POLLUTION INCIDENT/U.S.T. LEAK REPORTING FORM

Department of Environment, Health, Natural Resources
Division of Environmental Management
GROUNDWATER SECTION

Confirm. GW Contamination (Y/N) ____
Major Soil Contamination (Y/N) Y
Minor Soil Contaminatin (Y/N) ____

Incident # ____

Date Incident Occurred or Leak Detected 11-10-92

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

Incident Location/Name McLAMB'S GROCERY

Address 2323 E. GREEN

City/Town HIGH POINT | County GUILFORD | Region WINSTON-SALEM

Briefly Describe Incident UPON REMOVAL OF USTs CONTAMINATED SOIL WAS DISCOVERED.

POTENTIAL SOURCE OWNER-OPERATOR

Potential Source Owner-Operator DEPARTMENT OF TRASPORTATION, DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

Telephone (919) 250-4088

Company ____

Street Address P.O. Box 25201

City RALEIGH | County ____ | State NORTH CAROLINA | Zip Code 27611-5201

OWNERSHIP
0. N/A 1. Municipal 2. Military 3. Unknown 4. Private 5. Federal 6. County [7. State]

OPERATION TYPE
[0. N/A] 1. Public Service 2. Agricultrural 3. Residential 4. Education./Relig. 5. Industrial 6. Commercial 7. Mining

POLLUTANTS INVOLVED

MATERIALS INVOLVED	AMOUNT LOST	AMOUNT RECOVERED
GASOLINE	2	

SOURCE OF POLLUTION

PRIMARY SOURCE OF POLLUTION (Select one)
1. Intentional dump
2. Pit, pond, lagoon
[3. Leak-underground]
4. Spray irrigation
5. Land application
6. Animal feedlot
7. Source unknown
8. Septic tank
9. Sewer line
10. Stockpile
11. Landfill
12. Spill-surface
13. Well
14. Dredge spoil
15. Nonpoint source

PRIMARY POLLUTANT TYPE (Select one)
1. Pesticide/herbicide
2. Radioactive waste
[3. Gasoline/diesel]
4. Heating oil
5. Other petroleum prod.
6. Sewage/septage
7. Fertilizers
8. Sludge
9. Solid waste leachate
10. Metals
11. Other inorganics
12. Other organics

LOCATION
[1. Facility]
2. Railroad
3. Waterway
4. Pipeline
5. Dumpsite
6. Highway
7. Residence
8. Other

SETTING
1. Residential
2. Industrial
[3. Urban]
4. Rural

Site Priority Ranking ____

D.E.M. Regional Contact SHARON K. CIHAK

Signature Sharon K. Cihak

Date 4-1-93

Spatco removed tanks around 11-10-92

✓ NOV for failure to submit site assessment 2-8-93

✓ USGS MAP

✓ BASE MAP

✓ ORIENTATION OF UST

✓ LENGTH OF UST

✓ DIAMETER OF UST

✓ VOLUME OF UST

✓ MATERIAL STORED

✓ SAMPLE LOCATIONS

✓ DEPTH OF SAMPLE

✓ SIDE OR FLOOR SAMPLE

✓ DEPTH OF TANK BURIAL

✓ HOW SAMPLE WAS COLLECTED

✓ SAMPLES PRESERVED AND TRANSPORTED

✓ TOOLS DECONTAMINATED

✓ TIME/DATE SAMPLE COLLECTED

✓ TIME/DATE LAB RECEIVED

✓ LAB RESULTS

✓ ANALYSIS METHOD

✓ C.O.C.

✓ REFERENCE TO SAMPLING POINT ON MAP

✓ CORRECT # OF SAMPLES

✓ WITHIN 14 DAYS HOLDING TIME FOR SAMPLES

✓ TANK DESTINATION

✓ DISPOSITION OF SOIL ~ 450 cubic yards - brought to Cunningham ~~15 yds application to W-3 to thin spread soil~~

✓ REMOVAL DATE 10-26-92 → 11-10-92

✓ COMPLETE GW/UST-2 FORM
Copy to central office

2-17-93 Harold Ridge called and said that he does not own the tanks. He is sending documentation.

2-18-93 Spoke with George Fields (Geoplex) he will have D.O.T send me a copy of the site assessment.

3-11-93 Called George Fields and he said that DOT had report. Greg Smith (919) 250-4088 is the contact person.

3-11-93 Called Greg Smith and he said he has the report. He has to send it through WSRO because they don't have anything official that says to send it to us.

	T2	T3	T1	T5 (CLEANED UP)	T4	T6	T7 (CLEANED UP)
	5,000	5,000	4,000	1,000	560	560	560
	Clean GAS	Clean GAS	Clean GAS	100 ppm (3550) <10 ppm (O+G)	Clean HEATING OIL	40 ppm (3550) 110 ppm (5030) 15 ppm (O+G)	1800 ppm (3550) (5030) <10 ppm (O+G)

✓ 4-1-93 Inadclo. letter
✓ 4-1-93 PIRF
✓ 4-1-93 NORR

4-13-93 T1 T2 T3 gas tanks
Geoplex doing the work for the 45 day report.

5-20-93 Excavating soil at tank #T7. Greg Smith wants to include that with the soil already on site stockpiled. I said that would be fine + they could deal with me as long as it was < 50 yds³. So I will hold onto the certificate for now. They will do a SSS for the remaining contamination.

6-7-93 They ran 601+602 on water it came back clean. Also need to run 625 because they have fuel oil cont. Greg Smith (919) 250-4088

9-24-93 8-25-93 Installed MW + removed 400 cubic yards of soil. They may have groundwater cont. They will send in the report next week.

12-28-93 Soil still stockpiled on site.
MW-2 (perched?) 1,300 ppb benzene
53 ppb 1,2-Dichloroethane
How did they determine that?
MW-2 ~~[illegible]~~ a 7.5'
MW-1 WT ~5.5'.

1-12-94 Geoplex Went back to site + excavated below the bottom of the MW-2 and the soil was not wet, so Geoplex believes that the water was perched within the excavation area and was not connected to the water table. They feel that the cont. water was removed along with the cont. soil. They could not resample MW-1 at the time because it was dry.

1-12-94 Waddell Watters (WSRO) feels that one more G.W. sampling is needed before we can close out the site.

1-12-94 Told Greg Smith they needed 1 more sampling event.

1-13-94 Ken Howard (Geophex) I told him that we needed 1 more sample. Run 601, 602 + 625.

3-17-94 Left message for Greg Smith that I needed a cover letter with his professional seal on it before I could accept the assessment.