr. Edwards and he indicated that they did not have a Part A application for RCRA.

NUS 067 REVISED 0685

McKENZIE
LIBRARY

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS RELATING WELL YI TO CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES AND SITI OF WELLS IN THE PIEDMONT AND BLUE RI PROVINCES OF NORTH CAROLINA



REFERENCE # 5

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WATER RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS REPORT 86-4132

Prepared in cooperation with the
North Carolina Department of Natural Resources
and Community Development



Figure 6.--Geologic belts, terranes, and some major structural features within the Piedmont and Blue Ridge provinces of North Carolina.

Table 5.--Summary statistics defining depth to water, casing depth, and saturated thickness of regolith according to topographic group in the Blue Ridge and Piedmont physiographic provinces
[Statistics for wells penetrating bedrock beneath the western edge of the Coastal Plain sediments are given for comparison.]

Well characteristic	Blue Ridge					Piedmont					Coastal Plain 1/	
	Draws and valleys	Slopes and flats	Hills and ridges	All wells	Number of wells	Draws and valleys	Slopes and flats	Hills and ridges	All wells	Number of wells	All wells	Number of wells
Average water level (feet below land surface)	23.4	37.5	62.9	37.1	507	22.1	29.3	36.8	31.3	2,326	18.8	145
Median water level (feet below land surface)	18	35	50	30	507	20	25	32	27	2,326	15	145
Average casing (feet)	50.1	57.7	66.6	56.8	698	52.7	53.2	50.0	52.0	2,685	71.7	293
Median casing (feet)	43	55	60	53.5	698	45	46	41	44	2,685	63	293
Average saturated thickness of regolith (feet)	32.2	27.6	20.8	28.0	422	33.6	24.6	20.4	24.0	1,749	47.7	112
Median saturated thickness of regolith (feet)	28	20	10	20	422	28	15	9	13	1,749	44.5	112

1/ Topography of bedrock surface cannot be determined. Influence of topography on well yield in Coastal Plain is unknown.


HICKORY, N C.
35081-F3-TF-024
1970
DMA 4755 III NE—SERIES V842
NEWTON, N.C.
N3537.5—W8107.5/7.5
1970
AMS 4755 II NW—SERIES V842
TREND LINE
FURNITURE CO.
PRIVATE WELL
PRIVATE WELL
1-MILE RADIUS
2-MILE RADIUS
3-MILE RADIUS
4-MILE RADIUS
Maiden
CATAWBA CO
LINCOLN CO
LEGEND
MADEN WATER SYSTEM
SCALE 1:24 000
REEPSVILLE, N. C.
N3530—W8115/7.5
1970
AMS 4755 III SE—SERIES V842
MAIDEN, N. C.
N3530—W8107.5/7.5
1970
AMS 4755 II SW—SERIES V842

REFERENCE # 7

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
C. R. Smith, Secretary

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
Robert M. White, Administrator

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICE
Woodrow C. Jacobs, Director

JUNE 1968

REPRINTED BY THE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
1983

PRECIPITATION (Inches)



Caution should be used in interpolating on these generalized maps, particularly in mountainous areas.

AKE EVAPORATION





SOURCE: RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE UNITED STATES, TECHNICAL PAPER NO. 40, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON, D. C., 1963.

FIGURE 8

1-YEAR 24-HOUR RAINFALL (INCHES)

REFERENCE # 8

North Carolina
Department of Conservation and Development
Ben E. Douglas, *Director*

Division of Mineral Resources
Jasper L. Stuckey, *State Geologist*

Bulletin Number 68

GEOLOGY AND GROUND WATER
IN THE
Statesville Area, North Carolina

By

Harry E. LeGrand
Geologist, U. S. Geological Survey

Prepared Cooperatively by the Geological Survey
United States Department of the Interior

1954

The areas in which the different rock units occur are shown on individual county maps in the text. reconnaissance nature of the mapping and the common variation of rock types within distances of ten feet or even fractions of a foot make the geologic maps less accurate than desired. The type of rock p trated or thought to have been penetrated by a well is given with other data in the well tables. The on 821 wells are tabulated and given with the county descriptions in this report. In order to compare water-yielding properties of wells in the different rock units, tables were prepared showing the average de average yield, and other important data for all drilled wells 3 inches or more in diameter in each rock u

Table 3 shows the relative yields of wells in the principal crystalline rock units in the area. The wells have an average depth of 225 feet and an average yield of 24 gallons a minute. The lowest yield zero and the highest yield was about 500 gallons a minute. Fifty percent of all wells yield at least 15 lons a minute.

TABLE 3.—AVERAGE YIELD OF DRILLED WELLS ACCORDING TO ROCK TYPE

Rock type	Number of wells	Average depth (feet)	Yield (gallons a minute)		
			Average	Per foot of well	Per foot of well below water table[1]
Granite	75	254	17	0.07	0.08
Granite gneiss and schist-granite complex	175	205	23	.11	.14
Granite-diorite complex	21	255	35	.14	.16
Gabbro-diorite	54	302	30	.10	.11
Hornblende gneiss and schist	104	214	29	.13	.16
Mica schist	58	232	22	.10	.11
Slate and volcanics	33	133	15	.11	.15
All wells	520	225	24	.11	.13

[1] Assuming the water table to be an average of 35 feet below the surface.

TABLE 4.—AVERAGE YIELD OF MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WELLS ACCORDING TO ROCK TYPE

Rock type	Number of wells	Average depth (feet)	Yield (gallons a minute)		
			Average	Per foot of well	Per foot of well below wate table[1]
Granite	14	506	27	0.05	0.06
Granite gneiss and mica schist-granite complex	37	320	32	.10	.11
Granite-diorite complex	10	328	52	.16	.18
Gabbro-diorite	29	413	38	.09	.10
Hornblende gneiss and schist	30	373	60	.16	.18
Mica schist	19	395	38	.10	.11
Slate and volcanics	4	260	31	.12	.14
All wells	143	377	41	.11	.12

[1] Assuming the water table to be an average of 35 feet below the surface.

The table shows that wells penetrating intermediate or basic rocks such as diorite, gabbro, and blende gneiss yield more than wells penetrating acid rocks such as granite, granite gneiss, mica schis the slates and related acid volcanic rocks. This difference is probably due to the greater solubility basic rocks and consequently to the ability of circulating water to enlarge by solution the fractures basic rocks. Owing to the scarcity of wells in the Triassic sedimentary rocks, the average expected y. wells penetrating these deposits is not known. Mundorff (1948, p. 29) shows that the average yield o: in similar rocks of Triassic age is 17 gallons a minute.



FIGURE 11.—*Geologic map of Catawba County.*



FIGURE 12.—*Map of Catawba County showing location of wells.*

REFERENCE # 9

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
S. Thomas Rhodes, Secretary

Division of Land Resources
Stephen G. Conrad, Director and State Geologist

Compiled by

The North Carolina Geological Survey
Philip M. Brown, Chief Geologist

Edward R. Burt, III
P. Albert Carpenter, III
Rebecca M. Enos
Billie J. Flynt, Jr.
Patricia E. Gallagher
Charles W. Hoffman
Carl E. Merschat
William F. Wilson

and

John M. Parker, III
State Geologic Map Coordinator
in association with
The State Geologic Map Advisory Committee

Charles C. Almy, Jr.
J. Robert Butler
Paul D. Fullagar
Richard Goldsmith
Robert D. Hatcher, Jr.
S. Duncan Heron, Jr.
J. Wright Horton, Jr.
Roy L. Ingram
Stuart W. Maher
Richard L. Mauger
James A. Miller
Loren A. Raymond
Thomas E. Shufflebarger, Jr.
Norman F. Sohl
Scott W. Snyder
Edward F. Stoddard
Frederick M. Swain
Daniel A. Textoris
Paul A. Thayer
H. D. Wagener
Lauck W. Ward
Walter H. Wheeler
Steven P. Yurkovich
Victor A. Zullo

