

FACILITY COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT
Division of Waste Management
Solid Waste Section

Page 2 of 2

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

1. Signage present at the entrances to the site.
2. Path to the fields were in good shape.
3. All markers were present.
4. All discharges appeared to be within the permitted boundaries of the fields.
5. No overapplication or spot dumps noticed.
6. Rye had been planted on all fields. The rye had been destroyed within an approximately 2 acre block of irrigation Pull #9 within Field 3. The rye had been destroyed from an application of fatty acids. Area had been bottom plowed.
7. pH of septage not checked. No application at time of inspection.
8. Odor noticed at section of Field 3 where a load of fatty acids from Carolina-By-Products had been applied.
9. No change noticed in setbacks.
10. Records not checked.
11. David said that a load of fatty acids, approximately 3,000 gallons, received from Carolina-By-Products had been applied to irrigation Pull #9 within Field 3. He also mentioned that Carolina-By-Products said that they sent the load to him by mistake. The load of fatty acids was received at the facility on 9-27-11 and dewatered on 9-30-11. The effluent was applied to the field on 10-4-11. The application burned the grain sorghum that was present. David said that he planted rye on 10-7-11. The rye did not get established and on 11-2-11, David bottom plowed the area. Rye to be planted again. I collected soil samples from the three fields and took a separate sample from the affected area. David told me that he has an escrow account with NCDA.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this inspection report.



Chester R. Cobb
Regional Representative

Phone: (919) 707-8283

Sent on: <u>8/6/12</u>	Email	Hand delivery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	US Mail	Certified No. []
------------------------	-------	---------------	-------------------------------------	---------	-------------------