INNER PIEDMONT, CHAUGA BELT, SMITH RIVER ALLOCHTHON, AND SAURATOWN MOUNTAINS ANTICLINORIUM

METAMORPHIC ROCKS

	ROCKS OF BREVARD FAULT ZONE — "Fish scale" schist and phyllonite, graphitic; interlayered with feldspathic metasandstone, marble lenses
	BIOTITE GNEISS AND SCHIST — Inequigranular, locally abundant potassic feldspar and garnet; interlayered and gradational with calc-silicate rock, sillimanite-mica schist, mica schist, and amphibolite. Contains small masses of granitic rock
ЄZbf	FINE-GRAINED BIOTITE GNEISS — Strongly foliated; minor layers of amphibolite and muscovite schist
ЄZms	MICA SCHIST — Garnet, staurolite, kyanite, or sillimanite occur locally; lenses and layers of quartz schist, micaceous quartzite, calc-silicate rock, biotite gneiss, amphibolite, and phyllite
	AMPHIBOLITE AND BIOTITE GNEISS — Interlayered; minor layers and lenses of hornblende gneiss, metagabbro, mica schist, and granitic rock
	AMPHIBOLITE — Metamorphosed mafic extrusive and intrusive rock; includes hornblende gneiss, thin layers of mica schist, calc-silicate rock, and, rarely, marble. Also includes small masses of metadiorite and metagabbro
ЄZbg	MEGACRYSTIC BIOTITE GNEISS — Poorly layered to massive; megacrysts of microcline and quartz; local mica schist, amphibolite, and biotite gneiss
ЄZq	QUARTZITE — Interlayered with quartz-muscovite schist, contains muscovite, andalusite, kyanite, or sillimanite
ЄZmg	METAGRAYWACKE AND MUSCOVITE-BIOTITE SCHIST — Metagraywacke (biotite gneiss) interlayered and gradational with muscovite-biotite schist; minor marble and granitic rock
ЄZma₁	METAGRAYWACKE, AMPHIBOLITE, AND KYANITE SCHIST — Metagraywacke (biotite gneiss) interlayered and gradational with amphibolite and kyanite schist; minor ultramafic and granitic rock
ЄZma₂	METAGRAYWACKE — Contains quartz and microcline porphyroblasts
ЄZbn	BANDED GNEISS — Interlayered with calc-silicate rock, metaconglomerate, amphibolite, sillimanite-mica schist, and granitic rock
ЄZph	PHYLLITE AND SCHIST — Includes phyllonite and interlayered biotite gneiss
	INEQUIGRANULAR BIOTITE GNEISS — Weakly foliated to massive, contains plagioclase megacrysts and, rarely, larger megacrysts of quartz and feldspar
	PORPHYROBLASTIC GNEISS — Massive to foliated, granodioritic, migmatitic
	GARNET-MICA SCHIST — Interlayered with amphibolite
Yg	GRANITIC GNEISS (Middle Proterozoic, 1192 my; 27) — Megacrystic, in places contains amphibolite

INTRUSIVE ROCKS

Jd	DIABASE — Dikes, gray to black
Mc	CHERRYVILLE GRANITE (Mississippian, 351 my; 20,21) — Massive to weakly foliated; contains pegmatites, lithium-bearing on east side
DSc	CAESARS HEAD GRANITE GNEISS (Devonian to Silurian, 409 my; 13) — Equigranular to porphyritic, massive to well foliated; contains biotite and muscovite
SOgg	GRANITE GNEISS (Ordovician to Silurian, 438 my; 17) — Poorly foliated; interlayered with biotite augen gneiss
OЄgm	MIGMATITIC GRANITIC GNEISS — Foliated to massive, granitic to quartz dioritic; biotite gneiss and amphibolite common
	METAMORPHOSED GRANITIC ROCK (Cambrian to Ordovician [illegible]

CHARLC

M

ЄZbf	FINE-GRAINED BIO layers of amphibo
ЄZfg	FELSIC MICA GNEIS and schist
ЄZbg	BIOTITE GNEISS AN dant potassic felc calc-silicate rock, Contains small m
ЄZv	METAVOLCANIC RC
	MAFIC METAVOLCA tuffs and flows, intrusives and mir
ЄZfv	FELSIC METAVOLC flows and tuffs, lic ate metavolcanic
ЄZq	QUARTZITE — Mass sillimanite, chlorit
ЄZph	PHYLLITE AND SCH minor quartzite
Jd	DIABASE — Dikes,
PPg	GRANITIC ROCK (F Megacrystic to e group) - Churchlar
DSg	GRANITE OF SALISE 415 my; 5) — Pin Salisbury, Southm
DSs	SYENITE OF CONCC cludes the Concor
DOgb	GABBRO OF CONCC 479 my; 24) — Ba dington intrusives
DOg	GRANITIC ROCK — contains hornblen
	SHELTON GRANITE lineated granitic tc
PzZg	METAMORPHOSED
PzZg	METAMORPHOSED
	METAMORPHOSED mafic plutonic-volc
	META-ULTRAMAFIC serpentinite, soaps bodies shown
ЄZg	METAMORPHOSED locally contains ho

Oak Hill
Barrett Mtn
Sawmills
Granite Falls
Rutherford College
Connelly Sprs
Morganton
Valdese
Icard
Hildebran
Henry
Baker Mtn
Maiden
NORMAN
Denver
Triangle
Iron Station
Mariposa
Lincolnton
Stanley
Dallas
Cherryville
Stubbs
Kings Mountain
Bessemer
Gastonia
Cramerton
Belmont
Bookertown
Crowders
Patterson Springs
Grover
Earl
Shelby
Sherrills Ford
Mooresville
Davidson
Cornelius
Huntersville
Mountain Island
CZms
CZbg
OCg
PPmg
Zbt
Jd
DOg
CZg
PzZg

Ground Water of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces in the Southeastern States

By H. E. LeGrand

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY CIRCULAR 538

Washington 1967

REFERENCE # 10

CONTENTS

ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLES

Ground Water of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces in the Southeastern States

By H. E. LeGrand

INTRODUCTION

This circular summarizes the underground water conditions in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge provinces of the Southeastern States—the region shown on the *geologic map (fig. 1).*

There are several ways of developing water from the ground in this region. In earlier days springs were used because they are common in coves or on lowland slopes. Almost all springs in the region yield between ½ to 3 gallons per minute and rarely show a significant decline in yield during dry weather. Dug wells *were common in the past,* but they are being replaced by bored and drilled wells. Bored wells, like dug wells, are as much as 2 feet in diameter and *are* commonly lined with concrete or terra cotta pipe; these wells do not extend into hard rock and go dry if the water table falls below the bottom of the well. Drilled wells, which are now the most common source of ground-water supply and which are the chief concern of this report, are cased to the hard rock and extend as open holes into the rock. Although some drilled wells are as small as 2 inches in diameter and others are as large as 10 inches, the most common size is about 5 or 6 inches. Almost every well in recent years has been properly constructed to prevent water on the *ground from* running down the outside of the casing into the well.



Figure 1.—Generalized geologic map. Areas underlain by igneous and metamorphic rocks are better suited to numerical rating of well sites than areas underlain by Triassic sedimentary rocks.

EVALUATING SITES

A special attempt is made to help those who are interested in the yields of wells. Because yields of individual wells in the region vary greatly within distances as short as 100 feet, *estimates of potential yields of prospective* wells are difficult to make. This fact has led frequently to water shortages, excessive costs, inconveniences, or undue anxiety in many cases. As the yield of a well *is unpredictable,* the next best approach is to attempt to show, on a percentage basis, the chance for a certain yield from a well for different conditions.

Although many factors determine the yield of a well, two ground conditions, when used together, serve as a good index for rating a well site. These conditions are topography and soil *thickness.* The ratings are based on the following statement: High-yielding wells are common where thick residual soils and relatively low topographic areas are combined, and low-yielding wells are common where thin soils and hilltops are combined. By comparing conditions of a site according to the topographic and soil conditions one gets a relative

rating value. For example, the following topographic conditions are assigned point values:

Points	*Topography*
0	Steep ridge top
2	Upland steep slope
4	Pronounced rounded upland
5	Midpoint ridge slope
7	Gentle upland slope
8	Broad flat upland
9	Lower part of upland slope
12	Valley bottom or flood plain
15	Draw in narrow catchment area
18	Draw in large catchment area

Figure 2 shows values for certain topo*graphic conditions.* Figure 3 shows rating values for soil thickness. The soil zone in this report includes the normal soils and also the relatively soft or weathered rock. The topographic conditions and *soil conditions* are separately rated, and the points for each are added to get the total points which may be used in table 1 to rate a site.

Using two well sites, *A* and *B*, as examples, we can evaluate each as to the potential yield of a well. Site 4, a pronounced rounded upland (4-point rating for topography in fig. 2) having a *relatively thin* soil (6-point rating for soil characteristic in fig. 3), has a total of 10 points. In table 1 the average yield for site A is 6 gpm (gallons per minute). This site has a 65-percent chance of yielding 3 *gpm* and a 40-percent chance of yielding 10 gpm. Site *B*, a



Figure 2.—Topographic map and profiles of ground surface showing rating in points for various topographic positions.



POINT VALUE	CHARACTER OF SOIL AND ROCK
0-2	Bare rock—almost no soil
2-6	Very thin soil—some rock outcrops
6-9	Soil thin—a few rock outcrops
9-12	Moderately thick soil—no fresh outcrops
12-15	Thick soil—no rock outcrops

Figure 3.—Rating in points for various conditions of soil thickness.

Table 1.—*Use of numerical rating of well site to estimate the percent chance of success of a well*

[Data are based on maximum depth of 300 feet or maximum drawdown of water level of about 200 feet. No interference from pump*ing is assumed.* Numerical rating is obtained by adding rating in points for topography and soil thickness]

Total points of a site	Average yield (gpm)	Chance of success, *in percent,* for a well to yield at least—				
		3 gpm	10 gpm	25 gpm	50 gpm	75 gpm
5	2	40	18	6	2	......
6	3	50	20	7	3	......
7	3	55	25	8	3	......
8	4	55	30	11	3	......
9	5	60	35	12	4	......
10	6	65	40	15	5	......
11	7	70	43	19	7	......
12	9	73	48	22	10	......
13	11	77	50	26	12	......
14	12	80	52	30	14	......
15	14	83	54	33	16	......
16	16	85	57	36	18	......
17	17	86	60	40	20	12
18	20	87	63	45	24	15
19	23	88	66	50	25	18
20	26	89	70	52	27	20
21	28	90	72	54	30	22
22	31	91	74	56	35	24
23	34	92	76	58	38	26
24	37	92	78	60	40	29
25	39	93	80	62	43	32
26	41	93	81	64	46	36
27	43	94	82	66	48	40
28	45	95	83	68	50	42
29	48	95	84	71	53	44
30	50	96	87	73	56	47
30+	50	97	91	75	60	50



Example: A site with 15 points has 3 chances in 10 of yielding at least 30 gallons per minute and 6 chances in 10 of yielding 10 gallons per minute.

Figure 4.—Probability of getting a certain yield from a well at different sites having various total-point ratings.

draw or slight sag in topography (18-point rating) having a moderately thick soil (12-point rating), has a total of 30 points, an average yield of 50 gpm, and a 73-percent chance of yielding 25 gpm. Referring to figure 4, we see that the 10-point site has less than 1 chance in 10 of yielding 40 gpm whereas the 30-point site has better than an even chance of yielding 40 gpm.

Some topographic conditions of the region and a few topographic ratings are shown in figure 5. Wells located on concave slopes are commonly more productive than wells on convex slopes or straight slopes. Broad but slight concave slopes near saddles in gently rolling upland areas are especially good sites for potentially high-yielding wells. On the other hand, steep V-shaped valleys of the gully type may not be especially good sites, and they should be avoided if surface drainage near the well is so poor that contamination is possible.

More difficulty is likely to occur in rating character of soil and rock than in rating

Figure 5.—Countryside in the Blue Ridge province showing approximate ratings for topography.

Figure 6.—The soil zone is likely very thin near these rock outcrops (soil-thickness rating 0 to 4 points).

topography. Everyone should be able to determine by observation if the soil is thin (less than 7 soil and rock points as shown in figure 6) and if the soil is fairly thick (more than 10 soil and rock points), but the *intermediate* ratings are difficult to make. If the observer is unsure of the soil and rock rating above the 5-point (thin soil) value he may choose a 10-point value for the site with assurance that he is fairly correct. White quartz of flint, which occurs as veins and as rock fragments on the ground, is not considered a true rock in this report because it persists in the soil zone; a *quartz vein in many cases* is considered to be a slightly favorable indication of a good well site.

The numerical rating system is not intended to be precise. One person may rate a particular site at 15 points, whereas another person may rate it at 17 points; such a small difference in rating would not be misleading. Almost everyone's rating will be within 5 points of an average rating for a site.

YIELD

The term "yield" is not definite but is the reported capacity of a well to produce water, generally during a short pumping test. The water level in a well will stabilize if a certain limited yield or withdrawal of water is maintained; however, a greater withdrawal or yield will cause the water level to fall. In many cases the water level continues to fall until the pumping stops so that *continuous pumping* would result in a smaller yield than that estimated earlier. The percentage of relative yield is not directly proportionate to the percentage of drawdown of the water level, but the greater percentage of yield is reached before the greater percentage of drawdown. Figure 7 shows an approximate relation of drawdown to yield for an average well in the region. Note that the *yield-drawdown relationships* of all wells lie within the shaded zone and that average conditions occur on or near the heavy line. As an example of the relation between yield and drawdown, we may consider a well 230 feet deep having a static water level of 20 feet below land surface. (See *fig. 8.*) This well yields 40 gpm with a pumping level at a depth of nearly 220 feet; the pump might better be *set at 120 feet* (50 percent of drawdown or half the thickness of the water) where about 36 gpm or 90 percent of the relative yield could be realized. It is unnecessary and uneconomical to lower the water level of a well to a position near the bottom unless the yield is so poor that the water stored in the well is needed.

There is no simple definition of the yield of a well—*especially* in the Blue Ridge and Piedmont provinces. Yields for various levels of the water in the pumped well are rarely known. The yields in this report are considered to be standard for wells about 300 *feet* deep which are pumped about 12 hours each day and in which drawdown of the water level is about 200 feet; it is assumed that there is no interference by pumping from other wells, which would increase drawdown.



Figure 7.—The curve shows that an increase in yield of a well is not directly proportionate to an increase in drawdown of the water level. A yield of nearly 80 percent of the total capacity of a well results from lowering the water level only 40 percent of the available drawdown.



Figure 8.—Yield of a well at two different pumping rates.

DEPTH OF WELLS

How deep should a well be drilled? This
estion is not easy to answer for an individ-
l well. In most places fractures in the rock
smaller and fewer with depth and deep
illing may not be economical. Figure 9
s the percentage of total yield for certain
s in an average well.

The following table shows the percentage of
lls that reach their maximum yields at cer-
depths below which drilling is useless. As

Depth (feet)	Percentage of wells
70	20
100	30
150	50
200	70
300	85
400	93



Figure 9.—Extent to which deepening of average well increases the yield.

most of the interconnecting fractures occur in a zone no deeper than 150 feet below the land surface, it may be wise to drill no deeper than 150 feet if the yield is very poor, or no deeper than 300 feet in almost all cases.

FRACTURES IN THE ROCK

Figure 10 illustrates six different fracture patterns in rocks penetrated by wells. To simplify the illustrations the water table and soil thickness are considered uniform, and each well, cased to 50 feet, is 250 feet deep. The approximate number of times each general pattern of fractures occurs in 100 wells is shown in percentage beneath each type. Well A penetrates no fractures below the casing; therefore, the well yields no water. Well B penetrates a fracture zone in which two or more fractures occur a few feet below the casing. This type of well is common. It may yield as much as 10 to 20 gpm for a period of several minutes until the fractures are drained. Then its yield will likely decline suddenly, and the amount of decline will depend upon the amount of water transmitted to the well by the soil and the underlying thin zone of fractured rock. That part of the well below the fracture zone contributes no water and acts only as a storage reservoir into which water drains. The yield of this well does not increase with increased drawdown. Well C penetrates only one fracture, a large one near the



Figure 10.—Six types of ground conditions showing distribution of fractures that influence the yields of wells. The stippled portion represents soil and soft rock; the dashed line is the water table. The degree of frequency of the different types is shown in percentage.

top of the fresh rock. This well is similar to well B. It may yield considerable water for a few minutes until the stored water in the fracture is drained. The perennial yield, under continuous pumping, will depend on the permeability of the soil and weathered rock and on the amount of water that is released to the fracture. Well D penetrates several fractures, which contribute small amounts of water, and a large fracture at a depth of about 90 feet. Well E penetrates several small- to medium-sized fractures. These fractures are larger and more closely spaced in the upper part of the bedrock. Well F penetrates only one fracture—a large one below a depth of 200 feet.

WATER TABLE

The water table, or upper surface of the underground reservoir, continuously fluctuates and reflects changes in underground storage. During droughts we see evidence of a falling water table when many shallow wells go dry. We also can detect a lowering of the water table locally around wells from which water is pumped. There is a continual discharge of ground water by seepage into streams, by evaporation, and by transpiration through vegetation. The discharge causes a gradual lowering of the water table except for periods during and immediately after significant precipitation when recharge to the underground reservoir exceeds the discharge from it and the water table rises. Figure 11 shows the trends of water-level fluctuation in a well at Chapel Hill, N. C. The water level in this well is controlled entirely by natural conditions, and its fluctuation is typical of that in the region. There is a characteristic seasonal change in the water table, which begins to decline in April or May owing to the increasing amount of evaporation and transpiration of plants. In November or December, when much of the vegetation has become dormant, the precipitation first makes up the summertime soil-moisture deficiency and then again becomes effective in producing recharge, and the water table begins to rise. In a year of normal rainfall the recharge to the underground reservoir is approximately equal to the discharge from it, so that the water table



Figure 11.—The water table generally declines in summer and fall but rises to a high level in early spring, as shown by the record of this well in Chapel Hill, N. C.

Table 2.—Concentrations of chemical constituents and their characteristic effects on water use in the region

[Concentration in parts per million except as indicated. Occurrence, where noted, is given in parenthesis after concentrations]

Constituents	Concentration	Characteristic effects on water use
Silica (SiO_2)	Rarely less than 15 or more than 45, commonly 20 to 35.	Forms hard scale in pipes and boilers but not normally a serious problem in the region.
Iron (Fe)	Commonly less than 0.3 in natural water, but corrosion of iron pipes from water with pH less than 6.8 causes a fairly common iron problem.	More than 0.3 ppm stains laundry, utensils, and fixtures reddish brown.
Calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg)	Rarely less than 5 or more than 60 (commonly 5 to 20 in water beneath light-colored soils and 15 to 50 in water beneath dark-colored soils).	Cause most of the hardness and scale-forming properties of water. (See hardness below.)
Bicarbonate (HCO_3)	Rarely less than 15 or more than 150, commonly 30 to 100.	Concentrations in region are not generally high enough to cause trouble.
Sulfate (SO_4)	Rarely less than 1 or more than 100, commonly 1 to 40.	Concentrations in region are not generally high enough to cause trouble.
Chloride (Cl)	Rarely less than 1 or more than 40, commonly 1 to 20.	Salty taste to water having more than a few hundred parts per million.
Fluoride (F)	Rarely more than 1, commonly 0.0 to 0.8.	Concentration between 0.6 and 1.7 ppm in water retards decay of teeth, but amounts in excess of 1.5 ppm may cause mottled enamel of teeth.
Nitrate (NO_3)	Rarely more than 20, commonly less than 10.	Where concentration is greater than 20 ppm, contamination from sewage may be suspected. Water of concentrations greater than 45 ppm may be harmful to babies.
Dissolved solids	Total of all mineral matter rarely exceeds 250, commonly 70 to 150.	Water containing more than 1,000 ppm of dissolved solids is unsuitable for most purposes.
Hardness as equivalent $CaCO_3$	Rarely less than 10 or more than 150 (commonly 10 to 50 in water beneath light-colored soils and 40 to 200 in water beneath dark-colored soils).	Causes consumption of soap before lather will form. Hard water forms scale in boilers and hot water heaters. Water whose hardness is less than 60 ppm is considered soft; 61 to 120 ppm, moderately hard; 121 to 180 ppm, hard; more than 180 ppm, very hard.
pH	Rarely less than pH of 5.5 or more than 7.5 (commonly 5.5 to 6.8 in water beneath light-colored soils and 6.8 to 7.5 in water beneath dark-colored soils).	Values less than 7.0 indicate acidity, and corrosiveness of water generally increases with decreasing pH.

at the end of the year is at about the same level as at the beginning of the year. Wells drilled into rock may, when pumped at full capacity, yield slightly less during the driest part of the year when the water table is low. Yet there appears to be no evidence to support the general belief that the water table has been declining during recent years.

CHEMICAL QUALITY OF THE WATER

In comparison with ground water in widely scattered regions of the world, the water in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge provinces ranks among the best in chemical quality. (See table 2.) Most of the water is low in total dissolved solids and is generally soft, but some is moderately hard.

Iron in water is the most common complaint. As little as 0.4 ppm (parts per million) will cause a red stain on plumbing fixtures. About 5 of every 10 wells yield water with less than 0.3 ppm of iron. About 4 of 10 wells yield water with just enough iron to cause a slight stain, and about 1 of 10 wells yields water that has considerable iron. Some iron problems result when iron is dissolved from rocks, and other problems result when water, moving through iron pipes, consequently picks up a brown iron stain by corrosion. It is important to determine the source of the iron, whether dissolved from the rocks or from the pipes, before methods for its removal are employed. Most of the water is satisfactory for use without any type of treatment (table 2). Yet an analysis of the water should be made as soon as a well is drilled to determine if treatment is necessary. It is not possible to determine the quality of water before a well is drilled.

CONTAMINATION OF GROUND WATER

In view of the many hundreds of thousands of wells that are interspersed with about an equal number of septic tanks and other waste sites, it is proper to give serious attention to the possibility of contaminating an individual water supply. The tendency for ground water—and contaminants that might be in it—to move naturally from upland areas toward stream valleys offers help in planning wells and waste sites to avoid contamination. A well that is pumped may modify the natural movement of water and draw contaminated water toward it; this condition is more likely where the soil is thin or absent than where it is thick. Care should be taken to see that no water from the land surface can seep easily into the well around the casing. Not only is the well site important but so is the waste site. In most cases the chances of contaminated water from a waste site moving into a well are not easy to predict, but a few general statements can be made. For example, at a waste site (1) a deep water table is safer than a shallow water table, (2) thick soil is safer than thin soil or rock outcrops, (3) sandy soil with some clay may be better than a clean sandy soil or a sticky clay soil, and (4) a slope of both the land surface and the water table away from a well is better than one toward it.

The soil and weathered rock are generally effective in preventing waste materials from passing through to underlying rock fractures, but the combination of (1) certain types of wastes, (2) excessive quantities of disposed wastes, and (3) thin soils may result in contaminated water reaching bedrock fractures. Once in the bedrock fractures the contaminated water may move easily to water supplies. Only a small percentage of wells have been contaminated, but proper care in locating and constructing wells and waste sites must be taken to minimize the risk of contamination. Minimum standards specified by health officials, such as those relating to permeability of the soil, distance between a well and a waste site, and depth of the water table, must be followed.

GENERAL STATEMENTS ABOUT GROUND WATER IN THE REGION

1. Ground water may be considered as occurring in an underground reservoir, the water being held in the open spaces of the rock materials. The water table, representing the top of the reservoir, generally lies in the clay, or disintegrated rock materials. In the lower part of the reservoir, water occurs in interconnecting fractures in bedrock; the fractures diminish in number and size with increasing depth. Water enters the fractures by seeping through the overlying clay, and drilled wells draw water from these fractures. The source of this water is precipitation in the general area of a well and not in some remote place.

2. A layer of residual soil and weathered rock lies on the fresh rock in most places; the thickness of the soil and weathered rock ranges from zero to slightly more than 150 feet.



e 12.—Dry zone (above water table) lifted up to show water table or surface of saturated zone. Movement of water (arrows) tends to be downward in the dry zone and lateral toward streams in the saturated zone.

3. The water table has a hill and valley re-ation that approximately conforms with sur-ace topography, although the water table is newhat flatter. (See fig. 12.) For example, creek or river is the surface expression of e water table in a valley, but beneath a hill water table may be 30 to 75 feet below the round surface. Ground water, like surface ater, has the tendency to drain away from hills to the valleys. This tendency helps in planning the location of wells in relation to other wells and to sources of possible con-tamination.

4. A close network of streams prevails, and in most places on an upland area a perennial stream is less than 1 mile away.

5. Toward the streams is a continuous flow of ground water. Some of the outflowing ground water is used up by evaporation and by transpiration of plants in the valley areas; the remainder of the water discharges as small springs and as bank and channel seepage into the streams.

6. The natural movement of ground water is relatively short and is almost everywhere re-stricted to the zone underlying the gross topo-graphic slope extending from a particular land-surface divide to the adjacent streams.

7. In ideal cases the pumping of a well causes the water table to be depressed smooth-ly in the shape of an inverted cone, the apex of the cone being in the well; however, the er-ratic distribution of rock fractures and the contrasting nature of permeability between rock fractures and overlying soils cause the depressed part of the water table to extend unevenly around a well. Where two heavily pumped wells are within a few hundred feet of each other, there is a strong likelihood of some interference of pumping level between the two, but in most cases there is not any ap-preciable interference between low-yielding wells a few hundred feet apart. From a pump-ed well the depressed part of the water table rarely extends beneath a perennial stream or beneath a hilltop to a slope on the opposite side. Well interference is local, and there is no regional lowering of the water table be-cause of pumping.

8. The relation of the depth of a well to yield of the aquifer is not simple. In spite of some beliefs, water already available to a well is rarely lost by drilling deeper; therefore, there is always a chance of getting a larger supply by increasing the depth of the well. Yet this chance becomes poorer as the well deep-ens because the interconnecting fractures and the ability of the rocks to store and transmit water decrease significantly with depth. More than 90 percent of all ground water occurs in the first 100 feet below the water table. Gen-erally two wells 200 feet deep each will yield more water than one well 400 feet deep.

9. The relationship of topography to yield is emphasized. The great majority of wells are located on hills or smooth upland slopes because of convenience and because these lo-cations appear safe from sources of contam-ination. Yet the percentage of low-yielding wells is much greater on hills and upland con-vex slopes than in lowlands or draws (concave slopes that lead upward from a valley to a saddle or sway-backed position in a ridge). Steep-sided depressions, such as gullies and ravines, should not be considered acceptable sites for wells.

10. In general, wells are more productive and tend to have a more stable year-round yield where there is a thick mantle of soil than where bare rock crops out. The presence of a soil cover and the absence of rock outcrop

suggest that water moves downward into the rock and is not readily shunted toward the ad-jacent valley; in fact, the soil cover suggests that interconnecting rock fractures are avail-able to store water and to transmit it to wells. Where there is a good soil cover, the water table generally lies in it; therefore, the stor-age capacity in the vicinity is much greater than where bare rock is exposed and where the only water in storage is in the rock frac-tures that might be quickly drained.

11. Simple clear-cut statements about the water-yielding properties of the various types of rocks are not easy to make. There are many varieties of igneous and metamorphic rocks, but for a discussion of their ground-water properties they may be grouped as fol-lows: (1) Somewhat massive igneous rocks, such as granite, and (2) metamorphic rocks, such as schists, gneisses, and slates, which may show an alinement of minerals or an alinement of cleavage planes or openings along which water may move. In some places a type of rock may have distinctive water-bearing characteristics, but, if so, it is also likely to show distinctive topographic and soil-mantle features. Topography and soil-mantle features are readily observed and may be used as criteria for predicting the water-yielding potential of a well site, whereas the water-bearing characteristics of a type of rock by itself may be obscure. At any rate, there are too many complex factors involved to justify generalizations about the yield of wells in individual types of rock.

12. Whenever water is pumped from a well, the water level is lowered in and around the well. The drawdown increases with an in-crease in the rate of pumping, although this relation is not simple. For example, a well yielding 20 gpm with a drawdown of 50 feet will not double its yield by increasing the drawdown to 100 feet. Instead, it will yield less than 40 gpm and perhaps no more than 25 to 30 gpm with a drawdown of 100 feet.

13. Some wells that are pumped heavily tend to decline gradually in yield. This fact may be due to the following circumstances. The size and setting of a pump are determined from a short bailer or pumping test when the well is completed. Such a short test may not indicate the long-term yield of the well be-cause the first water is withdrawn form stor-age in the rock materials, and many hours, days, or even months may pass before there is a stable adjustment between the amount of water that the fractures can feed into the well and the amount of water available to drain through the overlying clay into the fractures feeding the well. Failure to have knowledge of water-level fluctuations as a result of pump-ing is the cause of many well problems and of the erroneous conclusion that well supplies are not dependable. If a well tends to have an unstable yield, it is probably overpumped. A reduction in the rate of pumping and conse-quently a raising of the water level will result in a perennially safe yield. Constant pumping at a moderate rate does not damage a well.

14. There is a tendency for rocks under-lying a light-colored soil to yield water that is low in dissolved mineral matter and is soft. On the other hand, rocks underlying darker soils (dark red, brown, and yellow) tend to yield water that is slightly hard, or hard, and that may contain objectionable amounts of iron.

15. Many people think that a shallow depth to the water table is an indication of a good yield of a potential well, but this is not a rule to follow. In fact, where the water table is only a few feet beneath the land surface on an upland area, the rock fractures may be so scarce that water may not be able to move downward in the rock; it is held near the ground surface and perhaps is shunted out to the land surface as a wet seepage spot on a steep slope.

16. There are many mistaken notions about the availability of ground water in the region. These notions arise from lack of knowledge of the occurrence and movement of ground water and of the behavior of wells. The common er-roneous statement that a certain town in the region could not depend on well water stems from the existence of a limited number of wells; never has the underground reservoir beneath any town or city in the region been completely depleted of its water. There has been a tendency for towns of about 2,000 people to convert from well supplies to a treated surface-water supply; such conversion commonly occurs when the town requires more than 500,000 gallons of water per day, an amount which only a few wells in aggregate may not produce. Few towns have the experi-enced persons with diversified knowledge of wells and ground-water conditions to provide the good management comparable to that of municipal surface-water supplies.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

There are many sources of information ... ground-water conditions in specific ... of the region. At least one agency in ...ch State has cooperated financially with the ...S. Geological Survey, and these agencies have contributed in some way to the results of this report. Further information about reports published or work in progress may be obtained from the district offices of the Geological Survey in each State or from the respective State cooperating agencies.

REFERENCE # 11

GEOLOGY AND GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF THE ASHEVILLE AREA NORTH CAROLINA

By

HENRY TRAPP, JR.

GEOLOGIST, U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

GROUND WATER BULLETIN NUMBER 16

NORTH CAROLINA

DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND AIR RESOURCES

George E. Pickett, *Director*

Division of Ground Water

Harry M. Peek, *Chief*

PREPARED COOPERATIVELY BY THE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
AND THE NORTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND AIR RESOURCES

APRIL 1970

Hydrologic Cycle

The natural and continuous circulation of water between the atmosphere and the earth is called the hydrologic cycle. Water in the form of rain or snow (precipitation) falls upon the surface of the earth. Par drains off directly into streams and eventually to the ocean (runoff). Part of the water is evaporated or transpired by plants (evapotranspiration). A significant part of the precipitation infiltrates the soil cover into underlying rock and reaches the zone of saturation. The water in the zone of saturation is called ground water. After it reaches the zone of saturation, it flows toward places where it discharges into streams or lakes or directly into the ocean, or where it comes near enough to the surface to be lost by evaporation. The cycle is completed by the return of water to the atmosphere in the form of vapor (fig. 6).

Occurrence of Ground Water

Ground water occurs in rock openings that may be either primary or secondary. Primary openings are formed at the same time the rock was formed, such as the spaces between pebbles in a gravel bed. Secondary openings are those formed after the rock was formed, such as fracture and solution openings. Crystalline rocks, including granite, gneiss, and schist, have little pore space between the component grains. The opening which yield water in these rocks are secondary, and include joints, fractures, cleavage planes, planes of schistosity, bedding planes, and solution channels. These are not uniformly distributed through the rock. Most of the ground water in the Asheville area occurs in secondary openings. Some exceptions are water in local sand and gravel deposits.

An underground zone or layer which is a source of water is called an aquifer. Where the water is not confined beneath an impermeable layer of rock, the upper surface of the zone of saturation (called the water table) is free to rise and fall with changes in atmospheric pressure and precipitation. It is not a flat surface but generally reflects, in a subdued way, the irregularities of topography. The water table intersects ground level at springs, streams, lakes, and ponds. Where ground water occurs only in irregularly distributed fractures and other secondary openings, the water table can be very irregular or discontinuous.



FIGURE 6.- DIAGRAM OF THE HYDROLOGIC CYCLE.

Under artesian conditions, water is confined under sufficient pressure to cause it to rise above the level at which the aquifer is penetrated in a well. An artesian well is not necessarily a flowing well. The imaginary surface that coincides with the static level of the water in the artesian aquifer is called the piezometric surface.

Almost all hand-dug wells are water-table wells. It is possible that a few of the deeper ones in this area, which were blasted into solid rock at the bottom, may be artesian. Some of the drilled wells are known to be artesian. These include several flowing wells and others where the static water level differs substantially from the water level of a nearby dug well or body of surface water. In most of the drilled wells inventoried in the course of this study, it was not possible to determine whether water-table or artesian conditions prevail, because the depth at which water was reached is not known.

Springs are usually located at the head of a draw or the foot of a bluff. Springs may occur where the water table intersects the ground surface, as at changes in slope.

Because of soil cover or sealed enclosures, it is usually difficult to ascertain the nature of the origin of springs. In a few larger springs, the water can be seen issuing from fractures in the rocks. Most smaller springs appear to issue from the contact of soil or saprolite and unweathered rock. In this type of spring, water seeps into the soil on a hill and forms a thin zone of saturation just above impermeable bedrock. Where bedrock crops out, the overlying zone of saturation intersects the ground surface, forming a spring.

Current Use of Ground Water

Springs

Springs are common throughout the Asheville area, particularly in the hills. Most of the springs are small, with yields of about 1 gpm (gallon per minute) or less.

The largest spring known in the area is Bubbling Spring, in the flood plain on the southwest side of the French Broad River, 1 mile northwest of Hot Springs (Madison County). It has a reported yield of about 250 gpm when pumped. The water is probably rising from the Rome Formation through a thin cover of alluvium. The Rome Formation has many minor crenulations and dips nearly vertically in exposures in the

REFERENCE # 12



R. Allan Freeze

Department of Geological Sciences
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, British Columbia

John A. Cherry

Department of Earth Sciences
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario

GROUNDWATER

Prentice-Hall, Inc.
Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

FREEZE, R ALLAN.
Groundwater.

Bibliography: p.
Includes index.
1. Water, Underground. I. Cherry, John A., joint author. II. Title.
GB1003.2.F73 551.4'98 78-25796
ISBN 0-13-365312-9

Editorial/production supervision by Cathy Brenn/Kim McNeily
Interior design by Chris Gadekar
Manufacturing buyer: Harry Baisley
Chapter logos: Peter Russell

© 1979 by Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 07632

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means without permission in writing from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

10 9 8

PRENTICE-HALL INTERNATIONAL, INC., *London*
PRENTICE-HALL OF AUSTRALIA PTY. LIMITED, *Sydney*
PRENTICE-HALL OF CANADA, LTD., *Toronto*
PRENTICE-HALL OF INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED, *New Delhi*
PRENTICE-HALL OF JAPAN, INC., *Tokyo*
PRENTICE-HALL OF SOUTHEAST ASIA PTE. LTD., *Singapore*
WHITEHALL BOOKS LIMITED, *Wellington, New Zealand*

Table 2.2 Range of Values of Hydraulic Conductivity and Permeability



Table 2.3 Conversion Factors for Permeability and Hydraulic Conductivity Units

	Permeability, k*			Hydraulic conductivity, K		
	cm²	ft²	darcy	m/s	ft/s	U.S. gal/day/ft²
cm²	1	1.08×10^{-3}	1.01×10^{8}	9.80×10^{2}	3.22×10^{3}	1.85×10^{9}
ft²	9.29×10^{2}	1	9.42×10^{10}	9.11×10^{5}	2.99×10^{6}	1.71×10^{12}
darcy	9.87×10^{-9}	1.06×10^{-11}	1	9.66×10^{-6}	3.17×10^{-5}	1.82×10^{1}
m/s	1.02×10^{-3}	1.10×10^{-6}	1.04×10^{5}	1	3.28	2.12×10^{6}
ft/s	3.11×10^{-4}	3.35×10^{-7}	3.15×10^{4}	3.05×10^{-1}	1	6.46×10^{5}
U.S. gal/day/ft²	5.42×10^{-10}	5.83×10^{-13}	5.49×10^{-2}	4.72×10^{-7}	1.55×10^{-6}	1

*To obtain k in ft², multiply k in cm² by 1.08×10^{-3}.

[redacted]nce
[redacted]um
ituted
[redacted]
(2.29)
[redacted]ad to
raulic
[redacted]oxi-
iraulic
[redacted]Eq.
[redacted]
to this
[redacted]ver,
[redacted]rmal
easure-
[redacted]ence
[redacted]ct is
good
carried
[redacted]very
on the
n con-
[redacted]
perme-
terials.
[redacted] The
[redacted]ivity
take on
[redacted] that
[redacted]eful.
bly has
[redacted] units
erted to
n ft² to
[redacted]

DIVISION OF HEALTH SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SECTION - WATER SUPPLY BRANCH

DATE OF RUN 09/14/88

* * * PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY DATA SHEET * * *ONLY SURFACE SOURCE

NC INVENTORY DOCUMENT (PWSI.410) AS OF SEPTEMBER 88

PWSI-ID W0410S

RE-CO-SEQ	DATA LINE CODE				
01-18-030	03	NAME OF PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM: MAIDEN WATER TRTMT PLT	RESPONSIBLE PERSON ADDRESS: PO BOX 125		
	04	RESPONSIBLE PERSON CITY: MAIDEN	STATE: NC	ZIP CODE: 28650	PHONE- AREA CODE/NUMBER: 704-428-9126
	17	RESPONSIBLE PERSON NAME: LENARD F TAYLOR CR MANAGER	LOCATION OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY: OFF ISLAND FORD RD ON W PLT RD		UPDATE CODE: C
	01	OWNERS NAME: TOWN OF MAIDEN	OWNERS STREET ADDRESS: PO BOX 125		
	02	OWNERS CITY: MAIDEN	STATE: NC	ZIP CODE: 28650	OWNER TYPE: C

05 — SERVICE AREA CHARACTERISTICS

CITY OR COMMUNITY SERVED	COUNTY CODE	IND RES	RES	CGT	REC	MOH	INS	SCH	MOT	RET	SST	CAG	PIC	LOG	MRR	SKI	BAT	VIS	HWY	AIR	OTHER
MAIDEN	018	000	1																		

06

NO. CONSECUTIVE PURCHASING	INTERSTATE CARRIERS	PWS TYPE	POPULATION SERVED	NO. SERVICE CONNECTIONS	NUMBER METERS	CONSECUTIVE INDICATOR
00	N	C	000002700	0001050	0001050	0

07

AVERAGE PRODUCTION GAL/DAY	DESIGN GAL/DAY	EMERGENCY GAL/DAY	STORAGE CAPACITY/GALS.	MAXIMUM PRODUCTION GAL/DAY
0001300000	0004000000	0000000000	0001000000	0002200000

08

PWS BEGIN	LAST SURVEY DATE	BACTI AND TURBIDITY COMPLIANCE CYCLE	ACTIVITY INDICATOR	DEACTIVATION DATE	DEACTIVATION REASON	ACTIVITY DATE
06/77	02/12/86	01	A	00/00/00		032888

09

POST CODE	REG BY	STATE REG	STATE DIS	BACTI FLAG	MONTHLY MONITOR	NUMBER SAMPLES	SEASON BEGIN	SEASON END	DAILY CHECKS	SAMPLE FREQ	MCL CK SAMPLE	CHLORINE P P M	TURBIDITY FLAG	TURBIDITY UNITS
NC	B	01		L	Y	000	00/00	00/00	1	000	2	00	L	1.4

16 - - - - - - - - - - SOURCE - - - - - - - -

	NO.	NAME	CODE	NUMBER WELLS	AVL	RIVER BASIN	LATITUDE DEG	LATITUDE MIN	LATITUDE SEC	LONGITUDE DEG	LONGITUDE MIN	LONGITUDE SEC	PWSI-ID OF SELLER	WATER TREATMENTS 6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
*→	01	MAIDEN CREEK	S	00	P	0003	035	35	04	081	11	29	- -	-	Y	B	S	D	C	-	-	-	-	Y	G	P
	02	ALLEN CR (PROP)	S	00	P		035	34	53	081	11	28	- -													

Reference No. 14

- CATAWBA -

Reference No. 22

06/21/89

NORTH CAROLINA NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM ELEMENT LIST

scientific and common name	state stat	fed stat	state rank	glob rank
HYBOPSIS ZANEMA SANTEE CHUB	SC		S3	G3?
DRY-MESIC OAK--HICKORY FOREST			S5	G5
AMORPHA SCHWERINII SCHWERIN'S AMORPHA	PP		S2	G2G3
HEXASTYLIS NANIFLORA DWARF-FLOWERED HEARTLEAF	E	LT	S2	G2G3
MONOTROPSIS ODORATA SWEET PINESAP	SR		S2	G3
STELLARIA COREI CORE'S STARWORT	SR		S3	G3

EXPLANATION OF NC NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM DATABASE OUTPUT

Probably the most important database kept by the N.C. Natural Heritage Program is the one that tracks occurrences of elements of natural diversity (rare animals, rare plants, geologic features, special animal habitats). The output (printout) you have received is a subset of this very large database. Each record (an occurrence) is printed out in a particular format, the structure of which is explained below.

EOCODE: Internal coding for the element occurrence. The first letter indicates the kind of element:

A=vertebrate animal	N=nonvascular plant
G=geologic feature	P=vascular plant
I=invertebrate animal	S=special animal habitat

For vertebrates, the second letter indicates the order (taxonomic), with A=amphibians, B=birds, F=fish, etc. For vascular plants, the second letter indicates whether the plant is a monocot (M), dicot (D), pteridophyte (P), or gymnosperm (G). For nonvascular plants, the second letter indicates whether the plant is a bryophyte (B) or lichen (L).

The last 3 digits, following the decimal point, are the number of that occurrence of the species in the database. For plants, numbers with an H are occurrences reported from before 1935 which have not been found since. In some cases, H has also been used to indicate very vague records, or populations known to have been extirpated.

NAME: Scientific name of the element.

COMNAME: Common name of the element.

FEDSTAT: Federal status of the species, from Endangered & Threatened Wildlife and Plants, April 10, 1987. 50 CFR 17.11 & 17.12. Department of Interior. Established by the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended.

LE = Taxa currently listed as Endangered
LT = Taxa currently listed as Threatened
PE = Taxa currently proposed for listing as Endangered
PT = Taxa currently proposed for listing as Threatened
Taxa under review for possible listing ("candidate species"):
C1 = Taxa with sufficient information to support listing
C2 = Taxa without sufficient information to support listing

STATESTAT: Status of the species in North Carolina. For plants, from Sutter, R.D., L. Mansberg, and J.H. Moore. 1983. Endangered, threatened, and rare plant species of North Carolina: a revised list. ASB Bulletin 30:153-163, and updated lists of the Natural Heritage and Plant Conservation Programs.

- E = Endangered
- T = Threatened
- SC = Special Concern
- PP = Primary Proposed
- SR = Significantly Rare

E,T,and SC species are protected by state law (the Plant Protection a Conservation Act, 1979); the other two categories indicate rarity anc the need for population monitoring, as determined by the Plant Conse: tion and Natural Heritage Programs.

For animals, from Cooper, J.E., S.S. Robinson, and J.B. Funderbu (Eds.). 1977. Endangered and Threatened Plants and Animals of North Carolina. N.C. Museum of Natural History, Raleigh, NC. 444 pages + i· xvi.

- E = Endangered
- T = Threatened
- SR = Sifnificantly Rare
- SC = Special Concern
- UNK = Undetermined
- EX = Extirpated

GRANK: Nature Conservancy "global rank."

- G1 = Critically imperiled globally because of extreme rarity or otherwise very vulnerable to exinction throughout its rang
- G2 = Imperiled globally because of rarity or otherwise vulnerab to extinction throughout its range.
- G3 = Either very rare and local throughout its range, or found locally in a restricted area.
- G4 = Apparently secure globally, though it may be quite rare in parts of its range (especially at the periphery).
- G5 = Demonstrably secure globally, though it may be quite rare parts of its range (especially at the periphery).
- GU = Possibly in peril but status uncertain; need more informat
- GX = Believed to be extinct throughout range.
- Q = a suffix attached to the Global Rank indicating questionab taxonomic status.
- T_ = an additional status for the subspecies or variety; the G then refers only to the species as a whole.

SRANK: Nature Conservancy state rank. Coding similar to global ranks.

COUNTYNAME: Acronym for the county. In general, this is the first four letters of the county name.

QUADNAME: USGS quad map name, at 7.5 minute scale when available.

PRECISION: The precision with which the location can be mapped from the available information: S=seconds (hundreds of feet), M=minutes (up to 1.5 mile radius), G=general (to a place name only, or up to 5 mile radius).

LAT, LONG: Latitude and Longitude coordinates for the center of the occurrence.

LASTOBS: Year, month, and day the element was last observed.

DIRECTIONS: How to find the site.

GENDESC: General description. A word picture of the site, describing the habitat.

ELEV, SIZE: Elevation and size of the occurrence.

EODATA: Information on number, size, condition, and other relevant information on the element occurrence.

COMMENTS: Additional information on the occurrence, the site, or sources of information.

SITENAME: Name of the site as standardized by the Natural Heritage Program for internal use. Many sites do not have a name.

OWNER: Name of owner of the site (some abbreviations used).

SPECSTAT: Codes indicating special status of the site.
- AEC=Area of Environmental Concern
- DED=Dedicated State Nature Preserve
- ESN=National Estuarine Sanctuary
- EWA=Established Wilderness Area
- EAN=Conservation Easement
- NNL=National Natural Landmark
- NPK=National Park
- NPY=National Parkway
- NSH=National Seashore
- RHA=Registered Natural Heritage Area
- RNA=Research Natural Area (USFS)
- SPK=State Park (incl. State Natural Areas)
- ORW=Outstanding Resource Water
- WSR=Wild and Scenic River

...and a few others, infrequently used

OWNERCOM: Comments on ownership.

PROTCOMM: Comments on need for additional protection for the element.

MGMTCOMM: Comments on need for management of the site for the element.

SOURCE: Best source of information on the element occurrence.

REFERENCE # 15

CURRENT POPULATION REPORTS

Special Studies

Series P-23, No. 156

Estimates of Households, for Counties: July 1,1985

Issued March 1988

U.S. Department of Commerce
C. William Verity, Secretary
Robert Ortner, Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
John G. Keane, Director

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
John G. Keane, Director
C.L. Kincannon, Deputy Director
William P. Butz, Associate Director for Demographic Fields
Roger A. Herriot, Senior Demographic and Housing Analyst

POPULATION DIVISION
Paula J. Schneider, Chief

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 156, *Estimates of Households, for Counties: July 1, 1985,* U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1988.

For sale by Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Contents

Table 1. Estimates of Households, for Counties: July 1, 1985—Continued

(A dash (-) represents zero or rounds to zero. Estimates are consistent with special censuses since 1980. Corrections to 1980 census counts are not included. See text concerning rounding and average population per household)

State and county	Households				Average population per household		Population			
	July 1, 1985 (estimate)	April 1, 1980 (census)	Change, 1980-85		July 1, 1985 (estimate)	April 1, 1980 (census)	July 1, 1985 (estimate)	April 1, 1980 (census)	Change, 1980-85	
			Number	Percent					Number	Percent
New York—Continued										
Ulster	59,600	55,862	3,800	6.7	2.62	2.72	162,800	158,158	4,600	2.9
Warren	20,600	19,420	1,200	6.2	2.63	2.78	55,200	54,854	300	0.6
Washington	18,800	17,887	900	5.1	2.88	2.95	56,400	54,795	1,600	2.9
Wayne	30,600	28,443	2,200	7.7	2.79	2.92	86,600	84,581	2,100	2.4
Westchester	316,200	307,450	8,800	2.8	2.65	2.74	866,300	866,599	-300	-
Wyoming	13,100	12,771	300	2.3	2.90	2.96	40,500	39,895	700	1.6
Yates	7,800	7,713	100	0.7	2.67	2.71	21,100	21,459	-300	-1.6
North Carolina	2,294,000	2,043,291	251,000	12.3	2.64	2.78	6,261,000	5,881,766	379,000	6.4
Alamance	38,900	35,962	3,000	8.3	2.57	2.71	102,400	99,319	3,100	3.1
Alexander	9,600	8,528	1,000	12.2	2.76	2.91	26,700	24,999	1,700	6.7
Alleghany	3,800	3,596	200	4.6	2.55	2.64	9,700	9,587	100	1.3
Anson	8,900	8,386	500	5.8	2.93	3.03	26,300	25,649	600	2.5
Ashe	8,900	8,028	900	10.6	2.63	2.77	23,400	22,325	1,100	4.9
Avery	5,600	4,826	800	15.7	2.53	2.80	15,000	14,409	600	4.2
Beaufort	16,200	14,253	2,000	13.7	2.66	2.82	43,300	40,355	3,000	7.4
Bertie	7,300	6,897	400	5.1	2.94	3.04	21,400	21,024	300	1.6
Bladen	10,600	10,113	500	5.2	2.86	2.98	30,800	30,491	300	1.0
Brunswick	16,500	12,411	4,100	33.0	2.75	2.87	45,600	35,777	9,800	27.5
Buncombe	66,500	60,274	6,300	10.4	2.47	2.61	168,400	160,934	7,500	4.7
Burke	28,200	25,338	2,900	11.3	2.58	2.73	75,700	72,504	3,200	4.3
Cabarrus	34,300	30,610	3,700	11.9	2.65	2.77	92,200	85,895	6,300	7.4
Caldwell	25,800	23,331	2,400	10.4	2.71	2.88	70,300	67,746	2,600	3.8
Camden	2,000	1,931	100	4.8	2.87	3.02	5,800	5,829	-	-0.2
Carteret	19,100	15,128	4,000	26.5	2.49	2.66	48,800	41,092	7,700	18.8
Caswell	7,700	6,516	1,100	17.4	2.89	3.12	22,400	20,705	1,700	8.4
Catawba	41,900	37,308	4,600	12.4	2.65	2.77	112,700	105,208	7,500	7.1
Chatham	13,900	12,063	1,900	15.5	2.52	2.74	35,400	33,415	2,000	6.0
Cherokee	7,600	6,847	800	11.1	2.64	2.74	20,200	18,933	1,300	6.6
Chowan	4,700	4,350	300	7.9	2.78	2.85	13,200	12,558	600	4.9
Clay	2,700	2,490	200	7.8	2.62	2.66	7,000	6,619	400	6.2
Cleveland	31,400	28,458	2,900	10.4	2.70	2.88	86,200	83,435	2,800	3.4
Columbus	18,600	17,266	1,300	7.6	2.78	2.92	52,100	51,037	1,100	2.2
Craven	28,100	23,499	4,600	19.5	2.65	2.84	79,400	71,043	8,300	11.7
Cumberland	81,600	74,934	6,600	8.9	2.83	2.98	255,500	247,160	8,300	3.4
Currituck	4,800	3,897	900	23.2	2.66	2.80	12,900	11,089	1,800	16.5
Dare	7,200	5,359	1,800	34.4	2.38	2.48	17,300	13,377	3,900	29.2
Davidson	43,700	40,010	3,600	9.1	2.69	2.80	118,600	113,162	5,400	4.8
Davie	10,100	8,540	1,500	18.0	2.72	2.85	27,700	24,599	3,100	12.7
Duplin	14,800	13,993	800	5.5	2.80	2.90	41,600	40,952	700	1.7
Durham	61,700	55,614	6,100	11.0	2.49	2.61	161,700	152,785	8,900	5.8
Edgecombe	20,400	18,397	2,000	10.8	2.84	3.01	58,500	55,988	2,500	4.5
Forsyth	100,800	90,146	10,600	11.8	2.49	2.62	258,900	243,683	15,200	6.2
Franklin	11,400	9,983	1,400	14.0	2.79	2.91	32,800	30,055	2,700	9.0
Gaston	62,200	56,362	5,900	10.4	2.74	2.86	172,000	162,568	9,400	5.8
Gates	3,200	2,889	300	10.1	2.92	3.03	9,400	8,875	500	5.9
Graham	2,700	2,481	200	7.0	2.71	2.91	7,200	7,217	-	-0.4
Granville	12,000	10,445	1,600	15.0	2.82	2.99	36,700	34,043	2,700	7.8
Greene	5,100	5,059	100	1.1	3.10	3.14	16,500	16,117	400	2.6
Guilford	125,300	114,084	11,200	9.9	2.52	2.67	327,000	317,154	9,800	3.1
Halifax	19,400	18,286	1,100	6.2	2.82	2.96	56,000	55,286	700	1.2
Harnett	22,700	20,148	2,500	12.6	2.67	2.83	63,100	59,570	3,500	5.9
Haywood	18,400	16,997	1,400	8.0	2.57	2.70	47,900	46,495	1,400	3.1
Henderson	26,600	22,389	4,200	18.7	2.46	2.59	66,200	58,580	7,700	13.1
Hertford	8,000	7,499	500	7.0	2.87	2.97	23,900	23,368	600	2.5
Hoke	6,900	6,024	900	15.4	3.13	3.28	22,600	20,383	2,200	10.8

Table 1. Estimates of Households, for Counties: July 1, 1985—Continued

(A dash (-) represents zero or rounds to zero. Estimates are consistent with special censuses since 1980. Corrections to 1980 census counts are not included. See text concerning rounding and average population per household)

State and county	Households				Average population per household		Population			
	July 1, 1985 (estimate)	April 1, 1980 (census)	Change, 1980-85 Number	Change, 1980-85 Percent	July 1, 1985 (estimate)	April 1, 1980 (census)	July 1, 1985 (estimate)	April 1, 1980 (census)	Change, 1980-85 Number	Change, 1980-85 Percent
North Carolina—Continued										
Hyde	2,100	2,029	100	3.5	2.84	2.89	6,000	5,873	100	1.7
Iredell	32,200	29,128	3,100	10.7	2.69	2.81	87,400	82,538	4,900	5.9
Jackson	9,500	8,502	1,000	11.7	2.54	2.67	27,000	25,811	1,200	4.6
Johnston	28,500	25,157	3,300	13.2	2.68	2.78	76,900	70,599	6,300	8.9
Jones	3,400	3,203	200	6.6	2.86	3.03	9,800	9,705	100	0.9
Lee	15,000	12,914	2,100	16.2	2.68	2.81	40,600	36,718	3,900	10.6
Lenoir	21,900	20,674	1,200	5.8	2.68	2.80	60,500	59,819	700	1.1
Lincoln	16,400	14,674	1,700	11.7	2.77	2.87	45,700	42,372	3,300	7.8
McDowell	13,300	12,224	1,000	8.6	2.69	2.83	36,300	35,135	1,200	3.3
Macon	9,000	7,701	1,300	17.5	2.53	2.59	23,100	20,178	2,900	14.4
Madison	6,300	5,844	400	7.1	2.65	2.72	17,200	16,827	400	2.3
Martin	9,100	8,615	500	5.8	2.89	2.98	26,700	25,948	700	2.8
Mecklenburg	170,300	146,967	23,300	15.9	2.54	2.69	443,300	404,270	39,000	9.6
Mitchell	5,500	5,263	200	3.7	2.67	2.74	14,600	14,428	100	1.0
Montgomery	8,300	7,760	600	7.2	2.76	2.85	23,800	22,469	1,300	6.0
Moore	21,200	18,582	2,600	14.1	2.55	2.67	54,900	50,505	4,400	8.6
Nash	25,900	23,470	2,400	10.3	2.71	2.83	70,900	67,153	3,700	5.5
New Hanover	43,000	37,691	5,400	14.2	2.55	2.69	112,300	103,471	8,800	8.5
Northampton	7,400	7,097	300	4.7	2.85	3.03	22,400	~~22,584~~ 22,195	-200	-0.9
Onslow	37,400	30,307	7,100	23.3	2.66	2.96	122,700	112,784	9,900	8.8
Orange	30,600	27,044	3,600	13.2	2.38	2.50	82,600	77,055	5,500	7.1
Pamlico	4,100	3,678	500	12.3	2.66	2.82	11,000	10,398	600	5.9
Pasquotank	10,700	9,723	1,000	10.3	2.63	2.78	29,400	28,462	1,000	3.3
Pender	8,800	7,511	1,300	17.2	2.73	2.91	24,400	~~22,215~~ 22,262	2,200	10.0
Perquimans	3,700	3,283	400	11.7	2.78	2.85	10,300	9,486	800	8.9
Person	10,600	9,858	800	8.0	2.81	2.93	30,300	29,164	1,100	3.8
Pitt	33,700	30,198	3,500	11.7	2.65	2.76	95,900	90,146	5,800	6.4
Polk	5,900	5,023	900	17.2	2.42	2.55	14,400	12,984	1,400	11.1
Randolph	36,400	32,917	3,500	10.7	2.66	2.77	97,400	~~91,728~~ 91,300	5,600	6.1
Richmond	17,000	15,809	1,200	7.5	2.67	2.83	46,200	45,481	700	1.5
Robeson	34,700	31,372	3,300	10.6	3.01	3.19	106,100	101,610	4,500	4.4
Rockingham	31,900	29,616	2,300	7.8	2.66	2.80	85,500	83,426	2,100	2.5
Rowan	39,100	35,949	3,200	8.8	2.57	2.68	103,600	99,186	4,400	4.4
Rutherford	21,200	19,221	1,900	10.1	2.66	2.76	57,000	53,787	3,200	6.0
Sampson	17,900	16,646	1,300	7.5	2.79	2.95	50,400	49,687	700	1.5
Scotland	11,500	10,343	1,100	11.0	2.84	3.03	33,600	32,273	1,400	4.2
Stanly	18,800	17,378	1,400	8.3	2.62	2.73	50,200	48,517	1,700	3.6
Stokes	12,400	11,252	1,200	10.6	2.82	2.92	35,400	33,086	2,300	7.0
Surry	22,800	21,301	1,500	7.1	2.64	2.76	60,900	59,449	1,500	2.5
Swain	4,000	3,565	400	11.6	2.65	2.82	10,700	10,283	400	4.3
Transylvania	9,600	8,200	1,400	17.6	2.57	2.75	25,600	23,417	2,200	9.3
Tyrrell	1,500	1,381	100	7.2	2.79	2.88	4,100	3,975	200	3.9
Union	26,900	22,921	4,000	17.4	2.85	3.00	78,200	70,380	7,800	11.1
Vance	13,200	12,239	1,000	8.2	2.85	2.95	38,300	36,748	1,600	4.3
Wake	134,200	106,525	27,700	26.0	2.51	2.67	354,200	~~301,327~~ 301,429	52,900	17.6
Warren	5,500	5,257	300	5.4	2.93	3.05	16,400	16,232	200	1.3
Washington	4,900	4,729	100	2.8	2.96	3.10	14,600	14,801	-200	-1.6
Watauga	12,100	10,746	1,400	12.6	2.45	2.56	34,200	31,666	2,600	8.1
Wayne	34,900	32,300	2,600	8.0	2.72	2.88	98,800	97,054	1,700	1.8
Wilkes	22,200	20,522	1,700	8.1	2.72	2.84	60,800	58,657	2,200	3.7
Wilson	23,300	21,549	1,700	8.1	2.70	2.85	64,400	63,132	1,300	2.1
Yadkin	11,000	10,211	800	7.5	2.64	2.75	29,400	28,439	900	3.3
Yancey	5,800	5,277	500	9.4	2.67	2.79	15,600	14,934	700	4.6
North Dakota	248,000	227,664	20,000	8.9	2.65	2.75	685,000	652,717	32,000	4.9
Adams	1,400	1,333	100	4.8	2.55	2.63	3,600	3,584	100	1.7